CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND JANUARY 8, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in regular session at the City Hall at 8:00 P.M., Monday, January 8, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Wm. E. Wyatt, Jr., Councilmen Joseph J. Long, Richard M. Laws and W. Paul Martin, Jr. Also present was Mayor Boyd E. McLernon.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The reading of the Minures of the meeting of December 11 and 18, ,1961 was dispensed with on a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Martin.

3. The Executive Secretary presented request from Chief of the Fire Dept. for Council approval of salaries for the following personnel:

William V. Taylor, promoted from Fireman to Assistant Chief, Account No. 21-101 Gen. Salary $77.00 per week - Grade 9-A (effective December 23, 1961).

James E. Beauchamp, promoted from Ambulance Driver to Fireman, Account No. 21-101 Gen. Salary $65.00 per week - Grade 6-B (effective December 23, 1961).

Richard L. Beauchamp, Ambulance Driver, Account No. 21-101 Gen., Salary 59.00 per week, Grade 5-A (effective December 23, 1961).

Council approved salaries for above personnel as requested on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

4. Mr. Cooper, City Engineer, reported for Council information in the matter of the Pinehurst Storm Sewer problem, the area from which a petition signed by the majority of the property owners was recently received petitioning the City to attempt to alleviate some of the problem between Russell Avenue and the River. He related background and reason for locating storm sewer in Monticello Avenue and stated that he has been aware of some problem in this area and that water is introduced at Russell Avenue and half way down from there to Riverside Drive by other sewers; also that it is a breeding place for mosquitos and not a good situation. Mr. Cooper presented a sketch of the area and outlined suggestions for improving the area with an estimate of cost to give reasonable relief in the toal approximate amount of $8,000. He stated that it may be possible to get mosquito control funds from the University of Maryland if this could be classed as a permanent mosquito control project, and asked the Council to give the matter some thought. After discussion the Council requested the City Engineer to investigate the possibility of obtaining mosquito control funds for this area from the University of Maryland.

5. The City Engineer requested that a decision be made by the Council in the matter of cost of extension of water service to Acme Store on Moss Hill Lane and to Chesapeake Terminal Company on Marine Road. Mr. Cooper read memorandum dated November 30, 1961 from the Executive Secretary to the Council setting forth recommendations for charges for the above extensions. After discussion the Council concurred in accepting the recommendations as set forth in the memo from the Executive Secretary, dated November 30, 1961, 50% of value of 8 inch mains for frontage served plus tapping fee, and that money recovered on the Moss Hill Lane Main, paid by Acme, be returned to the County, which main was paid for by the County, on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws.

6. The City Engineer presented request for Council approval of salary for George B. Griffin, Sweeper Operator, Account No. 32-101 Gen., Salary $63.44 per week - Grade 4-A, effective December 26, 1961. The Council concurred in approval of salary, as requested, on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws.

7. The City Engineer stated that in the acquisition of right-of-way through Municipal Park a few years ago that land was acquired from Milford Tweilley without cost with the understanding that a time prior to Mr. Tweilley developing his land the City would develop a plain earth street. He stated that this was a verbal agreement, but since the City obtained the right of way between N. Park Drive and the Stream on this basis, and in asmuch as Mr. Twilley is willing to use his bulldozer for the work in the area, that it was his opinion that by using City forces this winter that the City's obligation could be filled. He recommended that if the Council agreed to this proposal that the work be delayed until Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, advises that the formal plat of the land has been approved by the Planning Commission. After discussion the Council concurred in accepting the recommendations of the City Engineer for filling the City's obligation to Mr. Twilley, contingent upon approval of formal plat by the Planning Commission, on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

8. The City Engineer presented matter of repairs to the Incinerator. He stated that since the bid of Nichols Engineering and Research Corp. on repairs to the Incinerator had been rejected, a study had been made of the work necessary to be done by the City, and he recommended that the fire brick, castable and regular cement necessary to do the job be purchased from Nichols Engineering, and that working bricklayer supervisors from Nichols Engineering be engaged. He stated that Nichols Engineering and Research Corpl is the only agency known to him who make the fire brick to meet the requirements of their Incinerator, and that they had agreed to make available a working bricklayer supervision at $75.00 per day. He stated that he proposed to set up two shifts and work on a 16 hour day by using City forces and hiring locally the necessary bricklayers and mason tenders. He stated that two brick contractors, both of whom have had considerable refractory work, have been investigated and that it was proposed to engage Russell Tyler of Cambridge. He advised that there were two reason why he felt that the Public Works Dept should proceed as outlined; first there would be a savings of about $1,000, and second by the City doing this repair would give local capability of making future repairs, will have people who will have had experience of doing this work on the Incinerator, which has to be done about every five years, and it will not then be necessary to seek the services of Nichols Engineering in the future; and for those reasons he recommended that the work be done by the City. He stated that $10,000 had been budgeted for this project and that he estimated the total cost of materials and services for the project, if done by the City as outlined, would be $9,168.31. Mr. Cooper then requested approval to purchase the necessary materials from Nichols Engineering without competitive bidding, since they are items on which no other refractory will quote.

Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, advised that even though only one source of supply for the materials was known he did not think advertising for bids could be avoided, under provisions of the City Charter, and that it was necessary to comply with Charter requirement. After further discussion the Council concurred in accepting the recommendations of the City Engineer, as outlined, and directed that bids for the materials be advertised, as required by the Charter, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Laws.

9. Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, reported in the matter of the Urban Renewal Project No. 3. He stated that it was anticipated that completion of the application would be by January 22, 1962, and that it was necessary to hold a public meeting prior to submitting the application. Mr. Gilman reviewed the acquisition map and the preliminary site plan map, which he advised may be inspected by any interested prior to the public hearing. He explained the proposed closing of streets and alleys, and the grades in the area.

Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, explained that the law requires that the application be submitted to the Planning Commission then the public hearing be held after newspaper notice has appeared giving description and identifying the definite area in the Urban Renewal Project and the scope of the project outlined. Mr. Gilman then read a draft of the proposed advertisement.

After discussion the Council concurred in setting the date for the public hearing on the proposed Urban Renewal Project No. 3 to be held January 22, 1962 at 8:30 P.M. at the Civic Center, following the regular meeting of the Council which would commence at City Hall at 7:00 P.M., one hour earlier.

10. Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, presented the proposed Housing Code. The Council concurred in deferring the review of the Housing Code until they had opportunity to go over the Code. Mr. Gilman requested that Section 306.6 (page 19) of the Housing Code be amended as follows:

Insert the words "Do not" following the word "Generally" on line two of this section.
Delete the word "Not" following the numerals "306.4" on line four of this Section.
Delete the word "Only" following the word "Family" on line five of this Section.

11. The City Engineer reported that bids had been received on Contract ST-2-62 Material Spreader Equipment, Account No. 32-400 Gen., as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| McClung - Logan Equipment Co., Inc., | $1,900.00 |
| S. J. Meeks' Son | 2,099.00 |

He recommended that the low bid of McClung - Logan Equipment Co., Inc. be accepted. The Council concurred in approval of awaard of Contract ST-2-62, as recommended, on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

12. By reciting a motion/was made by Councilman Laws, seconded by Councilman Martin and Unanimously carried that the RESOLUTION adopted at the meeting of December 11, 1961 be amended by striking "NOW, THEREFORE," appearing at the beginning and by inserting a corrected description of the Urban Renewal Project No. 2 Boundary to the end that the RESOLUTION as amended shall read as follows:

"Beginning at a point where the southern line of West Main Street intersects the North Prong of the Wicomico River; thence easterly along the southern line of West Main Street to a point where it intersects the eastern line of Mill Street; thence southerly along the eastern line of Mill Street to the north line of Camden Street; thence in a straight line across the west end of Camden Street to the end of the south of Camden Street; thence in a straight line to the intersection of the southeast line of Riverside Drive with the west line of Camden Avenue, thence southerly along the southeast line of Riverside Drive to a point where it intersects the northeast line of Winder Street, thence southeasterly along the north line of Winder Street to a point opposite the southeast line of Howard Street, thence southwesterly across Winder Street to the southeast line of Howard Street; thence southwesterly along the southeast line of Howard Street a distance of approximately 134 feet to a point where the rear property line of properties fronting on the southeast side of Howard Street intersects Howard Street, thence southerly along said rear property line a distance of approximately 325 feet to a point of intersection with rear property line of property fronting on Alabama Avenue, thence easterly approximately 28 feet along said rear property line to a point of intersection with the side lot line of property fronting on Alabama Avenue; thence southwesterly along said lot line to the northeast line of Alabama Avenue; thence in a straight line across Alabama Avenue to the southwest line of Alabama Avenue; thence northwesterly along the southwest line of Alabama Avenue to the southeast line of property at southeast corner of Alabama Avenue and Riverside Drive; thence southwesterly along southeast line to southwest line of said property, thence northwesterly along southwest line to the southeast line of Riverside Drive; thence southwesterly along southeast line of Riverside Drive to the southwest line of Pennsylvania Avenue; thence northwesterly along the southeast line of Pennsylvania Avenue to the southeast bank of the Wicomico River; thence northeasterly along the southeast bank to the north end of the bulkhead of the former Victor Lynn property at the intersection of the East Prong of the Wicomico River with the said Wicomico River; thence in a straight line crossing the mouth of the East Prong to the bulkhead on the east side of the North Prong of the Wicomico River; and thence with the last mentioned bulkhead to a point of beginning."

13. The meeting was adjourned at 9:20 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws.

January 22, 1962
Date

President of The Council

Josephine M. Trankelfield
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND JANUARY 22, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in regular session at the City Hall at 7:00 P.M., Monday, January 22, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Wm. E. Wyatt, Jr., Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, Joseph J. Long, Richard M. Laws, and W. Paul Martin, Jr. Also present was Mayor Boyd E. McLernon.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The reading of the minutes of the meeting of January 8, 1962 was dispensed with on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

3. Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, summarized briefly Urban Renewal Project No. 3 for Council information. He explained the cost of Urban Renewal Project No. 3 and stated that the major point is the credits the City could get for the construction of street and facilities in the area, which together with credit for parking area are sufficient to offset the cost and that the City will not have to pay any of the project cost. He stated that when the application for Federal grant is submitted possibly some figures may be adjusted, but up to time of disposition of land the City will not be faced with any expenditures, and that if the City elects to develop the parking area this can be done by issuing revenue bonds which will be retired by the revenues from parking and will not have cost the City any capital expenditure. He stated that the scheduling of the project is three years - to be finally completed in June 1965. He presented the application for funds to carry out the project and briefly explained it. Mr. Gilman introduced Mr. Hansman of the Urban Renewal Regional Office in Philadelphia. Mr. Hansman stated that Mr. Gilman had summarized the project well and that he had been working on a time limit because of fact that the law stated that public facilities must have been started no less than three years prior to time application is made in order to receive credits.

4. The Executive Secretary requested Council approval for adjustments in salaries, due to certain inequities which were overlooked in the pay plan at the time the budget was prepared. The Council concurred in tabling the matter for discussion in executive session to be held following this regular meeting on a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Martin.

5. The Executive Secretary presented request for Council approval of salary for Eugene Todd, Fireman, Classification 6-A, $63.00 per week, Account No. 21-101 Gen., effective January 28, 1962. The Council approved salary as requested on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Laws.

6. The Executive Secretary presented request made by Mr. Edgar Dryden, operator of the Sunoco Service Station at Main and Baptist Streets, for adjustment of water bills for the quarter beginning July 1, 1961 - $30.03 and the quarter beginning October 1, 1961 - $52.55. He stated that the bills had been paid by the Oil Company, but Mr. Dryden refused to pay the Oil Company for the water bills. Mr. Perkins stated that an investigation had been made and nothing was found wrong with the meter, and he recommended that no adjustment be made. After discussion the Council concurred in accepting the recommendation that no adjustment be made in the bills on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Laws.

7. The Executive Secretary requested Council approval for waiving fee for demolition permit in the cases of forced demolition on substandard housing. After discussion the Council concurred in approval of waiving the fee of demolition permits for demolition of substandard housing, on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Laws.

8. The Executive Secretary stated that a request had been made to waive the cost of building permit for the Library, which amounted to $1,200.00. Councilman Fullbrook suggested that the charge for the building permit be paid by the contractor and the amount paid then be refunded to the Library. After discussion the Council concurred in Councilman Fullbrook's suggestion that the building permit cost be borne by the contractor and the amount refunded to the Library, on a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Martin.

9. The Executive Secretary presented request for Council approval of refund of charge for ambulance service in the amount of $39.00 to Mr. Newton Carey. Mr. Perkins stated that on January 5 Mr. Carey was taken to Baltimore to Mt. Wilson Hospital, where he is now hospitalized and that in view of his volunteer service in helping the ambulance service he would recommend that the sum paid by Mr. Carey on January 8 be refunded. After discussion the Council authorized the refund of $39.00 ambulance charge to Newton Carey, as recommended, on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws.

10. The City Engineer presented a sketch of the City Yard showing buildings sketched in red which have been demolished during the past year and areas in green as usable ares and requested permission to demolish certain buildings in this area and salvage the materials. Councilman Fullbrook recommended that Mr. Cooper take the plan as presented to the City Yard at a time suitable for the Council members to meet there and at that time point out what is proposed to be done on the site. After discussion the Council concurred in meeting at City Hall at 1:00 P.M., Wednesday, January 24, 1962 for the visit to the City Yard.

11. The City Engineer reported that bids had been received on Contracts 1-62-San and 2-62-San, covering Incinerator Refractory Materials and Special Incinerator Refractory Material as follows: (Account No. 13.402(a) Gen. - 1961 Budget)

| | Contract 1-62-San | Contract 2-62-San | Total |
|---|---|---|---|
| Harbison-Walker Refractory Co., | 1,686.32 | 897.95 | 2,584.27 |
| Salisbury Brick Co., | 1,686.32 | 897.95 plus $35.00 | 2,619.27 |
| Nichols Engineering & Research | No Bid | 1,231.65 | 1,231.65 |

Mr. Cooper stated that Salisbury Brick Company is the local distributor for Harbison-Walker and their bids are the same except for an item of $15.00 for palletizing and unloading by fork-lift truck $20.00; also that the Nichols Engineering Co. bid only on items furnished by them, and as a comparison of cost the value of items excluded in their bid was $1,706.32, and that it appeared that Harbison-Walker was the low bidder and recommended that the contracts be awarded to the low bidder - Harbison-Walker. He stated that i was estimated that the cost of labor for the project would not exceed $4,265. and the cost of City labor and equipment for demolition would be approximately $465.00, a total estimated cost of $7,450.00 - not to exceed $8,000. The Council concurred in awarding Contracts 1-62-San and 2-62-San to Harbison-Walker, as recommended, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Laws.

12. Mr. Victor Laws, City Solicitor, presented matter of charter amendment relative to City's power to issue revenue bonds and the City's debt limit of 7-1/2%. He stated that since the recent inquiry from a prospective new industry concerning revenue bonds and a letter to the Council from the Industrial Development Committee requesting that action be taken to amend the Charter to give the City the power to issue revenue bonds, and since it was the

general feeling that it was looked upon with favor by the Council, he had studied the matter carefully. Mr. Laws stated that Section 100 of the City Charter limits the City's power of borrowing to full faith and credit of the City and Section 102-A sets up the City's debt limit to 7-1/2% and that this is inconsistent with authority; and that the Executive Secretary felt it desirable that the Charter be amended to correct the inconsistency at the same time amendment was made regarding revenue bonds. He stated that if the Charter is amended in the way the Council wished it to be the debt limit could be changed, but that it need not be, and that it can be clarified so that revenue bonds not be included in the 7-1/2% debt limit. He stated that he will prepare a series of Charter amendments for Council's consideration before amendment to the Charter is made. Mr. Wyatt, President of the Council, stated that he did not think the 7-1/2% debt limit should be changed. In answer to questions, Mr. Laws explained the difference between general obligation bonds and revenue bonds - he stated that general obligation bonds pledge the full faith and credit of the City to support the bonds, whereas, revenue bonds - there is no security of the earning power of the project to support the bonds, if the project goes sour then the bonds go sour, if the project is good the bonds are good. He stated that revenue bonds are much more popular now than they were ten years ago; also that with the Charter amendment the City would have a choice of issuing general obligation or revenue bonds. After further discussion Mr. Laws stated that it was his understanding that the Council would like him to prepare draft charter amendments whereby authority would be provided for general purposes with a choice between the types of bonds, but without changing other sadeguards written into the Charter.

13. The City Solicitor advised that the deadline of effectiveness of Resolution No. 8, annexing the Bell Property, was Thursday, January 18, 1962, being the 45th day after adoption of Resolution No. 8, and that the next step was that a survey be made of the limits by the Public Works Dept.

14. Mayor McLernon extended an invitation to the Council to join with him on Wednesday, January 24 at 10:30 A.M. at the City Hall to greet a group of officials and visitors from St Augustine, Florida, who would stop briefly in Salisbury.

15. The regular meeting was adjourned at 8:05 on motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Long.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

1. The regular meeting of the Council of The City of Salisbury reconvened at 10:35 P.M. at the Civic Center. In addition to the Mayor and Council there were present: Messrs. Gilman, Perkins, Laws and Brittingham.

2. At the President's request, Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, repeated the five findings required to be met by the Charter before proposed Urban Renewal Project No. 3 could be initiated. There followed a discussion by the Council of the proposed project and it was the consensus of the Council that the proposed project was desirable and necessary in the interest of the public health, safety, morals and welfare; but reservations were expressed as to the economic feasibility of redeveloping the northeast corner of the project for parking.

Mr. Gilman explained that changes in the proposed redevelopment could be accomplished by amendments of the Urban Renewal plan after same was initiated and said that he concurred in the reservations expressed, but that the shortness of time made it impossible to make a definitive and complete study of all possibilities for land re-use.

Upon motion by Councilman Fullbrook, seconded by Councilman Martin and unanimously carried, the following preambles and resolutions were adopted

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council of The City of Salisbury have caused to be prepared an Urban Renewal Plan for the clearance and redevelopment of an area located within the Central Business District and hereinafter referred to as Central Business District - Northside Urban Renewal Project, or City of Salisbury Project No. 3; and

WHEREAS, the Urban Renewal Director has presented said plan to the Mayor and the Council and has explained the proposals abd objectives of said plan, and

WHEREAS, after appropriate advertisement a hearing has been held for the discussion of said proposed project and for the hearing of comments thereon and objections thereto by all interested persons and citizens.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Council of The City of Salisbury hereby finds:

(1) That the said Project No. 3, area described in said plan and in said newspaper advertisements, is a slum area and a blighted area within the meaning of such terms as defined in the City's Charter.

(2) That the rehabilitation and/or redevelopment of such area is necessary in the interest of the public health, safety, morals, and welfare of the residents of the City of Salisbury.

(3) That a feasible method exists for the location of any families who will be displaced from such area in decent, safe, and sanitary dwelling accomodations within their means and without undue hardship to such families.

(4) That the Urban Renewal Plan for such area conforms exactly to the Master Plan of The City of Salisbury, as amended by the Salisbury Planning Commission at its meeting on January 18, 1962.

(5) That the Urban Renewal Plan for such area will afford maximum opportunity consistent with the sound needs of the City of Salisbury on the whole for the rehabilitation or for redevelopment of such area by private enterprise.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Council of The City of Salisbury hereby approves the proposed Northside Urban Renewal Project (Project No. 3) for such project subject to amendment and modification thereof pursuant to the Charter and as may be necessary or desirable in the future and hereby initiates the said Urban Renewal Project in accordance with the provisions of Sections 422-D and 422-E of Article XII-A of the Charter of The City of Salisbury.

Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, explained that the foregoing Resolutions were adopted pursuant to the Charter or local law and that additional resolutions were required under the Federal Law, in order to authorize the filing of the application for the Federal loan and grant, and thereupon on motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook and unanimously carried the following preambles and resolutions were adopted:

WHEREAS, it is necessary and in the public interest that The City of Salisbury, a municipal corporation of the State of Maryland, avail itself of the financial assistance provided by Title 1 of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, to carry out the urban renewal project described as Project No. 3, "Central Business District - Northside Urban Renewal Project," and being all that area bounded on the North by new East Church Street; bounded on the East by North Salisbury Boulevard (U.S. 13); bounded on the South by Calvert Street (except that the block containing Artcraft Electric and Acme Market is excluded); and bounded on the West by the East boundary lines of the following properties; Chantry House property; and Vernon Powell property and hereinafter referred to as the "Project"; and

WHEREAS, it is recognized that the Federal contract for such financial assistance pursuant to said Title I will impose certain obligations and responsibilities upon the Local Public Agency and will require among other things: (1) the provision of local grants-in-aid; (2) a feasible method for the relocation of families displaced from the project area; and (3) other local obligations and responsibilities in connection with the undertaking and carrying out of urban renewal projects:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND, That an Application on behalf of The City of Salisbury for a loan under Section 102(a) of said Title I in the amount of $1,401,719.00 and for a Project Capital Grant and a Relocation Grant to the full amount available for undertaking and financing the Project is hereby approved, and that the Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute and to file such Application with the Housing and Home Finance Agency, and to provide such additional information and to furnish such documents as may be required in behalf of said Agency, and to act as the authorized correspondent of The City of Salisbury

4. There was further discussion on the request of the Executive Secretary for salary adjustments. Upon motion by Councilam Martin and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook the following adjustments in salaries were approved: (retroactive to January 1, 1962)

Police Dept.

Patrolmen Bailey, Pusey, Moyer, Keyes and Draper - from Classification 7-A to 7-B - Salaries $69.50 per week, Account No. 20-101 Gen.

Patrolman Ada, from Classification 7-C to 7-D, Salary $74.50 per week, Acct. No. 20-101 Gen.

Vaughn Hastings, Night Clerk, from Classification 3-A to 3-C, Salary $55.00 per week, Account No. 20-101-Gen.

Finance Dept.
Mary Phillips, Tax Clerk, from Classification 6-A to 6-B, Salary $65.00 per week, Account No. 13-101 Gen.

Public Works Dept.
J. Carey White, Asst. Foreman and Lab. Technician, Sewage Treatment Plant, from Classification 6-B-40 hrs. to 6-A-45hrs., Salary $73.35 per week, Account No. 80-101 Sewer.

Clifton Garrett, Maintenance Man II, Sewer Div., from Classification 1-A to 2-A - 45 hrs. per week, salary $55.35 per week, Account No. 81-101 Sewer.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:05 P.M. on motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws.

Feb. 12, 1962
Date

President of The Council

Josephine Frankfield
Clerk

Approved Unanimously

January 18, 1962

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council of the City of Salisbury have caused to be prepared an Urban Renewal Plan for the clearance and redevelopment of an area located within the Central Business District and hereinafter referred to as Central Business District - Northside Urban Renewal Project or City of Salisbury Project No. 3;

WHEREAS, the Urban Renewal Director has presented said plan to this commission and has explained the proposals and objectives of said plan;

WHEREAS, the Urban Renewal Plan is found to conform substantially to the adopted Revitalization Plan for the Central Business District and with other plans and programs of this commission, and to carry out the goals and objectives set forth by these plans and programs;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Salisbury Planning Commission approves the proposed Northside Urban Renewal Project and recommends to the Mayor and Council of the City of Salisbury that the Northside Urban Renewal Project in the form described be initiated under the City Charter; and the Planning Commission herewith amends the Central Business District Revitalization Plan to provide for exact conformity to the more definitive Urban Renewal Plan as follows:

1. Calvert Street shown to be closed from Court Street to Baptist shall remain open as a service street only.

2. Semi-public land at the southeast corner of Baptist Street and East Church Street is extended to provide for an additional building site of a semi-public category.

3. Land shown at the northeast corner of Calvert Street and Poplar Hill Avenue and designated "subject to change" shall be included in the surface parking area to the north and east.

4. Calvert Street shown to be unchanged from Salisbury Boulevard to Poplar Hill Avenue shall be widened now that the area subject to change is proposed for clearance.

Fred A. Grier, Jr.
FRED A. GRIER, JR.
Chairman

TRUE TEST:

James C. Gilman
James C. Gilman
Planning Director

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND FEBRUARY 12, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in regular session at 8:00 P.M., Monday, February 12, 1962, at the City Hall. The following were present: President of the Council Wm. E. Wyatt, Jr., Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, Joseph J. Long, Richard M. Laws and W. Paul Martin, Jr. Also present was Mayor Boyd E. McLernon.

1. The meeting waw opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The reading of the minutes of the meeting of January 22, 1962 was dispensed with on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws.

3. Mr. Lester Chandler appeared before the Council in the matter of the proposed Housing Code. He stated that letters are being sent out by the City to the effect that if considerable improvements are not made to certain properties that the owners will have to tear the houses down. He stated that basically he thought this was good, and that the City needs a Housing Code, but feels that the City is going to extreme on it. He stated that he was present to bring to the attention of the Council that though he agreed that substandard housing should go, the City should realize that there are certain people who cannot afford to pay big rent. He stated that he felt that he was qualified to speak in this matter as most of their property was in the City limits and that the majority of their tenants pay their rent either from welfare checks or social security payments and they had said that if their rent is increased by as much as one dollar they would not be able to afford it. He stated that he does not object to putting in full bathrooms in these properties, but to make such capital improvements would necessitate increasing the rent, which these people cannot afford, and this would force them to move in with relatives or into substandard housing, and that it was the welfare of these people he was concerned with. He stated that it was his feeling that the houses should have basic sanitation, water and sewer, and that as long as his tenants had City water and a stool that is all they want and can afford. The President of the Council thanked Mr. Chandler for bringing the matter to the attention of the Council and advised that his remarks would be considered when the proposed Housing Code is studied.

4. The City Engineer reported, for purpose of recording in the minutes, that bids on Contract 1-62-San and 2-62-San, Incinerator Repairs, had been reviewed and that the cost of $15.00 for palletizing had been included in the base bid and also as a special item in the bid of Salisbury Brick Company, thereby making the total bid of the two contracts $2,604.27. He stated that he had discussed this matter with members of the Council during their meeting and trip to the City Yard on January 24, 1962 and had been authorized to award the contracts to the Salisbury Brick Company.

5. The City Engineer reported that only one bid had been received on Contract 1-62-W Submarine Water Main Construction Across Wicomico River, that being from Smith Brothers, Galesville, Maryland in the amount of $18,900, and that it was felt that this was about $6,000 higher than it should be and that he had been authorized by the Council, by contacting the Council Members by telephone, to reject the bid and readvertise. He requested that the minutes show that the bid submitted by Smith Brothers on Contract 1-62-W was rejected as of Friday, February 9, 1962 and that the Contract was readvertised for bids in Saturday's paper February 10, 1962.

6. The City Engineer reported that William Dorman had sustained a back injury in the line of duty and that the Workmen's Compensation did not cover the injury, and requested Council

approval of payment of the doctor's bill in the amount of $5.00. The Council authorized that the doctor's bill in the amount of $5.00 be paid by the City on a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Long.

7. The City Engineer presented a report of the cost of snow removal of four snows for period January 1 to date, the total cost, which included value of labor, equipment, material and overhead, amounted to $14,294.90.

8. Ordinance No. 832 AN ORDINANCE adding a new Section 6-23A to the City Code, 1959, for the purpose of authorizing the Board of Supervisors of Elections to conduct the permanent registration of the voters of Salisbury on a City-wide basis at City Hall, acting through regular City employees designated and appointed as registration officers by the Board, in addition to the registration of City voters in several precincts, or in lieu thereof in the Board's discretion; amending Code Section 6-35 relating to the boundaries of Parsons Precinct No. 1 in order to correct an error in said Section; and amending Code Section 6-40 relating to the boundaries of Salisbury Precinct No. 2 so as to include property recently annexed by the City, was introduced and duly passed for first reading on a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Long.

9. RESOLUTION NO. 9 A RESOLUTION of The Council of The City of Salisbury amending Section 2 of the Charter of The City of Salisbury, describing the taxable corporate limits of The City of Salisbury (being Section 282 of the Wicomico County Code, 1959, comprising Article 23 of the Code of Public Laws of Maryland) so as to include within such limits the Industrial Park recently annexed to The City of Salisbury, was introduced and duly passed for first reading on motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Laws.

10. Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, reported that recently in subduing a prisoner Sgt. Barnette had broken a shotgun which was owned by Mr. Charles Ash at 314 Locust Terrace, and that an effort to collect damages from the insurance company had failed, the insurance company claiming this was not covered in the City's insurance, and that an effort to collect from the two boys involved was now being made - the amount of damage being $45.00. Chief Chatham stated that he is now in the process of negotiating with the defendants' attorney and thought perhaps something could be worked out. After further discussion, Councilman Martin stated that it was his opinion that the City is duty bound to back up the City Police Officers and that if the matter could not be settled between Chief Chatham and the defendants' attorney that the cost of the gun should be borne by the City. The Council concurred in this opinion.

11. Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, reviewed the request of Glen L. Sturgis for subdivision of three lots on Prince Street. He stated that it was proposed to cut each lot in half and make six lots and that the width on all lots would be 50 ft., being the width of all other lots in the general area. After discussion the Council concurred in approval of request for subdivision on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Long.

12. Mr. Gilman reported on the progress of the three Urban Renewal Projects. He stated that the first application filed appears to be in good shape and will be going to Washington next week, and that on that basis he will start doing some preliminary work on the project, however, expenses on the project cannot be incurred until notified by the Government. He stated that

the Riverside Drive Project had not yet reached the stage of Project No. 1 and that he had asked Mr. Perkins, Executive Secretary, to to to Philadelphia with him on Tuesday, February 13 to the Urban Renewal Office, as some questions had arisen on the project. He stated that the third project has moved ahead in the Urban Renewal Office and that there will be some minor modifications, however, it has been reviewed and is expected to move ahead at the schedule originally suggested. He stated that the only problem is with the Riverside Project, which is somewhat unique; also that funds for the first project should be coming through within a month to six weeks and that if the Council is in accord he would start preliminary work to expedite the project when the funds come through.

13. Mr. Perkins, Executive Secretary, presented matter of sewer charges on properties where it is claimed that the water used does not enter the City's sewer - those being the Elk's Club Swimming Pool, which it is claimed goes into park stream, Vernon Hughes - Lee Hayman, and John A. Price, claims water goes into a ditch. After discussion the Council requested that investigation be made in each case to determine where the water is going, and a full report be made and brought back to the Council before decision is made, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Laws.

14. Mr. Perkins requested Council approval to dispose of an old obsolete accounting machine, which is tak ing up needed space in the Treasurer's office. After discussion the Council concurred in approval of disposing of the old accounting machine on motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Laws.

15. Mr. Perkins referred the Council to his memo in the briefing books requesting the Council to meet one hour earlier at the next regular meeting on February 26, 1962. The Council agreed to meet at 7:00 P.M. at the next regular meeting, February 26, 1962, as requested.

16. Mr. Frank Swallow, Executive Director Chamber of Commerce, appeared before the Council urging that the City not support the proposed Department of Urban Affairs and Housing.

17. Mayor McLernon announced that he would not seek reelection for Office of Mayor in the coming spring election.

18. The meeting adjourned at 9:05 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

February 26, 1962
Date

President of The Council

Josephine Trowbridge
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND FEBRUARY 22, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in special session at the City Hall at 2:00 P.M., Thursday, February 22, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Wm. E. Wyatt, Jr., Councilmen Joseph J. Long, Richard M. Laws, and W. Paul Martin, Jr. Also present was Mayor Boyd E. McLernon.

1. Mr. Wyatt, President of the Council, advised that the special meeting was called at the request of Mr. Charles Habliston of the F. W. LaFrentz Auditing Firm, and at this time turned the meeting over to Mr. Habliston.

2. Mr. Habliston requested the Council to refer to copies of the report of audit for the Year 1961, which were furnished to the Council previously for their review. He then reviewed the report of audit briefly and explained various item in the report.

Mr. Habliston read the recommendations set forth in the report of audit concerning records of the inventory of stock in the Public Works Dept. He stated that he had discussed the matter with Mr. Perkins, Executive Secretary, and he felt that he agrees that the procedure outlined in the report to be a good procedure and should be adopted. Mr. Perkins stated that he agreed that this procedure should be adopted, the only difference being that an independent check should be kept by the Treasurer's Office because of the amount of inventory of stock; and that it could be put on the new accounting machine. Mr. Habliston stated that he had reviewed the records at the City Yard and that all records are in excellent condition , and that he felt that even though this procedure would incur extra effort on the part of the Public Works Dept. and the Treasurer's Office, it can be worked out. He stated that a further advantage with this procedure could result in establishing stock levels and tend to defend understock and overstock.

After discussion the Council indicated approval of this recommendation and requested that a study be made of the mechanics involved to institute the procedure and a report be made for further consideration.

Mr. Habliston then read a resume of factors and recommendations regarding the City's purchasing procedure. He stated that he had hoped that at this special meeting the Council would act on this matter in some way, where there were questions in regard to the present policy and the way the purchasing regulations are now written.

Councilman Laws requested that Mr. Habliston review for the Council the purchasing procedure as it was instituted in the beginning.

Mr. Habliston reviewed the purchasing procedure as provided in the purchasing regulations and stated that the regulations set out very definitely procedure for contracts and open market purchases.

Mr. Cooper, Director Dept. Public Works, cited the problems his department encountered operating under the regulations; such as rental of equipment, repair of heavy equipment, and the purchase of borrow and patching materials, and suggested that the regulations be revised to give discretion to fit these conditions, as it was impossible to operate under the regulations as written.

Special Meeting
February 22, 1962

Mr. Habliston stated that he agreed that there are problems in operation, but there is plenty of leeway under the existing regulations to allow for emergencies, and he read from the Purchasing Regulations the section covering emergency purchases.

Mayor McLernon stated that the matter of hiring a purchasing agent had been discussed at budget time and provisions made for employing a purchasing agent in the 1962 Budget.

After further discussion the Council indicated that it was their feeling that no changes should be made in the Purchasing Regulations, and that if a full-time purchasing agent were hired that it would be a matter for him to go over and recommend changes. The Council requested that Mr. Habliston meet with Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, and Mr. Perkins, Executive Secretary and make a further study of the regulations and recommendations and report back to the Council recommendations for further consideration.

Mr. Perkins advised that he would investigate salary range of purchasing agents to determine amount of salary it would be necessary to provide to hire purchasing agent as recommended by Mr. Habliston.

Mr. Cooper reported that bids had been received on the City's chemical requirements, Contract A-103-62. Mr. George Miles read tabulation of the low bids as follows and recommended that the low bids be accepted.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Chlorine in 1-ton containers | .085 per lb. | Pioneer Salt Co. |
| Chlorine in 150-lb. cylinders | .115 " " | Pioneer Salt Co. |
| Ammonia in 100-lb. cylinders | .19 " " | Sterling Chemical Co. |
| Hydrofluosilicic Acid - transported in rubber-lined pressure tank truck | 51.00 per ton plus 132.00 transportation | Western Pennsylvania Chemical Co., Inc. |

The Council concurred in accepting the low bids, as recommended, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Laws.

The Executive Secretary presented letter from the Symington Wayne Corporation, addressed to the Airport Commission, dated February 14, 1962 setting forth conditions of proposed lease for portion of the Airport property contiguous to Taxiway "C" on which they would construct a hangar for their plane. Mr. Perkins stated that the County would like an expression from the Council in the matter. The Council concurred in approval of the terms of the lease on the basis that it is approved by the County.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:40 P.M.

March 12, 1962
Date

President of The Council

Josephine M. Trumbleffield
Clerk

TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARING ON
PROPOSED URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT NO. 3
HELD AT THE CIVIC CENTER, JANUARY 22, 1962

Present:

Mayor Boyd E. McLernon
Council President, W. Edward Wyatt, Jr.
Councilmen: Harry O. Fullbrook, Joseph J. Long, Richard M. Laws, and W. Paul Martin, Jr.
James C. Gilman, Planning Director
William M. Perkins, Executive Secretary
Josephine M. Troublefield, City Clerk
Victor H. Laws, City Solicitor
Philip C. Cooper, Director Public Works
W. Hampton Brittingham, Treasurer
Joseph Pettus, Bureau Inspections

Messrs. William E. Morgan, John E. Morris, F. A. Grier, Jr., Frank Swallow, Patrick J. Hannon, Frank Morris, Louis Cohen, Norman Cohen, H. Clyde Hearn, Samuel L. Sherwell, C. J. Gaskill, John Broyhill, Charles E. Hearn, Jr., Everett Hearn, Oscar L. Carey, Wilbur M. Rounds, Ernest L. McLendon, John E. Ennis, Frank L. Kunc, Robert A. Powell, E. Russell Greene, Jr., Merrill Burhans, Jr., Paul E. Dyer, Harold L. Porter, Hamilton P. Fox, Carroll G. Long, Walter L. Phillips, Walter L. Phillips, Jr., George M. Dallas, James W. Betts, James J. Bailey, H. Lay Phillips, Jr., Robb Logan, Donald Hall, H. O. Langrall, I. J. Koppelman, Mr. and Mrs. W. S. Moore, Mr. and Mrs. Robert Dallas, Mr. and Mrs. Richard H. Hodgson, Mrs. Lydia G. Phillips, and Mrs. Maude A. Smith

The meeting was called to order at 8:30 P.M. by the Council President, W. Edward Wyatt, Jr., who requested Mr. Gilman to explain the proposed Urban Renewal Project No. 3.

Mr. Gilman read the notice of public hearing as advertised in the Salisbury Times on January 10 and 17, 1962. He explained the proposed Urban Renewal Project No. 3 from a map and outlined the boundaries of the area. He explained from the map the proposed redevelopment of the area and the streets and alleys proposed to be closed; and the area at the northeast corner of Calvert St. and Poplar Hill Ave. proposed to be used as attendant parking area. He stated that in order to take advantage of credits on recently constructed East Church Street, which is included in the proposed boundary project, even though a portion of the cost was borne by the State Roads Commission, and the cost of utilities borne by the City, the City is eligible for credit on the cost of this construction, but must have the proposed Urban Renewal Project under contract prior to, roughly, the end of April. Mr. Gilman summarized the cost of the contract and stated that the City's share of the cost as compared with credit on this project would be zero. He explained the development of parking facilities by revenue bonds, and stated that a revenue bond issue for 20 years

will more than pay for the cost of cleared land and the improvement, and that this revenue producing facility could be done through the Urban Renewal Project at no cost to the taxpayers of the City.

Mr. Wyatt: The purpose of this meeting is to hear opinions of those present.

Mr. Robert Dallas: There has been something on my mind ever since the plan started - I have questioned validity of plan - if it is a slum or blighted area where we are. I don't feel that we are in a blighted or slum area. There are some slums down near 13 which probably could be classified so, but don't think the Telephone Building, our building or the Hotel could be so classed, even though the old Crouse Building has not been renovated. As I understand it, it is for slum clearance and blighted areas.

Mr. Wyatt: The Telephone Company Building is not in the area.

Mr. Gilman: Under law, the concept applies to total area not individual area. When conditions of all buildings were weighed against the requirement of Codes the majority of buildings in the area were found to be blighted - the concept is to a blighted area.

Mr. Sherwell: I would like the cost of the project to be reviewed again.

Mr. Gilman reviewed the cost data of the project.

Mr. Betts: I have a few figures, have made a study of my own. What the City takes over will be exempt from any tax the County would get. At present time the County gets $5,000 for the six block area - that would be lost to the County. In the second place the City itself has a tax rate that would equal $2,500 in this area not to speak of the expense to provide 400 parking spaces. Take all of the parking area now existing, which amounts to 372 cars at present time - if you are doing this for area parking I don't think you are doing much. Most of the area for parking is not metered parking - people who work in Salisbury have to have a place to park, but there is a question in my mind as to the 130 - 40 parking places that we might just be going overboard.

Mr. Gilman: You agree from figures parking is needed in this area. In the future there is no assurance private parking will provide parking facilities - this area is mostly employee parking at present. I admit this removes money from tax base, but after project is completed, taking a long range picture, when bonds are paid off it is one of the best improvements you can make in the community at no cost to the taxpayer.

Mr. Betts: I can't agree -- the people who work in Salisbury are not having anything to do with economic progress of Salisbury, they certainly are. You certainly have to admit the people who make up Salisbury work in Salisbury and have to have a place to park.

Mr. Gilman: Would not deny parking to employees.

Mr. Louis Cohen: Would there be a difference in rate?

Mr. Gilman: Not prepared to discuss rates.

Mr. L. Cohen: The difference is - if some industrial concern gets parking the people who come to town to shop don't mind paying, but employees do.

Mr. N. Cohen: Over 300 cars of different companies park in the are the City contemplates taking over, how many parking spaces will be left over for shoppers? The Phillips area is presently leased by the Shirt Factory and that would be just like a cut in salary for those employees if they lost their parking.

Mr. Gilman: Have discussed the matter with Mr. Chandler - think City could lease for employee parking. Think a great deal of employees would go to other parking areas, there is the large Chestnut Street Lot.

Mr. Koppelman: Leads me to believe parking lot in this area is considerably away from main shopping area - one block from Main Street and goes down to lowest end of shopping area - how many people will use that area? They will ust it to leave their cars all day, but not for shopping - most of the stores in that area do not have rear entrance.

H. O. Langrall: What do you consider down town areas - what is going to happen to Market Street?

Mr. Gilman: That is in another Urban Renewal Project. The reason this is being moved ahead now is to take advantage of the credits. The area you speak of - that prlject has already been submitted. Parking is much needed in that area and it is hoped to have completed major part of that area this year.

Mr. Betts: You compete with individual projects when government takes over parking - at least three more than that are run by individuals - you are running them out of business.

Gilman : In the acquisition of any project people who will have to relocate are entitled to dair market value of their land.

Mr. Hamilton P. Fox - Read a letter addressed to the Mayor and Council expressing objections on behalf of the Larmar Corporation (See letter attached). Mr. Fox pointed out element of damage not only for cost of land, but for damage due to loss of 100 parking spaces, which he stated is a rather substantial item.

Mr. Gilman: Only thing, would recommend portion of this parking facility be made available to Larmar Corp. for Manhattan.

Mr. Fox: All very well, but when time comes to guarantee they would have 100 parking spaces for 80 years, there is nothing to do but assess damage projected over 80 year period.

Mr. Victor Laws: Think point well taken - no way to mitigate damages. What he has in mind

is negotiation rather than condemnation - might be able to make some facility available, not at point where could make any concrete or specific proposal now, something might be worked out in the future.

Mr. Koppelman: Would like to ask how figure for acquisition was it an estimated figure, have you reasonable assurance people who have land will release it for that?

Mr. Gilman: On the basis of acquisition every property was appraised by a very competent appraiser, Mr. Alfred T. Truitt, - think reasonable prices. (Mr. Gilman again explained the computation of cost figures).

Mr. Sherwell: $149,000, does that go to the City or to people who own property?

Mr. Oscar Carey: Who issues credit?

Mr. Gilman: If the project credit were not available the City would have to put up that amount of money. The credit is for something already done, for which the Federal Government is giving credit for improvements already installed.

Mr. Koppelman: One million dollars is proposed to be financed by bond issue. What figures are we talking about remains to be financed by bond issue?

Mr. Gilman: $564,921 - have just summarized certain basic figures here.

Mr. Sherwell: The total figure - it doesn't add up.

Mr. Gilman: The City is getting credit for the project land, which the City will use, and getting credit for facility to be used for parking lot.

Mr. Koppelman: What happens if revenues are insufficient to meet bonds?

Mr. Gilman: The City, at that point, could only sell bonds ------

The City Clerk read a letter addressed to the Mayor and Council from Mr. S. Denmead Kolb dated January 19, 1962 setting forth his objections to the project (Letter attached).

Mr. Hansman: Field Representative (Urban Renewal Regional Office - Philadelphia)
I appreciate the opportunity to say a few words on the subject. The figures presented represent acquisition figures, the cost of land plus buildings. The fact that they are delapidated does not mean that they can be bought cheaply. We have two appraisers on every property. When the land is cleared all you are selling is cleared land, not with buildings on it. As for finance - all I can say is, from Federal Government's point of view - participating with the City, the figures are roughly presented and represent money the City and State have spent on installing road and utilities on East Church Street, money already spent, money basically eligible as City's share of what project would cost and consequently get credit for. It means the City

will not have to put anymore money into that, and the City will have money left over which can be applied to other Urban Renewal Projects. The acquisition price is for land that is there. The reason the figures do not add is that the land in the area is going to be sold -- subtract land disposition, plus the fact that the City with State's aid has already spent money.

Mr. Sherwell: Where does Urban Renewal get its money?

Mr. Hansman: Gets money from appropriation from Congress.

Mr. Sherwell: Gets money from the taxpayers.

Mr. Hansman: Taxpayers are contributors to National Government, think it only reasonable Salisbury should share with other cities.

Mr. Koppelman: I am neither a pro-ponent nor an opponent - I am here seeking information, any questions asked are not designed to make me for or against.

Mr. Gilman: To go back to cost. We get the loan to buy the land, make improvement and carry out project after land is cleared. What is unique about this is, if the City elects to keep major part of the land for parking facility the land is transferred from the project to the parking facility, which is financed by revenue from the facility, and the City gets credit again for portion of land used for the facility.

Mr. Swallow: $564,928 figure proposed, for example, if put up for sale for private use, would it be on bid basis?

Mr. Gilman: Under Federal Law it would have to be on bid basis.

Mr. Frank Morris: Last June there was a public hearing and you made a decision - I was opposed to that decision to go for federal revenue - think private parking can be done better, but going back to last June and the decision you made - this happened to be one area. You have gone ahead with Riverside Drive, have taken poor land and put it to better use. With Area No. 1 - you actually destroyed assessable basis - putting it back into parking. This was unacceptable to us because of the two other areas, but if we are going to go to the Federal Government for funds, they we ought to consider what we can do constructively. First of all - it is going to move us out - we are the largest single property owner in the area. Coming back to the area, true this area is bringing money for parking, the area is being used for parking until something better comes along. Think it is time to do something -- whether you like this thing or not, now you are getting something for nothing. We are into this, if we are going ahead with other areas, think we should take one where you are not going to destroy assessable basis, one with slum areas, and one where you are not going to take parking to make parking.

Mr. Kreiser: What effect will the expense of projects have toward providing necessary sewer and water for industrial parks as are needed to encourage businesses to come in? Is the City going to be on a sounder basis?

Mr. Frank Morris: This won't affect the City's bond issues. Think limited where City can go with water and sewer - the City does not go outside the City limits, people don't want the City limits to come out .

Mr. Broyhill: As President of the Retailers Association, I don't think I need to say the down town Retailer's Association is vitally interested in this program - we know we have problem, many stores situated in down town area are at a disadvantage as long as they don't have parking, by having parking we think we will have highest real estate values. We are interested in protecting what we already have and think we would be better off with improvement in the down town area. We will be losing parking spaces on Route 50 when the bridge opens and we will be at further disadvantage. We are for progressive development of Salisbury and believe this is that.

Mr. Green: I have been in the parking business since 1948 - have 43 parking spaces on my lot and the only time the lot is full is the month of December, stays about half full other times, and I am right near center of town. If I am too far away from shopping sections I don't know what shoppers will think of this new parking. At Christmas time when we were full se sent them across to the lot for 4 hours for 25¢.

. N. Cohen: Hasn't it been pointed out by Mr. Betts there will be very few parking spaces left for shoppers if the land is taken by Chandler for employee parking?

Mr. Victor Laws: The requirement of Law is that the Council must find in order to initiate the project (1) that the proposed project is slum or blighted within the definition of the charter; (2) that the rehabilitation and or redevelopment thereof is necessary in the interest of the public health, safety, morals, or welfare (3) that a feasible method exists for the relocation of any families displaced; (4) that the Urban Renewal Plan conforms substantially to the Master Plan for the whole City; and (5) that the plan affords maximum opportunity consistent with the sound needs of the whole City for the rehabilitation and redevelopment of the whole area by private enterprise.

Mr. F. Morris: Regardless whether it is satisfactory as it is - it goes through on property going to be used in the most economic manner. If the area can be used for retail sales to the best advantage it will be used for that.

Mr. Moore: We own a rather large piece of land in the area - this parking has me slightly confused - we have our land rented at $4.00 per month per parking space, and we took in $89.00 for month of December . It is assessed for $12,000 or $13,000- this property is dead land until something is done and we are still paying taxes - I don't think that is right.

Mr. Koppelman: I am interested in how this is going to be financed, would like to know where are all of these people to support this parking.

Mr. Perkins: During holidays we were taking in over $400.00 each week and we average about $250.00 each week for the lot of 88 spaces.

Mr. Betts: That would not be a fair estimate of return - there is never a time that I want to park in there that I can't park there - the cars move in and out.

Mr. N. Cohen: We feel somewhat slighted - wondering why you left us out.

Mr. Gilman: In developing this plan it was necessary to re-grade, which would have left Shore Distributors sitting up on a hill and it was necessary to close Old Water Street, the most reasonable development of the plan included their property and did not include the block in which Artcraft is located.

Mr. Wyatt: Colonel McLendon do you have anything to say?

Col. McLendon: No, I have been away and out of touch with things and I have not had a chance to get around to Mr. Gilman's Office to be brought up to date on this.

Mr. Wyatt: When does action have to be taken on this?

Mr. Gilman: The filing of the application is necessary within the next couple days, it is set up for a very slow schedule, but in order to get credit we must file application almost immediately.

There were no further questions and the meeting was adjourned at 10:15 P.M.

REAL ESTATE - APPRAISALS - MORTGAGES - PROPERTY MANAGEMENT - INSURANCE - BONDS

S. DENMEAD KOLB
GEORGE G. STROTT, ASSOCIATE
REALTORS SINCE 1935
120 EAST CHURCH STREET
SALISBURY, MARYLAND

TELEPHONE: PIONEER 9-3771

P. O. BOX 155

January 19, 1962

Mayor and City Council
City of Salisbury
Salisbury, Maryland

Gentlemen:

As I will not be able to attend the public hearing on Proposed Urban Renewal Project No. 3, Monday evening, January 22, 1962, I take this means of registering with you my most vehement objections to this project, as well as any further action on any proposals that have been submitted by the present Salisbury Planning Commission, as a waste of assets of the community, a dislocation of business, and an excessive burden upon the citizens and tax payers. I certainly am in favor of progress but the proposals now pending are ill-conceived and will not produce the results that we have been led to believe. There is now adequate parking for the downtown commercial area of Salisbury which can be readily verified by inspecting upon any of our busiest shopping days. Of course, the parking lots nearest to the shopping section are filled but others at some little distance are frequently not even one-fourth filled. The extension of the parking area will just mean that many more unfilled spaces on high priced land.

We have a fine city and nice community and we certainly do not want to suffer the same hardships of many others that have taken on the delusion of urban renewal. All one has to do is read the results of New Haven, Connecticut, Kalamazoo, Michigan, and others where they have invited the Federal Government to step in and take charge of their cities. Look at the tremendous waste of the tax payers money where the rehabilitation agency in Baltimore City, in our own state, paid $100,000 for land which later sold for $21,000. In Jersey City $100,000 land brought $21,000. In New York City $100,000 land sold for $31,000 and in Philadelphia $100,000 land sold for $19,000. Your urban renewal planners will tell you that the government is picking up the tab for these losses and it is costing you nothing. Is it possible that there are still some people so naive as to believe that this money comes from someone else? Who pays income taxes? Who pays gasoline taxes? Who pays all of the other nefarious taxes? No one but the people.

Let's stop this terrible waste of money and get back to common sense and instead of driving homeowners and business out of our community, we can invite them in and enjoy real prosperity with contentment.

Very truly yours,

S. Denmead Kolb

S. Denmead Kolb

SDK:jet

LAW OFFICES
HEARNE, FOX & BAILEY
COLONIAL BUILDING
SALISBURY, MD.

CHARLES E. HEARNE, JR.
HAMILTON P. FOX, JR.
JAMES P. BAILEY

TELEPHONE
PIONEER 9-5144

January 22, 1962

Mayor and Council of Salisbury
City Hall
Salisbury, Maryland

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the advertisement recently appearing in THE SALISBURY TIMES, we are presenting on behalf of Larmar Corporation a protest to the redevelopment plan for downtown Salisbury, particularly in reference to the proposed plan whereby a large amount of land located in the downtown area of Salisbury between Baptist Street and Salisbury Boulevard would be acquired under urban renewal. The reason for our protest is that Larmar Corporation presently has a lease for an area which we understand the City proposes to acquire, which area contains approximately 100 parking spaces. Our rights under this lease extend for a period of 80 years from the original date of the lease which is at present approximately 2 years old. It was necessary for us to acquire these parking areas in order to provide parking space for buildings owned by Larmar Corporation in this vicinity in order that parking could be made available to our tenants.

It is evident, therefore, that if the City through urban renewal deprives us of the 100 parking spaces which we have leased for the 80 year period and makes these spaces available to the general public that we will have been materially damaged.

Very truly yours,

Hamilton P. Fox, Jr.

HPF/spe

Hamilton P. Fox, Jr.
Attorney for Larmar Corporation

William E. Morgan
John E. Morris Jr.
F. A. Gray Jr.
Frank Swallow
Patrick J. Harrison
Frank Mary
Lou Cohen
Norman Cohen
W. Clyde Degan
Samuel L. Sherwell

Walter L. Phillips
Walter L. Phillips Jr.
Robert W. Dallas
F. Florence J. Dallas
George M. Dallas
James W. Betts
James S. Bailey
Aurelia P. Bailey
Maude A. Smith
H. Ray Phillips Jr.
Lydia G. Phillips
Catherine A. Hodgson

Victor H. Lewis
W. S. Moore
Mrs. W. S. Moore
L. S. Gaskill
John Brogdell
Charles J. McCarthy Jr.
Merritt Hearn
Oran R. Carey
Julian M. Reynolds
Everett McLendon
Victor L. Lewis
JOE PETTUS
William M. Perkins
Francis L. Rigby
Robert A. Jones
E. Russell Grace Jr.
Merrill Burhans Jr.
Paul E. Tyler
Lloyd A. Porter
Hamilton P. Fox
Carl G. Roy

Richard Simpson
Robt. Logan
Pete Cooper
W. N. Buttington
Donald Hall
N. O. Langrall

(49)

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND FEBRUARY 26, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in regular session at the City Hall at 7:00 P.M., Monday, February 26, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council, Wm. E. Wyatt, Jr., Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, Joseph J. Long, Richard M. Laws, and W. Paul Martin, Jr. Also present was Mayor Boyd E. McLernon.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The reading of the Minutes of the meeting of February 12, 1962 was dispensed with on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

3. Mr. Alonzo Fike, Housing Consultant of the State Department of Health, was present and explained to the Council the program of Hygiene of Housing - a program which the State Health Department has to offer to communities throughout the State. Mr. Fike explained the program using charts and explaining the steps. He stated that there are 30 basic principles on which the program is founded. He advised that it was necessary to first evaluate the problem in the community before adopting the Housing Code. He stated that the State Health Department trains personnel to do the field and office work free of charge; there being no charge for training or the materials supplied, and that he recommended that at least four people be trained for the field procedure and two people for the office procedure and sampling of data and overall program for Salisbury would take about six weeks. He also stated that the charge for his work in evaluating, which he personally would do, would be on a per diem basis payable to the State Health Dept.

In discussion of the Housing Code, Councilman Martin stated that in making the survey if it were found that many houses were not up to standard, that it would be better to extend the time limit of bringing houses up to the standard rather than lower the standard of the Housing Code.

4. The President of the Council introduced two Boy Scouts, Mr. Jack Layton and Mr. J. R. Hinchcliffe, guests to observe the Council meeting.

5. Ordinance No. 832 AN ORDINANCE adding a new Section 6-23A to the City Code, 1959, for the purpose of authorizing the Board of Supervisors of Elections to conduct the permanent registeration of the voters of Salisbury on a City-wide basis at City Hall, acting through regular City employees designated and appointed as registration officers by the Board, in addition to the registration of City voters in several precincts, or in lieu thereof in the Board's discretion; amending Code Section 6-35 relating ;to the boundaries of Parsons Precinct No. 1 in order to correct an error in said Section; and amending the Code Section 6-40 relating to the boundaries of Salisbury Precinct No. 2 so as to include property recently annexed by the City, was duly adopted on second and final reading on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

6. RESOLUTION NO. 9 A RESOLUTION of The Council of The City of Salisbury amending Section 2 of the Charter of The City of Salisbury, describing the taxable corporate limits of The City of Salisbury (being Section 282 of the Wicomico County Code, 1959, comprising Article 23 of the Code of Public Laws of Maryland) so as to include within such limits the Industrial Park recently annexed to the City of Salisbury, was adopted on second and final readion on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Laws.

7. RESOLUTION NO. 10 A RESOLUTION of the Council of The City of Salisbury proposing

amendments to Sections 100, 101 and 102A of the Charter of The City of Salisbury in order to authorize the City of Salisbury to issue and sell revenue bonds for its general corporate purpose, including the encouragement of industrial development, same being declared a public purpose, and in order to provide that revenue bonds shall not constitute an indebtedness of The City of Salisbury within the meaning of the Charter's debt limitation of seven and one-half percent of assessed valuation of all property taxable by the City, was introduced and duly passed for first reading on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Laws.

In this connection Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, stated that he had submitted a copy of this Resolution to Mr. Buckler, Attorney, with a firm who normally passes on the City's Bond Issues, for his review and comments and to date had not received a reply, but hoped to have it by the next regular meeting of the Council.

7. The City Solicitor reported that, as suggested at the last meeting, Chief Chatham had collected the $45.00 for the shotgun damage and paid over the cash to Mr. Ash.

8. The City Solicitor reported that Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, was unable to attend this meeting because of illness and had requested that he present three resolutions relating to Urban Renewal which were needed. He stated that he had gone over the resolutions with Mr. Perkins. Mr. Laws presented the Reolutions as follows for Council action.

Upon motion by Councilman Lang duly seconded by Councilman Laws, the following resolution was duly adopted by the Council of The City of Salisbury:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of The City of Salisbury, pursuant to the power and authority provided in Article XII-A entitled "Redevelopment - Urban Renewal" of the Charter of The City of Salisbury, that the development of the propsed Urban Renewal Project No. 3 area for predominantly nonresidential uses is necessary for the proper development of the community.

A RESOLUTION of The City of Salisbury, a Municipal Corporation of the State of Maryland approving amended application for Urban Renewal Survey and Planning Funds - Urban Renewal Project No. 2:

WHEREAS, the Council heretofore has approved the filing of an application for Urban Renewal Survey and Planning Funds for proposed Urban Renewal Project No. 2 (the Riverside area), but subsequent to the filing thereof has received recommendations from the Federal Government that the area described be altered and revised and that an amended application be filed in connection therewith.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Salisbury pursuant to the power and authority provided in Article XII-A entitled "Redevelopment - Urban Renewal" of the Charter of the City of Salisbury that:

1. The resolution heretofore adopted by this Council regarding Urban Renewal Project No. 2 (the "Riverside" Project) be and hereby is repealed and rescinded in its entirety and the following is adopted in lieu thereof:

2. The proposed Urban Renewal Project No. 2 hereinabove described, on the basis of preliminary investigation and studies and preliminary findings and recommendations, appears to be an area appropriate for urban renewal, and it is necessary and desirable that surveys and plans be made and further investigations and recommendations be made in connection therewith to the end that the Council may at a later date on the basis of such surveys, plans, and such additional findings and recommendations determine whether or not to initiate an urban renewal project in said proposed Urban Renewal Project No. 2 area in accordance with the provisions of Section 422-D of said Article XII-A;

3. It is cognizant of the conditions that are imposed in the undertaking and carrying out of urban renewal projects with Federal financial assistance under Title I, including those relating to the relocation of site occupants, to the provision of local grants-in-aid, and to the requirement that as a condition to the execution of a contract for a loan or capital grant for an urban renewal project the locality must present to the Housing and Home Finance Administrator a Workable Program, as set forth in Section 101 (c) of Title I, for utilizing appropriate public and private resources to eliminate and prevent the development or spread of slums and urban blight; and that if The City of Salisbury shall initiate an urban renewal project in said area proposed as Urban Renewal Project No. 2 by appropriate action under Section 422-D after completion of said surveys and plans, then it is the sense of this body (a) that a feasible method for the relocation of families displaced from the urban renewal area, in conformity with Title I, can be prepared, and (b) that local grants-in-aid can and will be provided in an amount which will be not less than one-fourth of the Net Project Cost of the Project and which, together with the Federal capital grant, will be generally equal to the difference between Gross Project and the proceeds or value of project land sold, leased, or retained for use in accordnace with the urban renewal plan.

4. The redevelopment of the area for predominately nonresidential reuses is necessary for the proper development of the community;

5. The financial assistance of the Housing and Home Finance Administrator of the Federal Government under Title I of the Housing act of 1949 is necessary to enable The City of Salisbury to finance the cost of such surveys and plans;

6. The Mayor of The City of Salisbury be and hereby is authorized and empowered to execute and file an application for the advance of funds from the United States of America in an amount not in excess of $13,208.00 to defray the costs of said surveys and plans, and thereafter, he further is authorized and empowered to prosecute said application by furnishing any additional information or documents as may be required.

RESOLUTION Approving Application For Urban Renewal Survey and Planning Funds - Urban Renewal Project No. 4 (The "Snuff Hill" Project).

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of The City of Salisbury pursuant to the power and authority provided in Article XII-A entitled "Redevelopment - Urban Renewal" of the Charter of The City of Salisbury that:

1. The proposed Urban Renewal Project No. 4 hereinabove described, on the basis of preliminary investigation and studies and preliminary findings and recommendations, appears

to be an area appropriate for urban renewal, and it is necessary and desirable that surveys and plans be made and further investigations and recommendations be made in connection therewith to the end that the Council may at a later date on the basis of such surveys, plans, and such additional findings and recommendations determine whether or not to initiate an urban renewal project in said proposed Urban Renewal Project No. 4 area in accordance with the provisions of Section 422-D of said Article XII-A;

2. It is cognizant of the conditions that are imposed in the undertaking and carrying out of an urban renewal project with Federal financial assistance under Title I, including those relating to the relocation of site occupants, to the provision of local grants-in-aid, and to the requirement that as a condition to the execution of a contract for a loan or capital grant for an urban renewal project the locality must present to the Housing and Home Finance Adminstrator a Workable Program, as set forth in Section 101 (c) of Title I, for utilizing appropriate public and private resources to eliminate and prevent the development or spread of slums and urban blight; and that if The City of Salisbury shall initiate an urban renewal project in said area proposed as Urban Renewal Project No. 4 by appropriate action under Section 422-D after completion of said surveys and plans, then it is the sense of this body (a) that a feasible method for the relocation of families displaced from the urban renewal area, in conformity with Title I, can be prepared, and (b) that local grants-in-aid can and will be provided in an amount which will be not less than one-fourth of the Net Project Cost of the Project and which, together with the Federal capital grant, will generally equal to the difference between Gross Project Cost and the proceeds or value of project land sold, leased, or retained for use in accordance with the urban renewal plan;

3. The financial assistance of the Housing and Home Finance Administrator of the Federal Government under Title I of the Housing Act of 1949 is necessary to enable The City of Salisbury to finance the cost of such surveys and plans;

4. The Mayor of The City of Salisbury be and hereby is authorized and empowered to execute and file an application for the advance of funds from the United States of America in an amount not in excess of $27,096.00 to defray the costs of said surveys and plans; and thereafter, he further is authorized and empowered to prosecute said application by furnishing any additional information or documents as may be required.

In connection with Urban Renewal Project No. 2, Mr. A. L. Fleming, Chairman of the Mayor's Citizens Committee on Urban Renewal, was present and explained that the Regional Office in Philadelphia had some reservations regarding the project and that it was necessary to separate this project into two separate developments; and that they have agreed to allow a portion to remain in Urban Renewal Project No. 2 deleting the marsh area and vacant land, as it will not qualify for Urban Renewal. He delineated the areas on a map and stated that the Committee recommended approval of the one area as Project No. 2 and the other area as Project No. 4

9. Mr. Perkins, Executive Secretary, referred to a letter addressed to the Mayor and the Council, dated February 22, 1962, from Mr. W. S. Moore requesting water service to serve his property on Camden Avenue Extended opposite site of new Asbury Church, an extension of approximately 600 ft. He stated that other people in the area want to hook on to this main and that the City is faced with the problem of insufficient water pressure in this area. The City

Engineer explained that major mains are needed to serve this area and that private funds would be necessary to properly serve this area, also the matter of City policy involved. After discussion, the Council concurred in denying the request for water service, under the circumstances, upon a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Martin.

10. The Executive Secretary referred the Council to his memo in the briefing books relating to legislation, which the Maryland Municipal League was requesting municipalities to support. After discussion the Council requested the Executive Secretary to write letters to our Delegates and Senator requesting support of legislation as outlined in the memo.

11. The Executive Secretary suggested that firemen be trained to participate in the Hygiene and Housing Program on their days off duty. He advised that he would endeavor to get more facts regarding cost and scheduling before the next regular meeting of the Council and before getting the program started.

12. The meeting was adjourned at 9:10 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws.

March 12, 1962
Date

President of The Council

Josephine M. Trumbleffield
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND MARCH 12, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in regular session at the City Hall at 8:00 P.M., Monday, March 12, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Wm. E. Wyatt, Jr., Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, Joseph J. Long, Richard M. Laws, and W. Paul Martin, Jr., Also present was Mayor Boyd E. McLernon.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The reading of the minutes of the meeting of February 26, 1962 was dispensed with on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

3. Mr. Upshur Morris appeared before the Council and requested the Council to authorize issuance of a permit to place a small house on a lot on Catherine Street, on which he proposed to build a double house. He stated that he had discussed the possibility of building on the lot before he purchased the lot and understood from the Building Inspector that the double house could be built on this lot, and that in addition to this he would like to move the small house from Ocean City and place it in the rear of the double house to be built. The City Solicitor advised that the Council is not the body charged with making such decision; that under the Zoning Code the Building Inspector must make the decision and if permit is denied the applicant then makes an appeal to the Board of Zoning Appeals. Mr. Morris stated that he had appeared before the Board of Zoning Appeals, but his request was to place the house in question at a different location, which he realized was a mistake. The City Solicitor explained that Mr. Morris' original request to the Board of Zoning Appeals was withdrawn before it was presented to the Board and again explained that the Council does not have authority to act in this matter, except to hear Mr. Morris and advise him as to the next step, which would be to appeal to the Board of Zoning Appeals after the Building Inspector has refused to issue a permit. Mr. Pettus, Building Inspector, stated that what Mr. Morris proposed to do was in violation of the Building Code and that he could not issue a permit for something which does not comply with the Code. After much discussion the Council advised Mr. Morris to make application to the Building Inspector for permit and after denial of permit to appeal to the Board of Zoning Appeals.

4. The Executive Secretary requested Council approval to lease the property owned by Quinton Johnson on North Division St. and Garretson Place to be developed to provide 51 additional parking spaces. He stated that the lease could be obtained for a ten year period with option to buy the property, the rental being $240.00 per month plus the taxes, which would run about $20.00 per month, and that the cost of developing the lot including removal of the house on the property, grading and surfacing, lighting, drainage, paint for striping, landscaping and other miscellaneous items, would be approximately $10,000. He stated that Mr. Johnson does not desire to sell the property and also that the purchase price would be prohibitive. He stated that when the bridge is opened the City will lose about 50 parking spaces on Route 50, and that by developing this property it would extend to the Chestnut Street Lot and be visible from Route 50. He stated that the meters removed from Route 50 would be used to meter the lot. He estimated a profit of about $1,200 at end of year, after payment of rent, based on a rate of 5¢ per hr. or 30¢ per day on a 75% capacity.

Councilman Laws inquired as to the urgency in this matter and stated that he would prefer to wait until Route 50 is open to see what effect it will have on parking and to determine where parking is needed.

Mr. Perkins advised that he had discussed the matter with some of the merchants and

they are in favor of it. He also stated that it was his feeling that it would be used mostly for employee parking, which would free other areas for customer parking. Councilman Long inquired as to the financing of the proposed parking lot. The Executive Secretary advised that it would be financed from the Parking District Fund. The City Solicitor advised that it was his opinion that it was the type of expenditure to come from the Parking District Fund and did not think it would be challenged by the bonding authority, however, if the Council would like a further study of the matter he would be glad to investigate the matter. After further discussion the Council concurred in authorizing negotiation of lease and development of the area, as outlined by the Executive Secretary, on the basis of the legality of the expenditure according to the bond issue, upon a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook.

5. The Executive Secretary reported that about five months ago Mayor McLernon requested that a location be found for restroom facilities in the West end of town and that a suitable location has now been found just west of the bridge. He stated that the lot is owned by Louis Kleger and that shortly after negotiating for purchase and having an appraisal made by Mr. Alfred Truitt the property was seized by the Bureau of Internal Revenue. He stated that the lot will be offered for sale at public auction on April 12, 1962 and if the Council so desires he would attend the sale and bid on the property. He advised that the lot does not have much value for retail or commercial purposes due to its size and the zoning and set-back regulations, but would be suitable for the City's rest room. After discussion the Council indicated it would be desirable to bid on the lot when it is auctioned, and that the matter would be discussed further before the sale.

6. The Executive Secretary referred the Council to his report on sewer charges for the following: V. V. Hughes, J. Price, Lee Hayman, and the Elks Swimming Pool. The City Solicitor advised that the Water and Sewer Ordinance is written without any exceptions in it relating to charge, and if the Ordinance is followed strictly a charge would have to be made for sewer wherever water is used, however, if there are to be exceptions made as a matter of policy, he felt something should be written into the law. After further discussion the Council requested that Messrs. Perkins, V. Laws, Cooper, Brittingham and Pettus meet and draft a policy covering the matter and bring back to the Council for consideration, on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws.

7. The Executive Secretary reported that the Department of Public Works had submitted requisition to purchase a rotary asphalt cutter in the amount of $99.50, and that this was a capital item for which there is no appropriation in the budget. After discussion the Council authorized purchase of the rotary asphalt cutter, as requested, on a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Martin.

8. The Executive Secretary reported that Mayor McLernon had approved the City's participation in "Salisbury Circles The Globe Week - June 3 - 9, 1962," which is sponsored by Salisbury, Southern Rhodesia, Central Africa, inviting all cities named Salisbury to participate in a publicity campaign be exchanging photographs, brochures, literature, etc. He stated that he estimated the cost for photographs and postage would be approximately $200.00. After discussion the Council concurred in approval of the City's participation in this event on a

motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws.

9. The City Engineer stated that it was necessary to obtain right-of-way from Mr. Roger Steffens, a 15-ft. easement on the north side of the bascule bridge to put in the 16" water main, and that he had secured signature subject to the City providing water and sewer services to serve this property at no cost to Mr. Steffens. He estimated the cost to be $170.00 for water and $165.00 for 6" sewer service, a total of $335.00 for the right to use the 15 ft. of land, which he stated appeared to be reasonable. After discussion the Council authorized the water and sewer services be provided at no cost to Mr. Steffens in exchange for the needed right of way, on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws.

10. Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, presented request for subdivision approval of Wm. Edward Hearn on Handy St. and Emerson Ave., a single lot subdivision, which he stated involved no problem and recommended Council approval. The Council concurred in the approval of the subdivision, as requested, on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws.

11. Mr. Gilman requested the Council to set date and time for public hearing on the Urban Renewal Project No. 3 to meet Federal requirement, and to authorize same to be advertised. After discussion the Council concurred in setting the date for the required public hearing on Urban Renewal Project No. 3 to be held on the next regular meeting night, March 26, 1962 at 8:30 P.M. at the Civic Center, and they agreed to start the regular meeting of the Council at the City Hall at 7:00 P.M.

12. Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, discussed the problem on the bonding of Urban Renewal Project No. 1. He stated that in November of 1961 when he presented a lengthy legal opinion on Urban Renewal Project No. 1 he called attention to the possible conflict to Urban Renewal Project No. 1 and Parking District No. 1, and that in the review of the application in the Philadelphia and Washington Offices of Urban Renewal the question has come up again. He stated that in general the language in Ordinance No. 794 passed in the Fall of 1959 for the sale of the Parking District No. 1 Bonds, is so clear that there is practically no way around it, however, it was his feeling that the City never intended to lock-up the entire district for one small bond issue. He inquired as to whether or not the Council would be willing to hold a special meeting on Monday, March 19, 1962 to have Mr. H. Warren Buckler present to discuss this problem in financing Urban Renewal Project No. 1. The Council agreed to meet on March 19 to hear Mr. Buckler.

In this connection, Mr. Gilman Planning, Director, stated that the Project will not move until this matter is cleared up and that the Urban Renewal Adminstration is asking only for a letter from the City setting forth a way this problem can be worked out.

13. The City Solicitor requested that action on Resolution No. 10 relating to Charter amendment concerning Revenue Bonds be deferred until next meeting, also to be discussed when Mr. Buckler is present. The Council agreed to defer action on Resolution No. 10 until the special meeting on March 19, in order to hear suggestions by Mr. Buckler.

14.
Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, reviewed the Southern Building Code Housing Code, the following amendments were agreed upon:

| | |
|---|---|
| Page -3- | Establish time limit, - use 60 days |
| Page -4- | Section (d) and (e) - Guide to ascertain cost and collection procedure - perhaps should be collected as taxes - also state premises to be cleaned up after demolition. |
| Page -5- | Section 105 - Hardship - This section to be deleted. |
| Page -6- | Section 107 - Appeals. Apeals to be made in 30 days. Section 108 Insert "Undue Hardship" in place of "Manifest Injustice." |

The review of the Housing Code was postponed until a later meeting, to begin with Section 110 - Page 7.

15. The meeting was adjourned at 10:40 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws.

March 19, 1962
Date

Joseph N. Long
President of The Council

Josephine M. Trowbridge
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND MARCH 19, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in special session at 8:00 P.M., Monday, March 19, 1962 at the City Hall. The following were present: Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook Joseph J. Long, Richard M. Laws, and W. Paul Martin, Jr. Also present was Mayor Boyd E. Mc Lernon.

1. In the absence of Mr. Wm. E. Wyatt, Jr., President of the Council, Councilman Long was named president pro tem on a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Martin.

2. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

3. The reading of the minutes of the meeting of March 12, 1962 was dispensed with on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Laws.

4. Mr. Victor Laws, City Solicitor, introduced Mr. H. Warren Buckler, Bonding Attorney, who was present to discuss the problem of bonding on Urban Renewal Project No. 1.

Mr. Buckler stated that in response to Mr. Perkins and Mr. Laws he was present to discuss the problem of bonding the Urban Renewal Project No. 1, and that as he understood the situation the City wants to embark on an Urban Renewal Program with Federal aid to provide parking facilities and that Parking District No. 1 and Urban Renewal Project No. 1 are within the same area. He stated that it was his recollection that when Parking District No. 1 was financed the boundary lines were established and now that the City wants to include Parking District No. 1 in the Urban Renewal Project No. 1 the Federal Government questions the prior lien on the special assessment district. He stated that he agrees with Mr. Laws, the City Solicitor, that the City has unconditionally committed itself to provide the special assessment revenues to Parking District No. 1, and that he took sharp exception to the legality of these special assessments, in view of fact that Mr. Cropper, the former City Solicitor, said the bonds could be changed around to General Obligation, but because of the City's desire to make special assessment the special assessment would continue. He stated that from a legal point of view he thought the City could still make a case that the assessments were improperly levied, but from the City's point of view he thought it would be a mistake. He stated that the City promised these special assessments in the district until the bonds are paid and he thought the City is bound in view of that fact.

Mr. Buckler stated that he had gone back to the bankers who bought the bonds, in order to see what could be done to get these bonds out of the way, and had suggested that perhaps a law suit could be instituted to say whether two years ago the City was right or the attorney was right. He stated that the reaction of the bankers to this suggestion was that this would hurt the City very badly. He stated that he hada explored other possibilities, one being that an effort be made to go back to the holders of the bonds and offer security with a slightly higher interest rate to get the old bonds out of the way, and that he had asked the bankers if 1/4% would do it, and that the banker stated that he was doubtful. He stated that the group of bankers who bought the bonds apparently know who holds the bonds and that if the City offers security and 1/4% higher interest the group will be glad to contact the holders of the bonds for a fee of 1% of the face value of the bonds outstanding.

Mr. Laws inquired whether the 1% was contingent upon the success of getting in all of the

bonds. Mr. Buckler replied that he assumed the bankers meant they would not get anything unless they get 100% of the bonds in, and that it was his hope that they can get 100% of the bonds in. Mr. Buckler stated that he also discussed the possibility of refunding, but that a charter amendment would be necessary for that sort of exchange.

Mr. Laws stated that he would like to recap Mr. Buckler's discussion, which is as follows:

> Mr. Buckler thinks the City's credit would be hurt in the eyes of the bankers if the City started a lawsuit to say the total pledge two years ago was a mistake, only to get rid of the existing issue; that the City is faced with situation to pay premium in order to get old bonds in, 1/4%, increasing the rate from 3.9 to 4.15%.

Mr. Laws stated that even with a premium you could not be sure that all bonds would be turned in, it was possible that someone would hold the last two or ten or any number, and the critical question would be how to deal with the number of bonds not turned in.

Mr. Buckler explained this could be done by escrow and explained how it would work. He stated that the City would compute the amount that would have to be deposited with the bank for the 0bonds not turned in at maturity plus interest. He stated that if money is deposited in trust for bond holders the City would be in a position to safely certify that land and district were free of lien, in the event you could not get all of the bonds in.

Mr. Laws stated that the cost to the City would be $3,900 contingency to the banking group and $1,000 per year on the new issue to replace the present issue, depending on how many bonds were turned in, and that the City might have a substantial escrow deposit to make.

Mr. Buckler stated that he did not think the bankers would make the effort unless they thought it possible to get the bonds in, as they know their buyers, however, there is a possibility that some of the bond holders will think this an opportunity for a hold-up.

Mr. Laws stated that Mr. Gilman, Planning Director had visited the Urban Renewal Regional office in Philadelphia today and would perhaps like to say something.

Mr. Gilman stated that when the problem was thrown back - what the Urban Renewal Agency wanted was not a specific arrangement for reissuing the bonds, but to be told that legal mechanics existed to carry on the project. He stated that the City is actually gambling an expense of $29,000 or slightly higher if the money is put in escrow, against $400,000. He stated that he thought that what had transpired at this meeting is the answer the Federal Government wants - they want to know there is a legal way of doing it.

Mr. Laws stated that there is no way to assure the Council how much the escrow deposit will be, it could be any part of the $390,000. Also, that in making this decision the City is committed to a contingent of $3,900 and $1,000 per year for a maximum of 22 years, and an escrow deposit of an unknown amount.

Mr. Buckler advised that it was his opinion that the 1/4% higher interest to be offered to the bond holders is a proposal based on the current market.

Mr. Akins of the Butcher and Sherrerd Company was present and inquired if there was a possibility of sale of a lease back type, as a solution to the problem. Mr. Buckler replied that the City has no Charter authority for this.

Councilman Martin Stated that it appeared that in order to expand in Parking District No. 1, whether with Urban Renewal or otherwise, something along the lines of the procedure as outlined by Mr. Buckler and Mr. Laws would be necessary.

Councilman Laws stzted that it looks as though we have reached the stage where we have no alternative - we have to go ahead.

After further discussion Mr. Perkins, Executive Secretary, stated that there is evidence that there is a legal means of solving the problem and suggested that he be authorized to draft a letter to the Urban Renewal Agency and advise the methods discussed at this meeting and then present the letter to the Council for consideration at the next regular meeting. The Council requested the Executive Secretary to draft such a letter for their consideration at the next regular meeting.

5. RESOLUTION NO. 10 - A RESOLUTION of the Council of The City of Salisbury proposing amendments to Sections 100, 101 and 102A of the Charter of The City of Salisbury in order to authorize The City of Salisbury to issue and sell revenue bonds for its general corporate purposes, including the encouragement of industrial development and expansion, same being declared a public purpose, and in order to provide the procedures by which revenue bonds may be issued and sold, and in order to provide that only bonds payable from the City's general tax revenues shall constitute an indebtedness of The City of Salisbury within the meaning of the Charter's debt limitation of seven and one-half percent of assessed valuation of all property taxable by the City, was adopted as amended by inserting "Whole or in part" in Section 102A, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Laws.

6. The Executive Secretary presented request from the Department of Public Works for Council approval of renting privately owned equipment, Which Mr. Warren L. Ayers, Mechanic at the City"s Maintenance Shop, loans to the City, as an effort to induce Mr. Ayers to remain in the City's employ. After discussion the request was denied on motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Laws.

7. Councilman Long inquired as to what was being done to find suitable homes for those people who have been forced to vacate premises due to the forced demolition of their homes. Mr. Perkins advised that every effort is being made to find suitable quarters for these people.

8. The meeting was adjourned at 9:55 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Martin.

Date

President of The Council

Josephine M. Trumbleffield
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND MARCH 26, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in regular session at 7:00 P.M., Monday, March 26, 1962 at the City Hall. The following were present: President of the Council Wm. E. Wyatt, Jr., Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, Joseph J. Long, Richard M. Laws, and W. Paul Martin, Jr. Also present was Mayor Boyd E. McLernon.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The reading of the minutes of the special meeting of March 19, 1962 was dispensed with on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

3. Mr. Perkins, the Executive Secretary, reported that bids were received on March 23, 1962 on Contract 1-62-SM, Curb, Gutter and Sidewalk as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Paul V. Downing, Inc., | $26,415.00 |
| Hannaman & Burroughs | $29,425.00 |

He stated that the bids had been reviewed by the Department of Public Works and that it was recommended that the Contract be awarded to the low bidder, Paul V. Downing, Inc. Council concurred in the award of Contract 1-62-SM (Account No. 32-400 Gen.) in the amount of $26,415.00 to Paul V. Downing, Inc., on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Long.

4. The Executive Secretary reported that bids were received on March 23, 1962 on Contract 1-62-P, Chain Link Fencing - Doverdale Playground, as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| John C. Anderson | $3,120.55 |
| Anchor Post Products | $3,582.50 |

He stated that the bids had been reviewed by the Department of Public Works and that it was recommended that the contract be awarded to the low bidder. The Council concurred in awarding Contract 1-62-P (Account No. 34-400 Gen.) in the amount of $3,120.55 to the low bidder, John C. Anderson, on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

5. The Executive Secretary requested Council approval of a letter to be sent to the Urban Renewal Agency relating to the bonding problem, which was delaying approval of the application on Urban Renewal Project No. 3; a copy of the draft form of the letter having been furnished each Council member in the briefing books. After discussion the Council concurred in approval of forwarding the letter as drafted to the Urban Renewal Agency, on a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Martin.

6. The Executive Secretary presented the matter of the Housing Survey, he stated that the total cost of the survey would be $5,900, and that two-thirds of the cost would be borne by the State amounting to $3800, and that the City's share would be $2,100. Councilman Martin inquired as to what the Housing Survey would point out that the City does not already know, and whether it would be worth $2100 when the City already knows where the worst places are. He stated that there are enough bad situations which are known about to require at least five years to clear up. Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, said he was inclined to agree, however, the survey would give a detailed picture to work with more systematically and would give favorable publicity in the enforcement of the program. After further discussion the Council resolved

that the Housing Survey not be undertaken at this time on a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Martin.

7. The Executive Secretary presented an agreement between the City of Salisbury and the State Roads Commission for the sweeping of Route 50 for a total of $1,900 per year for Council approval. The Council concurred in authorizing that the agreement by signed by Mayor Boyd E. McLernon on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Laws.

8. The Executive Secretary referred the Council to letters from the Salisbury Retailers' Association and the Mayor's Parking Committee urging that the Quinton Johnson Lot on North Division Street be acquired and developed for metered parking. After discussion the Council resolved that the Quinton Johnson property on North Division Street be leased for a five year term and the sum of $260.00 per month be paid to Mr. Johnson rather than the suggested $240.00 per month with abatement of taxes which would amount to $20.00 per month; and that Mr. Johnson continue to pay the taxes on the property, on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

9. The City Solicitor stated that after the special meeting on March 19, at which time Resolution No. 10 was adopted, Mr. Buckler, Bonding Attorney, reviewed the Resolution and had suggested four changes as follows:

In Paragraph 5 - following "Except at prices approved by the Council," add "At not less than their face amount."

Paragraph 8 - there has been a new addition to the Maryland Code and should read "(1957 Edition)".

Paragraph 6 - points out that in some instances the City may wish to deposit with bank or other trustees and relieve Treasurer

Paragraph 3 - All bonds now have to be manually signed by the Mayor and the City Clerk - suggests add to the language that one facsimilie signature by one or the other may be used.

The City Solicitor advised that if the Council approved of these suggestions that Resolution No. 10 be reconsidered and re-enacted. The Council concurred in the suggestions as set forth and agreed to re-enact Resolution No. 10 on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws.

10. The City Solicitor inquired as to what the Council had in mind concerning the existing Parking District Bond Issue. He stated that it would take some time and planning to do the things which Mr. Buckler had suggested and thought perhaps the Council would want to be working on them and set up a schedule and time table. The Executive Secretary advised that he would like to go over the matter some more and come back to the Council with recommendations. Mr. Buckler advised that it was his opinion that Mr. Buckler did not contemplate making escrow deposit and interest on the whole issue.

11. The regular meeting was recessed at 8:15 P.M. in order for the Council to go to the

Civic Center for the Public Hearing scheduled to be held on the Proposed Urban Renewal Project No. 3 at 8:30 P.M.

12. The Council reconvened in regular session, with all members present together with Mayor Boyd E. McLernon, immediately following the adjournment of the public hearing at 9:25 P.M., at the Civic Center.

13. Mr. Victor H. Laws, City Solicitor, reviewed the Council Resolution for approval of Urban Renewal Project No. 3. After discussion the Council concurred in adoption of the Resolution approving the Urban Renewal Plan for Project No. 3 - Central Business District - North Side Urban Renewal Project (see Resolution attached to minutes) on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook.

14. The Council agreed that the next regular meeting to be held on April 9, 1962, would start at 7:00 P.M., one hour earlier than the usual hour.

15. The meeting was adjourned at 9:45 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

4-9-62
Date

President of The Council

Clerk

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND, APPROVING THE URBAN RENEWAL PLAN AND THE FEASIBILITY OF RELOCATION FOR PROJECT NO. 3 "CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT NORTH SIDE URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT."

WHEREAS, under the provisions of Title I of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, the Housing and Home Finance Administrator is authorized to provide financial assistance to Local Public Agencies for undertaking and carrying out urban renewal projects; and

WHEREAS, it is provided in such Act that contracts for financial aid thereunder shall require that the Urban Renewal Plan for the respective project area be approved by the governing body of the locality in which the project is situated and that such approval include findings by the governing body that: (1) the financial aid to be provided in the contract is necessary to enable the project to be undertaken in accordance with the Urban Renewal Plan; (2) the Urban Renewal Plan will afford maximum opportunity, consistent with the sound needs of the locality as a whole, for the rehabilitation or redevelopment of the urban renewal area by private enterprise; (3) the Urban Renewal Plan conforms to a general plan for the development of the locality as a whole; and (4) the Urban Renewal Plan gives due consideration to the provision of adequate park and recreational areas and facilities, as may be desirable for neighborhood improvement, with special consideration for the health, safety, and welfare of children residing in the general vicinity of the site by the Plan, or because of the nature of the area there is no need for such park and recreational area and facilities; and

WHEREAS, The City of Salisbury (herein called the "Local Public Agency") has obtained the concurrence of the Housing and Home Finance Administrator in the commencement and preparation, without Federal financial assistance, of surveys and plans for the urban renewal project (herein called the "Project") identified as "Central Business District - North Side Project" and encompassing the area bounded by new East Church Street on the North; North Salisbury Boulevard (U.S. 13) on the East; by Calvert Street on the South; except that the block containing Artcraft Electric and Acme Market are excluded; and bounded on the West by the east boundary lines of the Chantry House property, Nock property, and Vernon Powell property in the City of Salisbury, State of Maryland, (herein called the "Locality"); and

WHEREAS, the Local Public Agency has applied for financial assistance under such Act and proposes to enter into a contract or contracts with the Housing and Home Finance Agency for the undertaking of, and for making available financial assistance for, the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Local Public Agency has made detailed studies of the location, physical condition of structures, land use, environmental influences, and social, cultural, and economic conditions of the Project area and has determined that the area is a "slum area" in part and a "blighted area" in part, and that it is detrimental and a menace to the safety, health, and welfare of the inhabitants and users thereof and of the Locality at large, because of predominence of dwellings which through depreciation, over-crowding, faulty arrangement, or design, lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities, or any combination thereof are detrimental to the public safety, health or morals, and presence of a majority of buildings which have declined in productivity by reason of obsolescence, depreciation or other causes to an extent that no longer justify fundamental repairs and adequate maintenance; and

WHEREAS, there has been prepared and referred to the Council of The City of Salisbury (herein called the "Governing Body") for review and approval an Urban Renewal Plan for the Project area, dated March 26, 1962 and consisting of sixteen (16) pages and three (3) exhibits and supporting material; and

WHEREAS, the project area, which is predominantly nonresidential in character, is to be redeveloped for predominantly nonresidential uses under said Urban Renewal Plan; and

WHEREAS, a general plan has been prepared and is recognized and used as a guide for the general development of the Locality as a whole; and

WHEREAS, the Salisbury Planning Commission, which is the duly designated and acting official planning body for the Locality, has submitted to the Governing Body its report and recommendations respecting said Urban Renewal Plan for the Project area and has certified that said Urban Renewal Plan conforms to the said general plan for the Locality as a whole, and the Governing Body has duly considered said report, recommendations, and certification of the planning body; and

WHEREAS, said Urban Renewal Plan for the Project area prescribes certain land uses for the Project area and will require among other things, changes in zoning, the vacating and removal of streets, alleys, and other public ways, the establishment of new street patterns, the location and relocation of sewer and water mains, and other public facilities, and public utility facilities, and other public action; and

WHEREAS, the Local Public Agency has prepared and submitted proposals for the relocation of families that may be displaced as a result of carrying out the Project in accordance with said Urban Renewal Plan; and

WHEREAS, there have also been presented to the Governing Body information and data respecting the proposals for relocation which have been prepared by the Local Public Agency as a result of studies, surveys, and inspections in the Project area and the assembling and analysis of the data and information obtained from such studies, surveys, and inspections; and

WHEREAS, the members of this Governing Body have general knowledge of the conditions prevailing in the Project area and of the availability of proper housing in the locality for the relocation of families that may be displaced from the Project area and, in the light of such knowledge of local housing conditions, have carefully considered and reviewed such proposals for relocation; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary that the Governing Body take appropriate official action respecting the proposals for relocation and said Urban Renewal Plan for the Project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY AS FOLLOWS:

1. That it is hereby found and determined that the Project is a "slum area" in part and a "blighted area" in part and qualifies as an eligible Project Area under Article XII-A of the Charter of The City of Salisbury.

2. That said Urban Renewal Plan for the Project aforementioned, having been duly reviewed and considered, is hereby approved, and the Clerk of The City of Salisbury be and is hereby directed to file said copy of said Urban Renewal Plan with the minutes of this meeting.

3. That it is hereby found and determined that said Urban Renewal Plan for the Project area conforms to said general plan of the Locality.

4. That it is hereby found and determined that the financial aid provided and to be provided pursuant to said contract for Federal financial assistance pertaining to the Project is necessary to enable the Project to be undertaken in accordance with the Urban Renewal Plan for the Project area.

5. That the redevelopment of the Urban Renewal Area for predominantly nonresidential uses is necessary for the proper development of the community.

6. That it is hereby found and determined that the above mentioned Urban Renewal Plan for the Urban Renewal Area will afford maximum opportunity, consistent with the sound needs of the Locality as a whole, for the urban renewal of such areas by private enterprise.

7. That it is hereby found and determined that the Urban Renewal Plan for the Urban Renewal Area , after giving due consideration to the provision of adequate park and recreational areas and facilities, as may be desirable for neighborhood improvement, with special consideration for the health, safety, and welfare of children residing in the general vicinity of the site covered by the Plan, correctly finds no such facilities necessary because the area is part of the Central Business District.

8. That it is hereby found and determined that the proposals for the proper relocation of the families displaced in carrying out the Project in decent, safe and sanitary dwellings in conformity with acceptable standards are feasible and can be reasonably and timely effected to permit the proper prosecution and completion of the Project; and that such dwellings or dwelling units available or to be made available to such displaced families are at least equal in number to the number of displaced families, are not generally less desirable in regard to public utilities and public and commercial facilities than the dwellings of the displaced families in the Project area, are available at rents or prices within the financial means of the displaced families, and are reasonably accessible to their places of employment.

9. That in order to implement and facilitate the effectuation of the Urban Renewal Plan hereby approved it is found and determined that certain official action must be taken by this Body with reference, among other things, to changes in zoning, the vacating and removal of streets, alleys, and other public ways, the establishment of new street patterns, the location and relocation of sewer and water mains and other public facilities, and public utility facilities and other public action, and, accordingly, this Body hereby : (a) pledges its cooperation in helping to carry out such Urban Renewal Plan; (b) requests the various officials, departments, boards, and agencies of the Locality having administrative responsibilities in the premises likewise to cooperate to such end and to exercise their respective functions and powers in a manner consistent with said Urban Renewal Plan; and (c) stands ready to consider and take appropriate action upon proposals and measures designed to effectuate said Urban Renewal Plan.

10. That financial assistance under the provisions of Title I of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, is necessary to enable the land in the Project area to be renewed in accordance with the Urban Renewal Plan for the Project area and, accordingly, the filing by the Local Public Agency of an application or applications for such financial assistance under said Title I is hereby approved.

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND APRIL 4, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in special session at 8:00 P.M., Wednesday, April 4, 1962 at the City Hall. The following were present: President of the Council Wm. E. Wyatt, Jr., Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, Joseph J. Long, Richard M. Laws, and W. Paul Martin, Jr. Also present was Mayor Boyd E. McLernon.

1. Mr. Perkins, Executive Secretary reviewed the report on Purchasing, a copy of which had been furnished the Council prior to the meeting. He outlined the recommendations as set forth in his report as follows: 1. that a full-time purchasing agent be hired, 2. that the necessary Charter revisions be made, 3. that purchasing office be created in the Finance Department Office space, as shown on the drawing presented, 3. that a new Purchasing Manual be prepared, and 4. that a Clerk- Secretary be hired.

The Council concurred in approval of the recommendations as outlined above on a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Martin.

Councilman Long asked to be excused from the meeting prior to voting on the above purchasing matter, and requested the President of the Council to cast a negative vote for him for reasons as follows: (1) passage of Ordinance and changes proposed could not be effected before the new Mayor and Council takes office and he felt this a matter on which the new Council should make a decision; (2) that at the special meeting held on February 22, 1962 the Council requested that a study be made by the City Solicitor, the Auditor and the Executive Secretary, and that the report furnished on Purchasing indicated that the City Solicitor had not been consulted on the matter; and that he felt this was improper and for those reasons would vote "No.""

2. The Executive Secretary presented request from Paul V. Downing, Inc., for approval of the Council to waive the Contract Bond in the full amount of Contract No. 1-62-SM, Curb, Gutter and Sidewalk, and hold the Bid Bond on this Contract, amounting to $1,400. plus 10% of the total amount of the Contract in lieu of the required Contract Bond. After discussion the Council agreed that this request be granted in this instance only, and requested the Executive Secretary to advise Paul V. Downing, Inc., by letter the Contract Bond requirement will not be waived on any future contracts, and is permitted on this Contract only, on a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Martin.

3. The Executive Secretary reported that complaints have been received from people in the area from where the parking meters have been removed on East Church Street from Division to Bond Street. After discussion the Council agreed to put the meters back in the area and to take time in eliminating meters until the traffic situation is known when the bridge is opened, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook.

4. Councilman Martin advised that a problem exists at Fire House No. 1 due to a shortage of equipment which will pass underwriters' inspection, he stressed the need for bringing equipment up to strength to meet requirements of underwriters, and immediate need of considering purchase of new fire engine. After discussion the Council agreed that plans be started for purchase of a new fire engine, which it is understood would take about nine months to procure, to be purchased from appropriation

in 1963 Budget.

5. There was discussion on the resignation of Mr. Warren Ayers, Mechanic at the City's Garage. The Council agreed that the matter be tabled to be brought up at the next regular meeting.

6. Mayor McLernon read a letter from Mr. Elmer Lynch submitting his resignation as a member of the Board of Supervisors of Election effective March 30, 1962, and requested Council consent in his appointment of Mr. William P. Long to fill the unexpired term of Mr. Lynch, which expires January 31, 1966. After discussion the Council concurred in the Mayor's appointment of Mr. William P. Long, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Laws.

7. The meeting was adjourned at 10:00 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Laws.

April 9, 1962
Date

President of The Council

Josephine M. Trumblefield
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND APRIL 9, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met at 7:00 P.M., Monday, April 9, 1962 at the City Hall. The following were present: President of the Council, Wm. E. Wyatt, Jr., Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, Joseph J. Long, Richard M. Laws and W. Paul Martin, Jr. Also present was Mayor Boyd E. McLernon.

1. The President of the Council stated that the meeting had been called one hour in advance of the regular meeting for the purpose of continuing review of the Housing Code, and requested Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, to continue the review of the Housing Code starting with page 8 Section 201.1. Mr. Gilman reviewed the Housing Code during the one hour period, the review ending on Page 18 Section 306.2. During the review of the Code the following amendments were agreed upon:

Page 12 - Rooming Unit - to be standard number of rooms.
Page 16 - Add "During occupancy." (Section 303.3)
Page 17 - Section 305.3 following "Measured at a point 3" above the floor" add 6 ft. from heat source

2. The regular meeting of the Council of The City of Salisbury was opened at 8:00 P.M. by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

3. The reading of the minutes of the meeting of March 26, 1962 was dispensed with on a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Martin.

4. The Executive Secretary reported that bids were received on March 30, 1962 on Contract No. 2-62-SM Bituminous Paving (Surface Treatment) as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Delmarva Asphalt Co., Seaford, Del. | $ 20,697.50 |
| Hannaman-Burroughs Co., Salisbury, Md. | 22,395.00 |

He stated that the bids had been reviewed by the Department of Public Works and that it was recommended that the contract be awarded to the low bidder. The Council concurred in awarding Contract No. 2-62-SM to Delmarva Asphalt Co., in the amount of $20,697.50 (Account No. 32-400 Gen.) on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Laws.

5. The Executive Secretary reported that bids were received on March 30, 1962 on Contract No. 3-62-SM Bituminous Concrete Paving (Blacktop) as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Interstate Amiesite Corp., Wilmington, Del. | $26,965.00 |
| Standard Bithulithic Co., Seaford, Del. | 28,100.00 |

He stated that the bids had been reviewed by the Department of Public Works and that it was recommended that the contract be awarded to the low bidder. The Council concurred in awarding Contract No. 3-62-SM to Interstate Amiesite Corp., in the amount of $26,965.00 (Account No. 32-400 Gen.) on a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Martin.

6. The Executive Secretary reported that bids were received on March 30, 1962 on Contract No. A-104-62 for the Ready Mixed Concrete Requirements for the Year 1962 as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Pocahontas, Inc., | $3,282.50 |
| Peninsula Concrete Co. | 3,510.00 |

He stated that the bids had been reviewed by the Department of Public Works and that it was recommended that the contract be awarded to the low bidder. The Council concurred in awarding Contract No. A-104-62 to Pocahontas, Inc., in the approximate amount $3,282.50 on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Long.

7. The Executive Secretary reported that a petition had been received signed by 23 residents of Penn Street petitioning the City to clean up and correct existing conditions in the area. Mr. Perkins advised that an inspection was made of the area and that the area has been cleaned up, filled in and graded and that the people in the area are satisfied with the results. He stated that he had advised the residents that it is now their responsibility to keep the area clean. (see petition attached to minutes).

8. The Executive Secretary reported that Mayor McLernon had reappointed Mrs. Irma Tilghman as a member to the Board of Supervisors of Elections effective February 1, 1962 for a six year term to expire January 31, 1968. The Council concurred in the appointment of Mrs. Tilghman on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws.

9. The Executive Secretary reported that the County Commissioners had requested the City's concurrence in their appointments to the Wicomico County Planning and Zoning Commission as follows:

Mr. Hermus Lowe - reappointed February 28, 1962 to February 28, 1967
Mr. Willard Oakley appointed to fill the unexpired term of
Mr. John Aydelotte to expire February 28, 1965

The Council concurred in the appointments above indicated on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

10. The Executive Secretary presented request from Mr. John Hess for permission to close Main Street from Market Street to the Post Office, if necessary, and Market Street from Main Street to Camden for the Summer Carnival sponsored by the Retail Merchants Association May 24, 26 and 26. Mr. Perkins stated that he suggested that the plans for the mall with emergency lanes be approved by the Chief of Police, as was done last year. The Council concurred in granting the request with the understanding that the plans would be cleared with the Police Department on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

11. The Executive Secretary reported for Council information that after negotiating with Mr. Richard Cooley of Shoreland Freezers that it had been agreed to turn the sewer meter owned by Shoreland Freezers over to the City. He stated that in the past difficulty had been experienced with the meter and that the Treasurer had been unable to get accurate readings for

billing purposes. He stated that the lock has been changed on the meter and that it will now be the City's responsibility to maintain the meter and that it is hoped that accurate readings will now be obtained.

12. The Executive Secretary presented proposal for street lighting on Delaware Avenue from Main Street to the City limits. He stated that the lights presently on Delaware Avenue are 100 watt lights and recommended that lights of 11,000 lumins be installed in the area, which would tend to upgrade the area and help the City Yard and recreation area located in that vicinity, which is now poorly lighted. He stated that the annual cost would be about $1200. Councilman Long introduced a motion that the recommendations for lighting Delaware Avenue as presented by the Executive Secretary be accepted. Councilman Martin stated that he would like to look the area over before a decision is made. Councilman Long withdrew the motion and the Council concurred in deferring the matter until they have an opportunity to look the area over, and for a later decision.

13. The Executive Secretary reported that he had been advised by the Bureau of Internal Revenue that the proposed auction of the Kleger property on West Main Street, in which the City is interested in acquiring for restroom facility, had been called off due to their account having been satisfied. He stated that the City had made an offer of $3,000 for the property and that the property had been appraised at $4,500, and that if the Council so desired he would re-negotiate in an effort to acquire the property. After discussion the Council requested that the Executive Secretary investigate the cost of acquiring the Kleger property.

14. The Executive Secretary read a letter from Mrs. Carrie Lee Somervell, owner of the Little Hat Shop on East Church Street, expressing appreciation to the City for replacing the parking meters in the area of her shop and requesting that if the meters have to be removed that they be placed on the sidewalk side of Church Street rather than moving them permanently.

15. The Executive Secretary presented request from the County Commissioners to concur in execution of lease agreement between Symington Wayne and the Airport Commission for the operation of an airplane hangar at the Airport, which operation the City had concurred in approval with the County recently. Mr. Victor Laws, City Solicitor, advised that he had reviewed the lease agreement and suggested that it be passed with amendments -

The current lease is based on 50% of comparable rents of Wilmington and Friendship Airports and is silent as to what is to be done should there be a change in these airports during term of the lease, and if that is the standard for computing the rent suggest that they find two other airports in similar business. Also, that there is a clause in the lease now which requires the City and County to provide continued unimpeded access from their property to their taxiway. He stated that there may be some situation over which the City, County and Airport Commission might not have any control - that access may be impeded by cars parking while watching exhibitions, etc., and that he suggested the lease to read "Will use best efforts to provide reasonable access at all times to taxiway."

After discussion the Council concurred in authorizing that the lease be executed on behalf of the City by Mayor Boyd E McLernon with the amendments as proposed by the City Solicitor,

on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

16. The Executive Secretary called to the attention of the Council the matter of parking meter collections on the West Main Street Lot being off about $100 for the month of March.

17. Mr. Victor H. Laws, City Solicitor, presented a resolution approving conditions under which relocation payments will be made in Urban Renewal Project No. 3. Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, explained that the relocation payments reimburse people having to move the cost of their expense in relocating, and that it is a 100% grant from the Federal Government. He stated that the relocation will be made in accordance with Federal rules and regulations and that the time limit for submitting all claims is six months, and covers the actual moving expense. He stated that this reolution in no way changes the Urban Renewal Plan which the City has already approved and does not bind the City any more or any less than the application already filed; also that the resolution will satisfy the requirement of the Federal Government for clarifica ion on this point. After discussion the following Resolution was introduced and duly adopted by the Council on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws:

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY approving conditions under which relocation payments will be made for Project No. MD-R-19 (Central Business District-North Side Urban Renewal Project).

WHEREAS, the rules and regularions prescribed by the Federal Government pursuant to Section 106(f) of said Title I require that the conditions under which The City of Salisbury will make relocation payments in connection with the Urban Renewal Project contemplated by said application be officially approved by the Governing Body of The City of Salisbury; and

WHEREAS, there was presented to this meeting of the Governing Body of The City of Salisbury, for its consideration and approval, a copy of an Urban Renewal Plan for said project area, dated March 26, 1962, which plan is entitled "Urban Renewal Plan for Central Business District North Side Urban Renewal Project No. 3" and consists of sixteen pages and three exhibits and a set of conditions under which The City of Salisbury will make relocation payments, which set of conditions is set forth in the relocation plan attached hereto and marked for the Urban Renewal Project contemplated by said application; and

WHEREAS, the Urban Renewal Plan and the conditions under which The City of Salisbury will make relocation payments were reviewed and considered at said meeting:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Salisbury, as follows:

1. That the conditions under which The City of Salisbury will make relocation payments are hereby in all respects approved.

2. That the Urban Renewal Director is hereby designated to approve all claims for Relocation payments.

18. The City Solicitor reported that he had received a letter from the Michie City Publishing Company, Charlottesville, Virginia, reminding that it was time to bring the City Code up to date with the new ordinances and revisions for the Year 1961, as was done for the Year 1960. He stated they would re-edit and reset in print the revisions at a cost of $11.50 per page and furnish 200 copies of each page. He stated that there appeared to be about 60 pages to be re-edited and reset in print for the supplement and would run about $690.00. Mr. Laws stated that he and Mr. Perkins felt that this cost appeared to be a little high and that they would like to see if some figures could be gotten locally on bringing the Code up to date in an effort to get the job done more economically. After discussion the Council requested the City Solicitor to investigate the possibility of having it done locally for less money.

19. The City Solicitor presented drawing made by the Engineering Department of the property proposed to be leased from Mr. Quinton Johnson on North Division Street for parking. He described the property and reviewed the terms and conditions of the lease. After discussion the Council concurred in authorizing that the lease be executed by Mayor McLernon on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Long. Mr. Quinton Johnson was present and stated that the lease would have to be approved by the Courts because the property is in estate.

20. Mr. Lee Johnson was spokesman for a group of about sixteen business men from the down town area. He expressed appreciation to the Mayor and Council for their cooperation and support in providing parking. He pointed out tha acute problem existing in the Camden - Market Street area and stated that the merchants in this area have been badly hurt due to the conditions. He stated that if there is going to be continued delay in the Urban Renewal Project for this area that we must look to some other means of moving along to give these people ample prime parking. He requested Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, to give a progress report on the Urban Renewal Project for this area. Mr. Gilman advised that he had received a letter from the Regional Office in Philadelphia advising that the project had been sent to the Federal Office in Washington, which means that it is just a matter of weeks before the City will get the survey and planning funds, and that as soon as the City has the survey and planning funds that appraisals can be made on the properties and negotiations begun. He stated that he expected to get the funds within the next two to three weeks and if the City moves rapidly appraisals can be obtained on the properties within a month to six weeks and then negotiations can begin immediately on the properties. After further discussion the Council a thorized the appropriation of money to cover cost of appraisals of the properties considered critical in the Camden-Market Street area, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Laws.

21. The President of the Council announced that it had been requested by the City Engineer that an executive session be held following adjournment of the regular meeting. The regular meeting adjourned at 9:45 P.M.

22. Immediately following adjournment of the regular meeting the Council convened in an executive session for the purpose of discussion of Dept. of Public Works personnel. Mr. Cooper, City Engineer, stated that he had gone over the personnel list carefully and had found that six

key employees were involved in the matter of increasing the salary of Warren Ayres, for whom no suitable replacement cound be found. He presented the following suggested increases in weekly salaries:

Warren Ayers from 12-C to 13-D ($101.50 to $112.00 weekly
Marion Evans from 12-C - $101.50 to 13-C $108.00 weekly
George Mumford from 12-A - $95.00 to 13-A 101.50 weekly
Carl Esham from 9-B $79.50 to $82.00 weekly
Olin Tarr from 70.65 to 6-A $73.35 weekly
Grover Ruark from 58.95 to 4-A $63.45 weekly

Mr. Cooper stated that the increase to the six men would mean a total weekly increase of $34.20 and could be financed by removing Charles Gochnaur, Assistant Mechanic at the Maintenance Shop, Salary $67.95, and replacing him with C ifford Garrett, laborer in the sewer crew whose salary is $55.35 per week and whose salary would not change, and who also would not be replaced in the sewer crew, and that this would result in a net savings to the City of $33.75 per week.

The Executive Secretary stated that he did not know that these changes were to be brought before the Council. He stated that there are five people in the grade in which Mr. Ayers is classified and that he did not think it wise to make these changes in the middle of the year as there were sure to be repercussions. He suggested that the personnel in the one grade be dealt with and at the end of the year a review be made of the other people. He stated that it was his opinion that once these raises start there was a question as to where it was going to stop.

After further discussion the Council concurred in approval of the following increases on a motion by Councilman Laws and seconded by Councilman Martin. Councilmen Fullbrook and Long voted in the negative. The President of the Council, Wm. E. Wyatt, cast an affirmative vote thereby breaking the tie and the motion was duly carried.

Warren Ayers From Grade 12-C $101.50 to Grade 13-D $112.00 per week
Marion F. Evans, Grade 12-C $101.50 to Grade 13-C $108.00 per week
George Mumford, From Grade 12-A $95.00 per week to Grade 13-A $101.50 per wee

23. The meeting was adjourned at 10:0t P.M. on a motion by Councilma n Long and seconded by Councilma Martin.

April 23, 1962
Date

President of The Council

Josephine M. Trawbridge
Clerk

# PETITION

CITY COUNCIL
SALISBURY, MARYLAND

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

WE THE UNDERSIGNED DO HEREBY PETITION THE CITY COUNCIL, ON BEHALF OF THE TAX PAYING RESIDENTS ON PENN STREET IN THE CITY OF SALISBURY, FROM ONE END OF PEN STREET TO THE OTHER END, ON THE BACK PORTION OF LOTS, THE CITY OF SALISBURY UNLOADED DEBRIS FROM THE INCINERATOR. THIS CONDITION, WE FEEL IS DETRIMENTAL AND QUITE DANGERIOUS TO THE HEALTH AND GENERAL WELFARE OF THE CHILDREN AND ADULTS RESIDING ON PENN STREET. WE ARE HEREBY, AGAIN, PETITIONING THE CITY TO CORRECT THIS CONDITION BY CLEANING UP AND FILLING IN - WITH FILL DIRT - THESE BACK LOTS THAT THE CITY CONVISICATED FOR THE CITY DUMP. WE ARE BEING INFESTED BY RATS - THESE RATS ARE NOT ONLY PREVILIANT IN THIS BACK LOT BUT HAVE NOW INVADED OUR YEARDS WHERE OUR CHILDREN PLAY AND ARE INVADING OUR HOMES AND WE SINCERELY FEEL FOR THE SAFETY OF OUR CHILDREN.

WE HAVE BROUGHT THIS BEFORE THE COUNCIL BEFORE THE CITY BEFORE AND SEVERAL COUNCIIMEN LOOKED THE SITUATION ~~OVERXXXXX~~ AND ALSO A MEMBER OF THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT - WE WERE PROMISED ON ALL OF THESE OCCASIONS THAT SOMETHING DEFINITELY WOULD BE DONE TO CORRECT THIS SITUATION. AS OF THIS WRITING THE ~~XXXXXXX~~ THE SAME CONDITION STILL EXISTS AND IS GETTING MUCH, MUCH WORSE. WE HAVE GOTTEN TO THE POINT WHERE WE CAN NO LONGER IGNOIR OR HAVE FAITH IN THE PREVIOUS PROMISES - WE WANT SOMETHING DONE IMMEDIATELY - WE FEEL THIS CONDITION IS SERIOUS ENOUGH TO HAVE YOUR IMMEDIATE ATTENTION AND A REMEDY FOR THIS UNBEARABLE SITITATION.

Mr. + Mrs. John A. Livingston
Mr & Mrs Hubert I. Johnson
Kathleen L. Wyatt
Jerry J. Wyatt
Ella O. Balke
Louise A. Balke
Mabel P. Derby
Rufus Dashiell
Edith D. Dashiell

John E. Griffin
F.L. Donaway
Alice A. Gray
Geo E. Gray
Mrs George B Gray
Harold T. Stewart Jr
Jim Stewart
William Dixon
Robert Dixon

(over)

April 19, 1962

To: Mayor & Council

From: Director of Finance

Subject: Abatement of taxes

Due to the fact that the City has requested several property owners to demolish certain buildings, this office has had a few requests to decrease the tax assessment accordingly for the year 1962.

Below is a list of the properties and this office recommends that the Council authorize an abatement.

| Owner | Location | Assessment | Tax |
|---|---|---|---|
| Mrs. Edna S. Hitch | 619 Oak Hill Ave. | 1213. | 15.28 |
| Walter Wilkins | 838 Brown St. | 211. | 2.66 |
| Hannah Wright | 608 Lake St. | 298. | 3.75 |
| Arthur D. Browne | 702 Lake St. | 296. | 3.73 |
| Arthur D. Browne | 515 Willow St. | 17. | .21 |
| Arthur D. Browne | 511 " " | 85. | 1.07 |
| Margaret Walker & Irene Toadvine | 800 Albert St. | 557. | 7.02 |
| Margaret Walker & Irene Toadvine | 804 " " | 567. | 7.14 |
| Paul Beckett | Allen Ave. | 334. | 4.21 |
| Beula Twilley | 106 Carrolton Ave. | 1028. | 12.95 |
| Villies, Inc. | 518 Commerce St. | 606. | 7.64 |
| Walton & Iva Bozman | 311 Broad St. | 730. | 9.20 |
| Jennie Miller | 521 Gordon St. | 568. | 7.16 |
| Dayton McClain | 201 E. Locust | 10.54. | 13.28 |
| Wilson Tarr | 317 Camden Ave. | 248. | 3.12 |
| Louis Kleger | 402 W. Main St. | 952. | 12.00 |
| Richard Hodgson | 113 Second St. | 204. | 2.57 |
| William Wailes | 509 Rose St. | 66. | .83 |
| Wallace Holloway | Jefferson St. | 24.54. | 30.92 |
| | Total | 11,488. | 144.74 |

We, the undersigned property owners, owning property bordering upon the marshy area surrounding Wicomico Branch between a point just east of Russell Avenue and Riverside Drive, hereby petition the City of Salisbury to make improvements in the low lying areas involving earth fill, straightening of drainage ditch and improving the grade in such a manner as to remove the mosquito breeding areas which now exist along this stream.

By virtue of this request, we hereby [illegible] to the City of Salisbury sufficient [illegible] easement free of all cost to permit the entrance and use of heavy equipment for the placing of fill and shaping of the area in order to give improved drainage to the [illegible] that it will be necessary to remove many trees in this area in order to permit the equipment to operate effectively. It is also understood that the placing of fill may in some cases endanger the remaining tree growth, and we agree to hold the City harmless of any damage that may occur [illegible] We reserve the right to [illegible] would receive careful attention to prevent injury or damage.

It is understood that this document and the work contemplated by it will in no way be considered to alter or change any existing property lines even though the ditches may be slightly changed in location to improve the flow of water.

We understand that there will be no special charge to the undersigned for the services performed by the City of Salisbury,

[illegible]

[illegible] of each of the property owners as they deem advisable

Approved by:

Philip C. Cooper
City Engineer

May 2, 1962
(Date)

Signed:

(William Thornton)

(Victor Davis)

(William [illegible])

(Francis Holloway)

(Richard W. Cooper)

(Brooks Troy)

([illegible] Roland [illegible], Jr.)

(Roger Stevens)

(William Smith)

(John Payne)

([illegible] Laws)

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND APRIL 23, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in regular session at the City Hall at 8:00 P.M., Monday, April 23, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Wm. E. Wyatt, Jr., Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, Joseph J. Long, and W. Paul Martin, Jr. Also present was Mayor Boyd E. McLernon.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The reading of the minutes of the meeting of April 9, 1962 was dispensed with on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

3. Mr. Robert Rogers of East Isabella Street appeared before the Council complaining of the heavy truck traffic on East Isabella Street. He stated that the matter had previously been brought to the attention of the Council and at that time the residents of the area were advised that the routing of traffic via Isabella Street would be only temporary until Route 50 was opened. He stated that Route 50 was now open to traffic, but the signs routing traffic over Isabella Street were still in place, and that this was causing inconvenience to the people on Isabella Street. He pointed out that Trailway Busses and Pocahontas Trucks are still travelling Isabella Street. He stated that this area has been rather abused by heavy traffic for a number of years, and did not feel that removing signs which directed traffic on the street would solve the problem. He suggested that signs "No Truck Traffic" be erected. Mayor McLernon advised that the matter had been called to his attention and that he had made observation of the traffic on Isabella Street. He stated that he had been informed that the busses are required to register their route with the Public Service Commission and have their request for change approved before they can change their routing, and that he was going to talk to the Bus Company regarding the matter. After further discussion the Council advised that an effort would be made to give the people on Isabella Street some relief from the heavy traffic, and requested the Executive Secretary and Chief of Police to make a study of traffic in all areas of the City.

4. The Executive Secretary reported that bids were received on Contract A-105-62 Fire Engine, as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| American LaFrance | - | $22,695.00 | (Alternate $18,000) |
| Seagrave Corp. | - | $22,250.00 | (Alternate $22,500) |

Chief Wilson Taylor was present and explained that the bid of $22,250 by Seagrave did not conform to specifications and that the difference of $195.00 between the low bid of $22,500 alternate bid and the bid of American LaFrance ($22,695) was for a V-12 motor. Chief Taylor recommended that the bid of $22,695 - American LaFrance for the six cylinder motor be accepted. He stated that the V-12 motors have given much trouble and that the straight six motor is far cheaper in overall maintenance and less troublesome; also that all of the City's fire apparatus is American LaFrance and require the services of only one service man. After discussion the Council concurred in accepting the bid of American LaFrance on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin. (Account No. 21-400 Gen. - 1963 Budget)

5. The Executive Secretary reported that Mr. Poynter, owner of a beer store at the corner of East Church and Isabella Streets, had requested that consideration be given to removing the yellow curb paint for a distance of three parking spaces on Isabella Street, in order to relieve the parking problem for his business. After discussion the Council authorized that the yellow curb paint be removed, as requested, on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

6. The Executive Secretary advised that the Planning Office is in need of additional space for Urban Renewal activities and that there is a vacant office adjacent to the Planning Office which could be rented for $15.00 per month. He stated that the offices would have to be renovated by removing one wall, in order to make the vacant office a part of the present Planning Office, and the cost for this could be charged to Urban Renewal. After discussion the Council agreed to the rental of the additional office space at $15.00 per month and the renovation of the office, as outlined by the Executive Secretary, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook.

7. The Executive Secretary referred the Council to a copy of a letter written to Mr. Robert Cannon by Mrs. William E. Gunther regarding the truck traffic on Camden Avenue - complaining of the noise and hazard to children in the area. Councilman Fullbrook stated that other complainants had been advised that steps would be taken to correct the condition when Route 50 was opened, and that he felt that a comprehensive plan to determine what is going to be done rather than try to correct the condition piecemeal. He suggested that a survey be made of the traffic now that Route 50 is open, as was decided on the Isabella Street complaint at this meeting, and that people be advised that the matter is being worked on. After discussion the Council concurred in making a study of traffic in the various ares from which complaints have been received, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook.

8. The Executive Secretary reported that when the notice appeared in the newspaper concerning the restrooms in the West end of the City, Mr. Lawrence Hancock telephoned and advised that he had property in the area which he would like to sell to the City or trade the property for the City's property on Route 50. The Executive Secretary stated that it was hoped that this property would be used as the site for the new Post Office. He stated that he had discussed this property with Post Office officials in Washington and that they were in favor of the site. The Council concurred in taking no action on Mr. Hancock's proposal at this time.

9. Councilman Martin inquired as to how much longer it would be before the old pipe left on the old City Yard property would be moved away, he stated it was unsightly.

10. The Executive Secretary requested that taxes be abated on 16 properties on which buildings had been demolished at the City's order, amounting to $144.74. The Council concurred in authorizing the abatement of the taxes on the properties listed on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook.

In this connection, the City Solicitor advised that assessments can be abated from July 1 to the end of the year, but assessments for the first half of the year have become final and there is no method under which they can be abated. The motion to abate the taxes for the full year was withdrawn and a motion authorizing abatement of taxes on the 16 properties for the last six months of 1962 (see list attached to minutes) was adopted by the Council.

11. The City Solicitor reported that the lease agreement on airport property with the changes approved at the last meeting of the Council was made final this date. Also, the Quinton Johnson leage agreement covering property on High Street and Garretson Place is now ready for the Mayor's signature and became effective this date.

12. Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, reported on the Extension of Urban Renewal Project No. 1. He delineated from a map shaded in red and blue the property to be taken into the area to extend the project to the River. He stated that by taking in the Cavanaugh improved property in this area would make negotiations easier. He pointed out the relocated Circle Avenue to tie into the new bridge and presented cost figures (see breakdown attached to minutes). He stated that he had not met with the County Commissioners, but thought they would be happy to be relieved of the Old Camden Bridge, and if they are willing to put their annual expense of the old Camden Bridge into an annual figure to the City it would be used for defraying the City's annual expense. He stated that in effect this would remove the Camden Avenue Bridge and put the bridge on Circle Avenue, which would tie into Carroll Street and relieve traffic situation at the Mill Street Bridge. He stated that he would suggest that the City proceed with planning phase of the project and include the areas, and if this meets with the County's approval he would come back before the Council with firm recommendations, and that it would all depend upon the County agreeing to this arrangement.

13. Mr. Gilman requested approval of hiring consultants to be paid from Urban Renewal loan and grant, which would be subject to Urban Renewal approval. He stated that he had interviewed three firms all of whom had extensive experience in Urban Renewal and all equally qualified. He recommended that the firm of Holland, Bartholomew Associates be engaged, and the price to be negotiated after selection is made. He stated that final contract is subject to approval of the Council and Urban Renewal Agency. He stated that he had asked that all work be completed within 30 days. Council approved selection on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

14. The City Engineer presented details of the Pinehurst Sewer Project to start at Russell Avenue and old Riverside and New Riverside Drive. He stated that the residents of this area had petitioned the City to correct conditions in this area and as a result he had worked up the project to be done on mosquito control, which three-fourths would be from State Funds and one-fourth from local funds. He stated that Dr. Lankford of the State Mosquito Control had reviewed the proposed project and felt the cost high for mosquito control and had asked that the plan be revised and to remove anything that does not kill mosquitos from the project and that they will then assist in the project. He stated that he had cut the project in about one-half and believed that substantial improvement could be done for about $4,000, of which $1,000 would come from local funds and $3,000 from State Funds. He stated that it would mean the clearing of enough trees in an area 40 ft. wide to permit operation of trucks and bulldozer to get into the area, and filling low areas and channel. He presented pictures of the area. He stated that he had requested Mr. Richard Cooper to review what is proposed to be done and to discuss it with the people in the area to see if they would appear and sign easements to permit the City to enter their properties, and whether or not the project would be acceptable to them. He recommended that he be authorized to proceed with the project with State Funds available and if the residents of the area sign the necessary easements. After discussion the Council authorized the City Engineer to proceed with the project as outlined on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin. See agreement attached these minutes

15. The regular meeting was adjourned at 9:00 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin.

EXECUTIVE SESSION
OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY
APRIL 23, 1962

Immediately following the regular meeting of the Council of The City of Salisbury, the Council convened in executive session.

Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, stated that in order to move ahead with Urban Renewal Project No. 1, he had requested Mr. Alfred Truitt to make appraisals of the properties situated on the lower end of W. Market Street, and that based on those appraisals he requested the Council to authorize that some preliminary negotiations be made in making specific offer to purchase, in order to determine how soon they are willing to sell. He stated that the Cavanaugh main building was appraised at $130,000 including land and improvement, the Body Shop on Circle Avenue at $34,500, the property of the parking lot between Market andthe River at $35,000, and the small parcel next to the main building at $7,740.00; a total value of buildings and land in excess of $200,000. He recommended that this not be gone into as final action, as another appraisal will be gotten, which is a federal requirement. After discussion the Council authorized that negotiations be started.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:25 P.M.

May 7, 1962
Date

President of The Council

Josephine M. Trimblefield
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND MAY 7, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in special session at the City Hall, Monday, May 7, 1962 at 8:00 P.M. The following were present: President of the Council Wm. E. Wyatt, Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, Joseph J. Long, Richard M. Laws, and W. Paul Martin, Jr. Also present was Mayor Boyd E. McLernon.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The reading of the minutes of the meeting of April 23, 1962 was dispensed with on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Laws.

3. Mr. Wyatt, President of the Council, acknowledged the presence of two former Mayors, Judge E. Sheldon Jones and Mr. Alfred T. Truitt, Sr.

4. Mayor McLernon announced that it was fitting that formal resolutions be adopted by the Council in recognition of the efforts of Congressman Thomas F. Johnson and Mr. James T. Leigh, Regional Director, Urban Renewal Administration, in getting approval of Urban Renewal Application and authorization of contract for loan and grant on the City's Northside Urban Renewal Project.

A resolution expressing appreciation to Congressman Thomas F. Johnson, and Resolution to Mr. James T. Leigh, Urban Renewal Administration were adopted by the Council upon a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Martin. (See copies of Resolutions attached to these minutes).

5. Mayor McLernon gave a brief farewell address expressing his appreciation to the Council, department heads and the citizens for their splendid cooperation during his terms as Mayor, President of the Council and Council Member

6. Mr. Wyatt, President of the Council, made brief farewell remarks and expressed his pleasure in serving eight years as a member of the City Council.

7. Councilman Long made brief farewell remarks, stating that his term as a member of the Council had been four interesting and glorious years, and expressed regret that he could not remain.

8. Mayor McLernon presented certificate from the Board of Supervisors of Elections certifying the election returns of April 24, 1962 (see certificate attached to these minutes). Mayor McLernon introduced Mr. Joseph W. T. Smith, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Wicomico County, and stated that he was present to administer the oath of office to the newly elected officials.

9. The oath of office was administered by Mr. Joseph W. T. Smith as follows:

Francis H. Morris, Mayor
David F. Rodgers, Councilman
David A. Grier, Councilman

10. For the purpose of organizaing the new Council the old Council adjourned sine die at 8:15 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Long and seconded by Councilman Laws.

11. Councilman Harry O. Fullbrook was elected temporary Chairman for the purpose of reorganization on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Martin.

12. Councilman Richard M. Laws was nominated as President of the Council on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers. The nomination was closed and Councilman Richard M. Laws was duly elected President of the Council of The City of Salisbury on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

13. Mr. Laws, President of the Council thanked the members of the Council for their vote of confidence in electing him President of the Council.

14. Mayor Morris presented testimonial certificates to the retiring officials, Mayor McLernon, President of the Council W. Edward Wyatt, Jr., and Councilman Joseph J. Long.

15. Mayor Morris made a brief address stating that an attempt will be made to organize as quickly and smoothly as possible; also that there would be no personnel changes at this time and that the organization of the Mayor's Office would perform and function as established in the Charter. He stated that in all matters, with clear understanding, employees may approach him on an informal basis whether to give or seek comment or information, and that each City employee should strive to do their best. He stated that there are several areas in which he would like to devote immediate effort - those being

First, of all, will accept invitation to meet with the County Commissioners regularly to maintain the best possible relationship. Second, he would consolidate the City's efforts with those of the Chamber of Commerce and the Jr. Chamber of Commerce and all other interested groups to interest new industry and the possibility of the formation of a non-profit economic development. Would continue to improve traffic flow in all areas of the City; would attempt to complete all Urban Renewal Projects, particularly Project No. 1 as soon as possible, and protect all the people to be disrupted. Also, Mayor Morris stated that he wants to approach the subject of Council-Manager form of government on a sound basis by measuring all types of government - the Council-Manager, Mayor and Council and other forms, so that they will be fully understood by the people and to remove the burden of proof from a few and give it to the people. He outlined method of presenting it to the citizens on January 1, 1963 and asked that the date of January 1, 1963 be respected and to wait until the State and County elections are over, in order to avoid any confusion with Home Rule for the County, and to let the City get organized. He expressed his gratitude to all the citizens of Salisbury and stated that he hoped to continue the work of the past Mayor and Council.

16. Councilman Martin introduced individual Resolutions acknowledging services of Mayor McLernon, President of the Council W. Edward Wyatt, Jr., and Councilman Joseph J. Long, which were duly seconded by Councilman Rodgers and adopted by the Council (See copies of Resolutions attached to these minutes).

17. Retiring Mayor McLernon expressed appreciation for the recognition given him and presented Mayor Morris with a pair of scissors for ceremonial ribbon cutting.

18. The meeting was adjourned at 8:30 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Grier.

May 14, 1962
Date

P. M. Lewis
President of the Council

Josephine M. Fiambleffield
Clerk

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF ELECTIONS
City of Salisbury, Md.

April 30, 1962

The Mayor and Council,
Salisbury, Maryland.

Gentlemen:-

This is to certify that members of the Board of Supervisors of Elections have canvassed the election returns of April 24, 1962 at which time a Mayor and two members of the Council were elected.

The total vote received by the candidates are:

FOR MAYOR:

| | |
|---|---|
| Francis H. Morris, Democrat | 1,459 |
| Ernest Matthews, Republican | 1,100 |

FOR COUNCIL

| | |
|---|---|
| David F. Rodgers, Democrat | 1,504 |
| David A. Grier, Republican | 1,318 |
| Elmer L. Lynch, Democrat | 1,283 |
| Donald A. Stockman, Republican | 977 |

Mr. Morris was, therefore, elected Mayor and Messrs Rodgers and Grier were elected Councilmen.

Respectfully submitted,
Alfred T. Truitt
ALFRED T. TRUITT, Chairman.

THE CITY OF SALISBURY

OATH OF OFFICE

I, FRANCIS H. MORRIS do swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States; and that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to the State of Maryland, and support the Constitution and laws thereof; and that I will, to the best of my skill and judgment, diligently and faithfully, without partiality or prejudice, execute the office of MAYOR OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, according to the constitution and Laws of this State.

Francis H. Morris

Francis H. Morris

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me, the Clerk of the Circuit Court for Wicomico County and State of Maryland, this 7th day of May, 1962.

AS WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Joseph W. T. Smith

Clerk

THE CITY OF SALISBURY

OATH OF OFFICE

I, DAVID F. RODGERS do swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States; and that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to the State of Maryland, and support the Constitution and laws thereof; and that I will, to the best of my skill and judgment, diligently and faithfully, without partiality or prejudice, execute the office of COUNCILMAN OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY according to the constitution and Laws of this State.

David F. Rodgers

David F. Rodgers

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me, the Clerk of the Circuit Court for Wicomico County and State of Maryland, this 7th day of May, 1962.

AS WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Joseph W. T. Smith

Clerk

THE CITY OF SALISBURY

OATH OF OFFICE

I, DAVID A. GRIER do swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States; and that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to the State of Maryland, and support the Constitution and laws thereof; and that I will, to the best of my skill and judgment, diligently and faithfully, without partiality or prejudice, execute the office of COUNCILMAN OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY according to the constitution and Laws of this State.

David A. Grier

David A. Grier

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me, the Clerk of the Circuit Court for Wicomico County and State of Maryland, this 7th day of May, 1962.

AS WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Joseph W. T. Smith

Clerk

May 7, 1962

WHEREAS, since May, 1958, the Honorable Joseph J. Long has been a member of the Council of The City of Salisbury; and

WHEREAS, throughout said period of service as a member of this body, the Honorable Joseph J. Long has worked tirelessly for the benefit of, and in the best interest of, The City of Salisbury and all its residents and citizens; and

WHEREAS, it is fitting, therefore, that his efforts and accomplishments as a member of this body be recognized on this occasion of his retirement as a member of the Council of The City of Salisbury.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED AND RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, that this body hereby expresses unto the Honorable Joseph J. Long, retiring member of this body, the grateful appreciation of The City of Salisbury and all its citizens for his tireless efforts to promote the public good and the welfare of this entire community through-

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF SALISBURY

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council of The City of Salisbury have initiated an Urban Renewal Program for the City of Salisbury consisting of four Urban Renewal Projects to date.

WHEREAS, certain of these projects required an early authorization by the Administrator for a loan and grant contract in order that the City of Salisbury might capture credits for certain existing project improvements already installed.

WHEREAS, Congressman Thomas F. Johnson, Congress of the United States, has lent his support, given generously of his time, and made concerted effort toward accelerating the review of the application and the authorization of the Loan and Grant Contract.

WHEREAS, this support and the diligent effort of the Congressman have been significant factors in meeting the deadline for loan and grant authorization.

WHEREAS, such efforts have resulted in meeting the deadline for authorization of a contract for the Northside Urban Renewal Project, the same being the first authorized contract for a loan and grant on an Urban Renewal Area in Maryland, outside the City of Baltimore.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Mayor and Council of The City of Salisbury hereby express their appreciation to Congressman Thomas F. Johnson for his untiring efforts in behalf of the City's Urban Renewal Program, and particularly in regard to the authorization of the loan and grant contract for the Northside Urban Renewal Project; and be it further resolved that this resolution be recorded among the records of the City of Salisbury and an attested copy be forwarded to Congressman Thomas F. Johnson, Congress of the United States.

Mayor

ATTEST:

President of the Council

Clerk of The City of Salisbury
May 7, 1962

May 7, 1962

WHEREAS, from June 23, 1947 to June 1, 1959, the Honorable Boyd E. McLernon was a member of the Council of The City of Salisbury, and for eight of such years was its President, and since June 1, 1959, has been Mayor of The City of Salisbury, and has served with distinction and honor in both said positions; and

WHEREAS, in his said positions as Councilman and Mayor during said periods the Honorable Boyd E. McLernon has worked diligently and untiringly in the interest of the community of Salisbury and all the citizens thereof, and has given unstintingly of his time and energy in their behalf; and

WHEREAS, it is fitting, therefore, that his efforts be recognized on this occasion of his retirement as Mayor of The City of Salisbury.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED AND RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, that the gratitude and appreciation of The City of Salisbury and the citizens thereof,

be and hereby is expressed unto the Honorable Boyd E. McLernon, retiring Mayor of The City of Salisbury, for his diligent and untiring efforts and his accomplishments for The City of Salisbury and its citizens throughout the fifteen years of his service as Councilman and Mayor, during which years he has given unstintingly of his time and effort for the public good without thought of personal or financial gain and reward; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of these preambles and resolutions be spread on the minutes of the Council of The City of Salisbury, and an attested copy be delivered to the Honorable Boyd E. McLernon.

(CORPORATE SEAL)

Mayor

Attest:

President of the Council

City Clerk

Salisbury, Maryland
May 7, 1962

May 7, 1962

WHEREAS, since May, 1954, the Honorable W. Edward Wyatt, Jr., has been a member of the Council of The City of Salisbury, and since June 1, 1959, has served as President of this body; and

WHEREAS, throughout said period of service as a member and as President of this body, the Honorable W. Edward Wyatt, Jr. has worked tirelessly for the benefit of, and in the best interest of, The City of Salisbury and all its residents and citizens; and

WHEREAS, it is fitting, therefore, that his efforts and accomplishments as a member and as President of this body be recognized on this occasion of his retirement from public office.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED AND RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, that this body hereby expresses unto the Honorable W. Edward Wyatt, Jr., retiring President of this body, the grateful appreciation of The City of Salisbury

and all its citizens for his tireless efforts to promote the public good and the welfare of this entire community throughout the eight years of his service as a member and as President of this body; and this Council hereby further expresses to the Honorable W. Edward Wyatt, Jr. the personal gratitude of its members for his unselfish devotion to the public interest and his constant devotion of his personal time and effort to the work and activities of this body, without which this Council could not have functioned so harmoniously and effectively throughout the period of his service; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of these preambles and resolutions be spread on the minutes of the Council of the City of Salisbury and an attested copy be delivered to the Honorable W. Edward Wyatt, Jr.

_____
Mayor

(CORPORATE SEAL)

Attest:

_____
President of the Council

_____
City Clerk

Salisbury, Maryland
May 7, 1962

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF SALISBURY

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council of The City of Salisbury have initiated an Urban Renewal Program for the City of Salisbury consisting of four Urban Renewal Projects to date.

WHEREAS, certain of these projects required an early authorization by the Administrator for a loan and grant contract in order that the City of Salisbury might benefit from credits for certain existing project improvements already installed.

WHEREAS, Mr. James T. Leigh, Regional Director of Region I I of the Urban Renewal Administration, pledged his cooperation in assigning top priorities to the Northside Urban Renewal Project.

WHEREAS, based upon this pledge of cooperation the City of Salisbury, at its own expense, diligently pursued the preparation and submission of Part I and Part I I of an application for a loan and grant.

WHEREAS, the Regional and Central office of the Urban Renewal Administration has exercised equal diligence in the processing of the application and the staff of the Urban Renewal office has been most courteous, cooperative and completely dedicated in their efforts on behalf of The City of Salisbury.

WHEREAS, such efforts have resulted in meeting the deadline for the authorization of a contract for the Northside Urban Renewal Project, the same being the first authorized contract for a loan and grant on an Urban Renewal Area in Maryland outside of the City of Baltimore.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Mayor and Council of The City of Salisbury hereby express their appreciation to the Urban Renewal Administration for their untiring efforts in behalf of the City's Urban Renewal Program, and particularly in regard to the authorization of the loan and grant contract for the Northside Urban Renewal Project; and be it further resolved that this resolution be recorded among the records of the City of Salisbury and an attested copy be forwarded to Mr. James T. Leigh, Regional Director of Region I I of the Urban Renewal Administration.

Mayor

President of the Council

ATTEST:

Clerk of The City of Salisbury

May 7, 1962

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND MAY 14, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in regular session at the City Hall, Monday, May 14, 1962 at 8:00 P.M. The following were present: President of the Council Richard M. Laws, Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, W. Paul Martin, Jr., David F. Rodgers and David A. Grier. Also present was Mayor Francis H. Morris.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The minutes of the meeting of May 7, 1962 were read and approved on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Grier.

3. Mayor Morris reported the appointment of the following employees:

Donald R. White, Maintenance Man III - Sewer Division, Grade 3-B, Account No. 81-101 Sewer, Salary $61.20 per 45 hr. week, effective May 8, 1962.

Malcolm O. Bundick, Warehouse Clerk, Grade 6-B, Account No. 30-101 Gen. Salary $65.00 per 45-hr. week, effective May 8, 1962.

Roy Cranfield, Laborer, Park Division (temporary employee) Grade 1-A, Account No. 34-101Ge Salary $51.75 per week, effective May 8, 1962.

4. Mayor Morris reported that he and Chief Chatham had been working on the summer lifeguard program and an attempt would be made to hire the lifeguards through the Maryland Employment Agency.

5. Mayor Morris announced the appointment of Councilman David F. Rodgers to fill the unexpired term of former Councilman Wm. E. Wyatt, Jr., as a member of the Planning Commission The Council concurred in approval of the appointment of Councilman Rodgers as a member to the Planning Commission to fill the vacancy, the term to expire February 28, 1963, on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

6. Mayor Morris announced the appointment of Councilman W. Paul Martin, Jr. to fill the vacancy occasioned by the expiration of former Councilman Joseph J. Long's term on the Recreation Commission. The Council concurred in approval of Councilman Martin as a member of the Recreation Commission on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

7. Mr. Perkins, Executive Secretary presented request for Council approval to employ State prison labor, a crew of ten men, nine hours per day for the period May 15 through May 18, at .70¢ per hour, to be used in the annual Spring Clean-Up Trash Collection, a total estimated cost of $255.00. He stated that by employing the prison labor it would enable the Department of Public Works to continue street and recreation programs now underway. Council authorized employment of prison labor as requested, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

8. Mr. Perkins, Executive Secretary, reported in the matter of R. A. Conley, Draftsman - Planning Commission, a temporary employee, who was hired on April 30, 1962 at $2.00 per hour. He stated that Mr. Gilman, Planning Director had checked references on this man's last two places of employment and satisfactory replies were received; also that there was no knowledge

of his connection with the Delmarva Memorial Garden Cemetery development leading to his arrest at the time of his employment. Mr. Gilman stated that the man has been very good in the performance of his work and that where the City would be open to criticism if he is retained, in view of the recent developments, however, he suggested that Mr. Conley be suspended until he has been cleared of the charges pending against him. The Council agreed to accept the recommendations of the Planning Director.

9. The Executive Secretary presented request for right-of-way acquisition on Delaware Avenue. Mr. Cooper, City Engineer, delineated the area proposed to be acquired on a map - the area on Delaware Avenue from Booth Street to the B. & E. Railroad tracks and explained the acquisition of the property is necessary in order to proceed with program of resurfacing and placing curb and gutter on this street. He outlined the estimated cost as follows: the Mary Morris Lance property at $800.00, Milford Twilley property - $92.00 value of right-of-way for exchange of share of curb and gutter, and $44.00 exchange of share of curb and gutter for a needed return on School Street; the Lloyd Chandler two properties a5 $850.00 each -total cost of exchange of curb and gutter; and the HEPCO $82.00 for curb and gutter. After much discussion the Council concurred in deferring action on this matter until a visit to the area could be made by the members of the Council and the Mayor, which was scheduled for Monday, May 21, 1962, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

10. The Executive Secretary reported that the Marine Division of the Fire Department requested Council approval to sell the old fire boat. After discussion the Council authorized the sale of the old fire boat by inviting bids, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Grier.

11. The Executive Secretary reported that one of the City Employees had made an offer of $40.00 for the purchase of some old toilet facilities which were salvaged from the Abbott Building and are now at the City Yard. The Council authorized the sale of the fixtures for the price offered on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

12. The Executive Secretary stated that at the request of the Council a review of overall traffic conditions in the City had been made by himself, Chief Chatham and Mr. Gilman, and that they had arrived at a possible solution, and requested Mr. Gilman to present the proposed plan. Mr. Gilman presented the plan to give relief in the areas from which a number of complaints have been received. He outlined from a map suggested truck routes for through truck movement over major streets as follows: New Route 50, Salisbury Blvd., Snow Hill Road, East Main St. from the Boulevard to the east corporate limits, and Ward Street. He suggested that proper signs be erected prohibiting truck traffic on streets not designated as streets for truck traffic, and that an ordinance be adopted to provide for severe penalty for violation; also that this plan be put into effect for a six month trail period. The plan also proposed a limited number of one-way streets, which would tend to break up traffic and force traffic on through streets.

Mayor Morris stated that the plan had been discussed with both the Jr. Chamber of Commerce and the Chamber of Commerce Traffic Committee, and that it had been suggested that this

plan not go into effect until after June 11 when the school term will end. He also stated that the trucking companies should have ample notification, and that he was sure the Maryland Truck Association would be glad to cooperate. He suggested that first of all to initiate the plan of the truck routes and non-truck routes, and then later the street direction. After much discussion the Council concurred in approval of the suggestion by the Mayor regarding rules and regulations under Code S ction 11-1 for designation of through- truck routes and non-truck routes, and dir ected that an ordinance be prepared to punish violation of signs posting such routes on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

There was present a group of residents from the Isabella Street, Camden, Riverside Drive areas, and again requested immediate relief from the heavy truck traffic in their respective areas.

13. The Executive Secretary reported for the purpose of having it entered into the minutes that bids for water and sewer for Douglas Place were received on April 27, 1962 as follows:

| | Contract 2-62-W | Contract 3-62-S |
|---|---|---|
| George Wilkinson, Salisbury, Md. | $ 876.00 * | $ 3,141.88 |
| A. P. Isakson, Inc., Salisbury, Md. | 900.00 | 3,107.92 * |
| George & Lynch, Inc., Wilmington, Del. | 1,320.00 | 3,803.12 |

Mr. Perkins stated that award had been made to the low bidders after contacting Council members by telephone for approval of award, in order that there be no delay in starting these projects.

14. Mr. Perkins, Executive Secretary, reported that a letter had been received from Mrs. Shortall advising of termination of the lease agreement on the property at Johnson Lake.

15. Mr. Cooper, City Engineer, requested Council approval to rent the City's concrete saw to the Telephone Company and to Shoreland Freezers at $6.00 per hour plus 15¢ a foot per depth of cut, this charge would be for two men and the saw. After discussion the Council authorized that the concrete saw be rented as requested, but at a price to be determined by Mr. Cooper and the Mayor's Office, and that the work with the saw to be done at the City's convenience, on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Grier.

16. Mr. Victor H. Laws, City Solicitor, presented and reviewed lease agreement on Airport property between the Airport Commission and Sid's Dusting Service, Inc. He advised that the lease had been approved by the Airport Commission and the County Commissioners, and recommended that it be approved by the City. After discussion the Council authorized that the lease agreement be executed by Mayor Morris on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Martin.

17. Councilman Martin asked that there be further discussion on the hiring of the Warehouse Clerk. He stated that he was not in favor of hiring people from out of town, felt that local people in the community should be hired to fill City positions. Councilman Rodgers stated that he agreed with Councilman Martin, and inquired as to whether the Maryland Employment

Agency was contacted in filling this position. Mr. Cooper stated that the position had been vacant for some time and that the position had been advertised several weeks in local newspaper, and that those who sought the job were not sufficiently qualified to do the job. Councilman Rodgers stated that a policy should be set to employ local people.

18. Mr. Charles Habliston, Auditor, was present and reviewed the purchasing situation and incidents leading to the proposed revision of purchasing regulations.

19. Mr. Victor H. Laws, City Solicitor, reviewed Resolution No. 11 and Ordinance No. 833 relating to Charter amendment on purchasing. He stated that Mr. Perkins, Mr. Habliston and he had been appointed by the Council to review the purchasing procedures and present recommendations; and that the three are not in complete agreement on all of the provisions as set forth in Resolution No. 11 and Ordinance No. 833 and he would advise the Council of these cases as they were reviewed. There was discussion on Section 144-C, paragraph 8 of Ordinance No. 833 as to the language relating to "The Council shall not except individual contracts, purchases or sales from the requirement of competitive bidding." The City Solicitor inquired whether the Council wanted to except individual bids, after explaining the reason for this being so worded. The Council resolved to change the wording to except individual contracts, etc. on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Martin. Councilman Fullbrook voted in the negative.

20. The Council agreed to meet at 7:30 P.M., Monday, May 21, 1962 for the purpose of a field trip to Delaware Avenue, and to continue review of Ordinance No. 833 to begin with Section 144-E.

21. The meeting was adjourned at 11:40 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

May 21, 1962
Date

P. M. Laws
President of The Council

Josephine M. Frankfield
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND MAY 21, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in special session at the City Hall at 7:30 P.M., Monday, May 21, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Richard M. Laws, Councilmen W. Paul Martin, Jr., David F. Rodgers, and David A. Grier. Also present was Mayor Francis H. Morris.

1. The Council together with Mayor Morris, the Executive Secretary, and the Director of Public Works visited Delaware Avenue, the sire of the proposed curb and gutter and lighting program; also visited the Municipal Park for the purpose of inspecting the swimming areas, after which they returned to City Hall to proceed with the meeting.

2. The meeting was opened at 9:00 P.M. by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

3. The minutes of the meeting of May 14, 1962 were read and approved on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Grier.

4. Mayor Morris reported that in accordance with discussion at the last meeting concerning the hiring of employees, an administrative memorandum had been issued to all department heads requesting name, address, age, job title and grade classification, department, salary and budget account number; also to state whether to fill vacancy, if so the name of the person being replaced, whether new position or a temporary position.

5. Mayor Morris reported that a letter concerning truck regulation in the City had been prepared and is being hand carried to principal truck people in the City by the Police Department with the explanation being given that the plan is to be announced in local newspapers after letters are distributed. He also stated that signs are being readied in this connection.

6. Mr. Herman Perdue, Attorney, appeared before the Council in behalf of Joseph A. Whittington, 805 East Road, who was also present, regarding unpaid water bills. Mr. Perdue requested that something be worked out to permit Mr. Whittington to have water service, which he stated had been cut off since January 1, 1962. Mr. Brittingham, Treasurer, stated that he did not recall all the facts in the case, but he did remember that the meter reader had made several calls to the Whittington address due to the large bills. He stated that Mr. Whttington advised him that he knew he had some trouble at this location but was unable to hire a plumber to determine the trouble, and that after he had advised that he was unable to pay the bills the water was finally cut off on December 12, 1962. Mr. Whittington stated that fixtures had been checked by a plumber and that his line had been dug up from the street to the house and there was no evidence of water leaking except a dampness under the meter; also while he and the meter reader were waiting after cutting the water off that two gallons of water registered as going through the meter. After further discussion the Council concurred in tabling the matter until they have the facts from the meter reader, on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Grier. The Council directed the Treasurer to contact Mr. Perdue and advise him of findings before the next meeting.

7. Mayor Morris presented for discussion the matter of private wells. He stated this matter is now in general a conflict between County and City and was being introduced to establish a policy first for sprinkling lawns and second for cooling purposes. He stated the peak water load is in June, July and August and it is necessary to size system to level off peaks and valleys. He outlined suggested regulations governing use of privately owned and operated water wells in the City (see copy of suggested regulations attached to minutes).

In the discussion on private water systems, Mr. Cooper, City Engineer, stated that he would like to see the two systems not put in the same house - that all private sustems be kept out of building. Mayor Morris replied that the cooling system would be inside and the irrigation system not inside. Mr. Cooper stated that in cases of cooling towers he felt the people should be on City water system - the City of Salisbury should furnish that water; also that City water should not be used where private wells are operating as he did not think it was possible to police such a situation.

Mayor Morris stated that he felt that the City should furnish something definite in order to sit down with the County and draw up a plan within the next ten days. He stated that he would be against anything that would lead to cross connection, but he did not think you can prevent a person from going to river or stream and prohibit him from dumping on his own land.

Councilman Rodgers stated that paragraph No. 5 in the suggested regulations left some doubt in his mind, and that if there was any chance of contamination of the City water system he felt this paragraph should be eliminated. Councilman Grier stated that he did not feel sufficiently informed to say he was for or against, but from what he had heard he was opposed to private wells. Councilman Martin stated that he had been opposed to private wells, but it was his feeling that people objected to the idea of not being permitted to put in a private system, and that in his opinion the City was obligated to give the people what the Council would consider a workable program. Mr. Victor Laws, City Solicitor, stated that the regulations sounded pretty close to what was agreed with the County last year, except for swimming pools. After further discussion the Council agreed that the suggested regulations be presented at a meeting with the County on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Martin. Councilman Grier voted in the negative.

8. The City Solicitor continued the review of Resolution No. 11 and Ordinance No. 833 relating to purchasing procedures.

9. RESOLUTION NO. 11 A RESOLUTION OF The Council of The City of Salisbury proposing amendment of the Charter of The City of Salisbury by repealing Sections 26, 27, 28, 124 and 130 thereof, and repealing Article XV (containing Section 144) thereof, and repealing Section 154 thereof, and enacting in lieu thereof a new Article XV entitled "Purchases and Sales; City Property Inventory," and containing new Sections 144A through 144 K, inclusive, was introduced and duly passed for first reading on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Grier.

10. ORDINANCE NO. 833, AN ORDINANCE repealing Sections 2-2 through 2-17 inclusive, of the City Code, 1959, and enacting in lieu thereof new sections 2-2 through 2-10 for the purposes of defining the scope of the purchasing agent's authority; requiring using agencies to file with him their estimates and requisitions; permitting direct purchase of minor items by using agencies; enforcing accounting control of purchasing; providing rules and regulations for competitive bidding; providing for emergency purchases; providing for transfer or other disposition of unused, excess, obsolete, and unserviceable items; and providing for a small stores revolving fund, was introduced and duly passed for first reading on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Grier.

11. ORDINANCE NO. 834, AN ORDINANCE of the Council of The City of Salisbury amending City Code Section 11-26(e), defining offenses and violations regarding prohibited use by commercial vehicles of City streets, alleys or other public ways (not part of the State or Federal highway system) in or abutting any public park of the City, in order to make said offenses and violations

applicable to any such street, alley or other public way which has been posted with appropriate signs forbidding such commercial use, was introduced and duly passed for first reading on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

12.
Mr. Cooper, City Engineer, reported that it had been the practice to rent City equipment in cases where there was no other service available. He cited as an example sending City crews and equipment to State Teachers College recently on a sewer stoppage, where there was no other service available to handle the situation. The Council agreed that the practice of furnishing such services in cases where no other service is available be continued, but to be at a premium cost.

13. There was discussion on the acquisition of right of way, as proposed at last meeting, on Delaware Avenue. After discussion the Council concurred in authorizing the acquisition of necessary right of way, as proposed, on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Grier.

14. Mr. Cooper reviewed the proposed lighting program for Delaware Avenue from City limits to West Main Street and the residential area in East Salisbury. After discussion it was agreed by the Council to proceed with the lighting program, but that 400 candle power incandescent lights be used, except for the City Yard area where mercury vapor lights would be installed, on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

15. The meeting was adjourned at 11:25 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Grier.

May 28, 1962
Date

P. M. Laws
President of The Council

Josephine M. Trowbridgefield
Clerk

SUGGESTED REGULATIONS GOVERNING USE OF PRIVATELY OWNED & OPERATED WATER WELLS IN THE METROPOLITAN SALISBURY AREA

PRIVATE WATER WELLS WILL BE PERMITTED SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

1. WE WILL ALLOW WELLS WITH GALVANIZED STEEL PIPE CASING EXTENDING THE FULL LENGTH WITH A METALLIC STRAINER.
2. WELLS WILL NOT BE USED OR PERMITTED FOR DRAINAGE OF SURFACE OR CONTAMINATED WATER.
3. ORDINANCE WILL APPLY TO ENTIRE CITY AND TO ALL BUILDINGS AND/OR LOTS SERVED BY THE CITY WATER SYSTEM.
4. WELLS AND SYSTEM WITHIN THE CITY TO BE USED ONLY FOR OUTSIDE IRRIGATION * AND/OR REFRIGERATION COOLING PURPOSES, AND/OR SWIMMING POOLS.
5. WATER FROM A WELL USED ONLY FOR COOLING PURPOSES, WHERE ONLY A TEMPERATURE CHANGE TAKES PLACE IN THE WATER, MAY BE RETURNED TO THE GROUND BY A SECOND WELL.
6. THERE ARE TO BE NO CROSS CONNECTIONS OF PIPING AT ANY POINT. NO PRIVATE WATER MAY REACH CITY WATER LINES OR STREETS.
7. ALL EXPOSED DISTRIBUTION LINES OF A PRIVATE SYSTEM SHALL BE COLOR CODED "FEDERAL YELLOW" FOR PURPOSES OF IDENTIFICATION.
8. FOR BUILDINGS AND/OR LOT WHICH IS SERVED OR BECOMES SERVED BY CITY WATER AN INITIAL PERMIT FEE $25.00 FOR EACH PRIVATE WELL WITH ANNUAL FEE FOR RENEWAL OF PERMIT AND INSPECTION OF FACILITIES OF $10.00 FOR THE FIRST WELL AND $5.00 FOR EACH ADDITION. FEES TO BE PAID TO OWNER OF PUBLIC SUPPLY AND ANNUAL INSPECTION PROVIDED BY SAME AGENCY.
9. FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS REGULATION, WATER PUMPED FROM A SURFACE SUPPLY SHALL BE CONSIDERED A PRIVATE WELL.
10. ANY PRIVATE WELL EXISTING IN VIOLATION TO THE PROVISIONS OF THESE REGULATION SHALL COMPLY WITHIN 30 DAYS OF DATE OF PASSAGE OF REGULATIONS.

PENALTY - RESCIND PERMIT AT ONCE - $10.00 PER DAY.

* WELLS EQUIPPED WITH HAND PUMPS ONLY WILL BE PERMITTED IN FALLOUT SHELTERS

SUGGESTED REGULATIONS GOVERNING USE OF PRIVATELY OWNED & OPERATED WATER WELLS

IN THE METROPOLITAN SALISBURY AREA

PRIVATE WATER WELLS WILL BE PERMITTED SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

1. WE WILL ALLOW WELLS UP TO AND INCLUDING 4" INSIDE DIAMETER WITH GALVANIZED STEEL PIPE CASING EXTENDING THE FULL LENGTH WITH A METALIC STRAINER.

2. WELLS WILL NOT BE USED OR PERMITTED FOR DRAINAGE OF SURFACE OR CONTAMINATED WATER.

3. ORDINANCE WILL APPLY TO ENTIRE CITY AND TO ALL AREAS WHICH THE CITY WATER, STORM SEWER, OR SANITARY SEWER SERVICES DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY.

4. WELLS AND SYSTEM TO BE USED ONLY FOR OUTSIDE IRRIGATION AND/OR REFRIGERATION COOLING PURPOSES. & or Swimming Pools,

5. WATER FROM A WELL USED ONLY FOR COOLING PURPOSES, WHERE ONLY A TEMPERATURE CHANGE TAKES PLACE IN THE WATER, MAY BE RETURNED TO THE GROUND BY A SECOND WELL.

6. THERE ARE TO BE NO CROSS CONNECTIONS OR PIPING AT ANY POINT. NO PRIVATE WATER MAY REACH CITY WATER LINES, STORM SEWERS, SANITARY SEWERS, OR STREETS.

7. ALL EXPOSED DISTRIBUTION LINES OF A PRIVATE SYSTEM SHALL BE COLOR CODED "FEDERAL YELLOW" FOR PURPOSES OF IDENTIFICATION.

8. INITIAL PERMIT FEE $25.00 FOR EACH PRIVATE WELL WITH ANNUAL FEE FOR RENEWAL OF PERMIT AND INSPECTION OF FACILITIES OF $10.00 FOR THE FIRST WELL AND $5.00 FOR EACH ADDITION. FEES TO BE PAID TO OWNER OF PUBLIC SUPPLY AND ANNUAL INSPECTION PROVIDED BY SAME AGENCY.

9. FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS REGULATION, WATER PUMPED FROM A SURFACE SUPPLY SHALL BE CONSIDERED A PRIVAVE WELL.

10. ANY PRIVATE WELL EXISTING IN VIOLATION TO THE PROVISIONS OF THESE REGULATIONS SHALL COMPLY AT DATE OF PASSAGE OF REGULATIONS.

11. VIOLATION - MINIMUM FINE $100.00/ MAXIMUM FINE $1,000.00 AND ONE YEAR IN JAIL.

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND MAY 28, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in regular session at the City Hall at 8:00 P.M., Monday, May 28, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Richard M. Laws, Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, W. Paul Martin, Jr., David F. Rodgers and David A. Grier. Also present was Mayor Francis H. Morris.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The minutes of the meeting of May 21, 1962 were read and approved.

3. Mayor Morris reminded Council members of invitation to have lunch with the County Commissioners at the Wicomico Hotel on Tuesday, June 5, 1962 at 12:00 Noon and asked how many of the Council members could attend. All members of the Council, except Councilman Fullbrook, indicated they would attend.

4. Mayor Morris reported promotion and appointment of personnel as follows:

Paul L. Martin promoted from Ambulance Driver to Fireman, Classification 6-A, Account No. 21-101 Gen., Salary $63.00 per week, effective June 1, 1962.

Robert W. Bozman, Jr., Ambulance Driver, classification 5-A, Account No. 21-101 Gen. Salary $59.00 per week, effective June 1, 1962.

5. Mayor Morris reported that the group of retail merchants were present at his invitation to hear the discussion on the bonding of Parking District No. 1.

In this connection, Mr. Victor Laws, City Solicitor, reported that at a meeting at the Farmers and Merchants Bank the Mayor, the Executive Secretary and himself were present and that three possible solutions were proposed to solve the existing bond problem in Parking District No. 1. He briefly reviewed the three proposals and advised of advantages and disadvantages in each case. The No. 1 proposal by Mr. Buckler, Bonding Attorney, was to exchange existing bonds for new bonds just like present ones with an interest rate of 1/4% more than the present bonds; the proposition was to ask banker who sold the issue to ask holders to trade old bonds for new bonds bearing 1/4% more interest, get the old bonds back and destroy them (this would destroy restrictive language in bond ordinance) propose to pay banker contingent of 1% of issue, or $3,800. He stated this together with the extra 1/4% would cost $10,000 over 20 year life of new issue; and that the advantage of this proposal would be to get rid of old issue and restrictive language, and does not double up two bond issues. Mr. Laws stated this is more expensive than the two other proposals, but would answer problem completely so it could not arise again. The second proposal, referred to as the Butcher and Sherrerd proposal was to sell new issue of bonds for $550,000., which would be enough to cover principal and interest, put money in trust, invest in government securities. He stated this would take a large amount out of borrowing capacity and would require skilled services to invest, and that no one is sure how much this would cost or how much would be gained from investment. The third proposal, referred to as the Union Trust Co. proposal, is to put out new issue of bonds with identical same interest rates, sell in one package at par, turn the money back to the bank and guarantee to pay enough interest to cover; they will charge nothing for their services, the only cost being the printing of the bonds and legal expenses. He stated they are only interested in this

proposal if they are allowed to buy the new issue at par and receive interest from the City. He stated the Charter forbids selling except on competitive bidding and the Charter language is the first obstacle; also this proposal does have the disadvantage of doubling up on the existing issue.

For purpose of discussion, Mayor Morris outlined suggestions for liquidating present bond issue, reducing maturity of bonds from 25 years to 10 years, acquiring area west of Gunby Parking Lot and the area behind the Fire House all of which is tied in with Parking District No. 1; this to be straight revenue bonds and not general obligation bonds to be financed by parking revenues and an increase in the tax rate of the special assessment district from the .20 level to the neighborhood of $1.20. After much discussion the Council agreed to meet with a committee of the Retail Merchants for further discussion on this matter at 2:00 P.M., Thursday, May 31, 1962.

The City Solicitor advised that if the Council could reach a decision as to which of the three proposals is acceptable as a solution to the bonding problem he could begin the mechanics and could hold fairly close to the proposed two month schedule. After further discussion the Council directed the City Solicitor to proceed with proposal No. 1, as recommended by Mr. Buckler, Bonding Attorney, on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Martin. Councilman Fullbrook voted in the negative.

6. There was further discussion on the matter of private wells, after which the City Solicitor was requested to prepare an ordinance permitting private wells within the City under certain conditions, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers, to be introduced at the next regular meeting.

7. RESOLUTION NO. 11, A RESOLUTION of the Council of The City of Salisbury proposing amendment of the Charter of The City of Salisbury by repealing Sections 26, 27, 28, 124 and 130 thereof, and repealing Article XV (containing Section 144) thereof, and repealing Section 154 thereof, and enacting in lieu thereof a new Article XV entitled "Purchases and Sales; City Property Inventory", and containing new Sections 144A through 144J inclusive, was adopted on second and final reading on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook.

8. ORDINANCE NO. 833 AN ORDINANCE of The Council of The City of Salisbury repealing Sections 2-2 through 2-17, inclusive, of the City Code, 1959, and enacting in lieu thereof new Sections 2-2 through 2-10 for the purpose of defining the scope of the purchasing agent's authority; requiring using agencies to file with him their estimates and requisitions; permitting direct purchases of minor items by using agencies; enforcing accounting control of purchases; providing for emergency purchases; providing for transfer or other disposition of unused, excess, obsolete and unserviceable items; and providing for a small stores revolving fund, was adopted on second and final reading, on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Martin.

9. ORDINANCE NO. 834, AN ORDINANCE of the Council of The City of Salisbury amending City Code Section 11-26(e), defining offenses and violations regarding prohibited use by commercial vehicles of City Streets, alleys or other public ways (not part of the State or Federal highway system) in or abutting any public park of the City, in order to make said offenses and violations applicable to any such City street, alley or other public way which has been posted with appropriate signs forbidding such commercial use, was adopted on second and final reading on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

10. Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, presented request for transfer of funds in the amount of $10,000 from the General Fund to an Urban Renewal Revolving Fund, on a loan basis to be reimbursed 100% when money is received from the Federal Government. He stated this money would be used for operating expenses to begin work on Urban Renewal Project No. 3. After discussion the Council authorized that $10,000 be loaned from the General Fund to the Urban Renewal Revolving Fund, with the understanding that it would be repaid 100% when funds are received from the Federal Government, on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Grier.

11. Mr. Gilman presented a subdivision plat of Bethesda Church property located at the corner of Oakdale Road and North Division Street, on which request had been made to re-subdivide from five lots to three lots. After discussion the Council concurred in approval of the subdivision as outlined, on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

12. Mayor Morris read a letter from himself addressed to the Council, dated May 28, 1962, in which he disqualified himself from all participation in any and all functions and responsibilities in connection with Urban Renewal Project No. 3 (Northside), and requested that he be relieved of duty of signing any documents connected with this project, due to personal interests involving properties located within the project area. Mayor Morris suggested that the President of the Council, Richard M. Laws, be appointed to sign all documents in connection with the Urban Renewal Project No. 3. After discussion the Council concurred in appointing Richard M. Laws, President of the Council, to sign all documents relating to Urban Renewal Project No. 3, as suggested by Mayor Morris, on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Rodgers. (See letter attached to minutes).

13. Mr. Gilman introduced Mr. John Hansman of the Urban Renewal Agency, who was present to answer any questions in connection with the Urban Renewal Contract on Northside Project No. 3 for loan and grant. Mr. Gilman presented the contract for approval and stated that it was based on application approved by the Mayor and the Council, and provided $1,418,418.00 as loan to carry out entire contract. In this connection the City Solicitor presented and explained a list of 42 items of obligations (see list attached to minutes). After discussion the following Resolution was introduced by Councilman Fullbrook, a motion made for adoption by Councilman Rodgers and duly seconded by Councilman Grier:

# City of Salisbury

MARYLAND

May 28, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury
City Hall
Salisbury, Maryland

Gentlemen:

I am aware that one of the items on your agenda for your meeting tonight is consideration of a proposed Loan and Capital Grant Contract with the United States of America for Urban Renewal Project No. Md. R-19, known locally as Urban Renewal Project No. 3 - North side central business district.

Please be advised that I am a Vice President, Director, stockholder and employee of Shore Distributors, Inc., a corporation which leases four parcels of land (including two parcels improved with buildings) located within the project area. Said Company leases these parcels from John E. Morris and Margaret E. H. Morris, his wife, who are my father and mother.

Please be advised further that I am a partner in Morris Bros., a partnership composed of my brothers John M. Morris, E. George Morris and myself. This partnership owns a parking lot bounded by Poplar Hill Avenue, E. Church Street and Old Water Street. This lot also lies within the project area and also is leased by the partnership to Shore Distributors, Inc.

Because of these personal interests, I hereby disqualify myself from all participation in any and all activities, functions and responsibilities of The City of Salisbury in connection with this proposed project.

Since the Mayor ordinarily signs City contracts, bonds and other formal documents, I request that you relieve me of this duty on all documents of every kind connected with this proposed project and request that you appoint one of your members as signing officer of the City thereon.

Yours very truly,

Francis H. Morris

Francis H. Morris

Mayor

FHM/bt

WHEREAS, under Title I of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, the United States of America (herein called the "Government") has tendered to The City of Salisbury (herein called the "Local Public Agency") a proposed Loan and Capital Grant Contract under which the Governmen agrees to make a Project Temporary Loan, a Project Definitive Loan, and a Project Capital Grant to the Local Public Agency to aid in financing an urban renewal project, designated Project No. Md. R-19, in the urban renewal area described therein; and

WHEREAS the Local Public Agency has given due consideration to said proposed Contract; and

WHEREAS the Local Public Agency is duly authorized, under and pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of Maryland, to undertake and carry out said Project and to execute such proposed Contract; and

WHEREAS under said proposed Contract it is required, among other things, that, with respect to said Project, the Local Public Agency shall promptly set up, and maintain in a bank or banks which are members of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, a separate and special fund designated "Project Expenditures Account; Project No. Md. R-19," into which shall be deposited certain funds described in said proposed Contract:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, as follows:

Section 1. The proposed Contract, designated "Loan andCapital Grant Contract, On a Three-Fourths Basis - Small Municipality Contract No. Md. R-19 (LG)", consisting of Parts I and II, under and subject to the provisions, terms, and conditions of which the Government will make a Project Temporary Loan, a Project Definitive Loan, and a Project Capital Grant under Title I of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, to the Local Public Agency to aid in financing an urban renewal project, designated Project No. Md. R-19, situated in The City of Salisbury, Maryland, is hereby in all respects approved, except that Clause "c" of Section 14, on page 10 thereof, hereby is deleted and stricken in its entirety, and except that the word intentional" be and hereby is inserted immediately preceding the word "misrepresentation" in Clause (1) of Section 201 appearing on page 23 thereof.

Section 2. The President of the Council of The City of Salisbury is hereby authorized and directed to execute said proposed Contract in two counterparts on behalf of the Local Public Agency, and the Clerk of The City of Salisbury is hereby authorized and directed to execute said proposed Contract in two counterparts on behalf of the Local Public Agency, and the Clerk of The City of Salisbury is hereby authorized and directed to impress and attest the official seal of the Local Public Agency on each such counterpart and to forward such counterparts to the Housing and Home Finance Agency, together with such other documents relative to the approval and execution thereof as may be required by the Government.

Section 3. The Local Public Agency agrees to abide by all of the provisions, terms, and



Section 4. With respect to this Project of the Local Public Agency, designated Project No. Md. R-19, there is hereby established a separate and special fund, designated "Project Expen ditures Account; Project No. R-19," which shall be maintained in a bank or banks which are members of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. All funds which, by the terms of the Loan and Capital Grant Contract, are required to be desposited in said Account shall be promptly deposited therein.

Section 5. This Resolution shall take effect immediately.

14. The Executive Secretary presented the matter of request of Joseph Whittington, 805 East Road, for water service and adjustment of his delinquent bill in the amount of $134.18. He stated that the meter checked out accurately and that all efforts have been exhausted in endeavor to help to continue this service by permitting partial payments of the bill, which had not been kept up with. He reviewed the City's policy on complaints of water bills and stated that other requests for adjustments have been denied when the fault is the property owner's, and he recommended that the City's policy be adhered to in this case. After discussion the Council concurred in accepting the recommendations of the Executive

Section 4. With respect to this Project of the Local Public Agency, designated Project No. Md. R-19, there is hereby established a separate and special fund, designated "Project Expenditures Account; Project No. R-19," which shall be maintained in a bank or banks which are members of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. All funds which, by the terms of the Loan and Capital Grant Contract, are required to be desposited in said Account shall be promptly deposited therein.

Section 5. This Resolution shall take effect immediately.

14. The Executive Secretary presented the matter of request of Joseph Whittington, 805 East Road, for water service and adjustment of his delinquent bill in the amount of $134.18. He stated that the meter checked out accurately and that all efforts have been exhausted in endeavor to help to continue this service by permitting partial payments of the bill, which had not been kept up with. He reviewed the City's policy on complaints of water bills and stated that other requests for adjustments have been denied when the fault is the property owner's, and he recommended that the City's policy be adhered to in this case. After discussion the Council concurred in accepting the recommendations of the Executive Secretary, on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Rodgers. The Council requested the Executive Secretary to contact Mr. Whittington and attempt to collect the delinquent water bill by the best method.

15. Mayor Morris reported that the Library Board had requested that charges be waived for building permit fee, water connection fee, and the cost of curb, gutter and sidewalk for the new Library. In discussion it was pointed out that the Council had previously agreed to waive fee for building permit. Councilman Fullbrook stated that if the building permit has not been issued, or if a new building permit is required, that the cost of the permit be borne by the contractor and the amount of the fee refunded to the Library Board. Mayor Morris stated that the water connection and curb and gutter were not presently needed and could be delayed until next year's budget. Also, that the fire trucks encounter difficulty in getting in and out of the Fire House located across the street and that it is desirable to widen the street five feet at this location. After discussion the Council agreed to waive fee of building permit on basis suggested by Councilman Fullbrook, waive fee of water connection if there is no change in the service required, pay cost of curb and gutter for distance of the width of the old Armory Building, and suggested that the Library provide sidewalk 7-1/2 ft. width wherever possible, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Grier.

16. Councilman Fullbrook stated that he would like to have a change in the Charter or an investigation of the Board of Supervisors of Elections, due to several incidents arising during recent registration and elections.

17. Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, resumed and completed the review of the Housing Code starting on Page 18, Section 306.3 with the following amendments:

Section 306.6 - to be deleted
Section 309.2 - "20-gals." instead of 30-gals.
Section 310.3 - Add word "Approved" before words "Garbage storage containers

18.
The meeting was adjourned at 11:30 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Rodgers

June 25, 1962
Date

President of The Council

Josephine M. Frankfeld
Clerk

Proposed Loan and Grant Contract on North side Project--Summary of City's specific duties and agreements:

1. Complete project with "all practicable dispatch";
2. Erect two signs showing U.S. participation;
3. Not name project for any living individual;
4. Supervise, inspect, hire technical experts;
5. Permit U.S. to inspect all work;
6. Pay U.S. inspection cost up to $10,612.00;
7. Provide office for U.S. representative;
8. Keep detailed books and records of Project;
9. Permit U.S. audit of books and records including "Conditions of employment";
10. Make reports to U.S. on status of Project;
11. Safeguard funds and properties--may require additional bond of treasurer;
12. Furnish copies of all Project documents;
13. Revise estimated costs and financing plans;
14. Not pay excessive land prices; "prevent" speculation;
15. Record land documents;
16. Remove all restrictions of race, religion, color, etc. on land use;
17. Sell land according to Plan;
18. Not create lien on project land;
19. Pay all govt. charges on project land and discharge all mechanics' liens;
20. Obey all governmental regulations;
21. Publish information regarding successful bidder;
22. Sell at "fair value" for reuses specified by Plan for cash in full at closing;
23. Pay "imputed value" for lands retained by City or leased by the City;
24. Obligate itself and any purchasers to use land as required in Plan and to begin and complete improvements;
25. Obey minimum labor standards - write provisions into contracts;
26. See that employees are paid;
27. Require contractor's certificate;
28. Prevent discrimination of employees because of race, religion, color, etc. and insert prohibition in all contracts, post notices;
29. Refuse to employ prison labor;
30. Obey anti-kickback law;
31. Post minimum wage scale notices on job;
32. Prevent punitive firing of employees;
33. Report to Urban Renewal Administration disputes of individual salaries of technical personnel;
34. Report to Urban Renewal Administration as to employees of contractors and subcontractors;
35. Reserve right in all contracts to terminate for breach of labor standards;
36. Prevent contract award to subcontractor ineligible under Labor Dept. regulations;
37. Before bids received, insert labor standards in proposed contracts;
38. Require all contracts and subcontracts to keep payrolls on U.S. forms, with non-white breakdown, furnish two copies, 1 to Administration;
39. Do job by contract, use own forces only with advance consent of Administration;
40. Foster competitive bidding;
41. Pay higher scale if local scale high than U.S. scale;
42. Furnish <u>in advance</u> documentary data covering <u>every</u> proposed action on the project; do not proceed until Urban Renewal Administration advises they have no objection to proposed action.

U. S. Government "outs":

U.S. may terminate contract if:

1. City makes material misrepresentation;
2. City fails to handle any litigation;
3. City makes basic charge in Urban Renewal Plan or Relocation Plan;
4. City acquire land by negotiation without prior approval of Administration as to price;
5. City fails to get Adm's consent to condemnation suit;
6. City sells or disposes of land without Admin. prior consent;
7. City abandons or fails to complete Project;
8. City defaults in any provision of this contract.

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND JUNE 25, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in regular session at the City Hall at 8:00 P.M., Monday, June 25, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Richard M. Laws, Councilmen: Harry O. Fullbrook, W. Paul Martin, David F. Rodgers, and David A. Grier. Also present was Mayor Francis H. Morris.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The minutes of the meeting of May 28, 1962 were read and approved after correction of typographical error in Item No. 10. to read "100%."

3. Mayor Morris reported appointment of personnel to fill existing vacancies as follows:

Larry A. Bornt, Engineering Aide, Dept. Public Works (temporary employee for summer work) Account No. 31-101 Gen., Salary $60.00 per week, effective June 4, 1962.

Robert Henry Rhodes, Fireman, Fire Dept., Account No. 21-101 Gen., Salary $63.00 per week, effective June 17, 1962.

Leon Alonzo Walker, Ambulance Driver, Fire Dept., Account No. 21-101 Gen., Salary $59.00 per week, effective June 17, 1962.

Sara M. Waterman, Relocation Supervisor, Urban Renewal Projects, Annual Salary $4,800. effective June 18, 1962.

Ruth T. Helmuth, Stenographer - Clerk, Urban Renewal Projects, Annual Salary $2,860. effective June 11, 1962

William Frederick Holmead, Jr., Laborer, Public Works Dep., Park Div., (temporary employee) Account No. 34-101 Gen., Salary $51.75 per week, effective June 19, 1962.

Ernest L. Collins, Jr., Engineering Aide, Dept. Public Works, (temporary employee) Account No. 31-101 Gen., Salary $55.00 per week, effective June 20, 1962.

4. Mayor Morris reported that salaries for Park Police had been increased to $60.00 per week, as originally appropriated in the 1962 budget, effective June 9, 1962.

5. Mayor Morris reported for Council information that approximately $600.00 per year is being spent for cleanup and painting maintenance of the band stand in Municipal Park, and stated that this was an item to think about for capital improvement.

6. Mayor Morris stated that he had initiated a study to be made of traffic at Main Street and Route 13, also at South Division Street and Route 13.

7. Mayor Morris reported that the County Commissioners had requested that the City take under consideration a recommendation from the Airport Commission to close the second road leading into the Airport going into the hangars, and opening a new road from the administration building to the new hangar. He stated that Mr. Cooper, City Engineer, and Mr. Jones, County Roads Board Engineer, had investigated this and estimated the cost at $1,600, and that it was suggested that

one-half of the cost be paid by each the City and County. He stated that the new road would give better control for person s entering and leaving the Airport; also that the road would be taken into the County Road System and be maintained 100% by the County Roads Board. After discussion the Council agreed that suggestion be made to the County Commissioners that the cost of the improvement at the Airport be taken from the Airport Fund, and if there was a reason for not paying for this from the Airport Fund, the City's share of $800. to be taken from the Mayor's Contingency Fund.

8. Mayor Morris referred to Ordinance No. 835, relating to private water systems, and pointed out amendments to be made by substituting the words "Bureau of Inspections" for "Department of Public Works" in Section 1, Paragraph "1", following " A written permit must be obtained from; and "Such inspection shall be made by. "

9. Mayor Morris referred to Resolution No. 12, relating to special assessment districts for off-street parking facilities. He asked that the last clause in Chapter 3. beginning with "Except facilities of the types - - - - and ending with "provided by the Charter, " be deleted; also the same language be deleted from sub-paragraph "(a)" of Paragraph 4. beginning on line seven.

10. Mr. James Richards of the National Board of Fire Underwriters appeared before the Council and discussed the benefits of adopting an electrical code. He explained the system of licensing and issuing permits.

11. Mr. Bob Rogers of East Isabella Street appeared before the Council and stated that the traffic situation on E. Isabella Street is much better since the new regulations were in effect, but that the situation was still bad due to number of trucks still traveling on that street. He stated that he felt the condition was due to excess signs, and suggested that the traffic light be moved up one block to Elizabeth Street. Mayor Morris advised that the City Engineer is aware of the excess signs and that as soon as the street program is completed the excess signs will be removed, and that major oil companies and trucking companies have been cooperating in the new regulations, and that he had received calls advising him of improvement and that he felt it would continue to improve. After further discussion the Council advised Mr. Rogers to bring the matter to their attention at a later date if the situation does not improve.

12. The Executive Secretary reported that bids were received on Contract A-107-62 Fire House Doors Alterations as follows: (Account No. 21-400 Gen.)

| | |
|---|---|
| Lee S. Disharoon | $8,400.00 |
| J. Roland Dashiell & Sons, Inc., | 19,659.00 |

After discussion the contract was awarded to the low bidder, Lee S. Disharoon, in the amount of $8,400 on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Martin.

13. The Executive Secretary reported that bids were received on Contract 3-62-W Water Main Construction as follows: (Account No. 71-400 W.D.)

| | |
|---|---|
| George W. Wilkinson | $1,890.00 |
| A. P. Isakson, Inc., | 2,290.50 |
| George & Lynch, Inc., | 2,528.00 |

Mr. Perkins stated that the bids had been reviewed by the Department of Public Works and that it was recommended that the low bid be accepted. The Council authorized that Contract 3-62-W be awarded to the low bidder, George W. Wilkinson, in the amount of $1,890.00, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

14. The Executive Secretary reported that seven bids had been received on three different models of Loaders on Contract No. A-106-62 (see abstract of bidding attached to minutes). and requested the City Engineer to review the bids. Mr. Cooper, City Engineer reviewed the bids and stated that after extensive study of the bidding two machines had been selected and both machines had been demonstrated under the same working conditions and that the Dept. of Public Works was more favorably impressed with the Caterpillar No. 922 Loader for various reasons, which he stated, and recommended that the Caterpillar No. 922 bid in the amount of $15,588.00 be accepted. After discussion the Council authorized award of Contract No. A-106-62 (Account No. 32-400 Gen.) to Alban Tractor Company, Inc., for No. 922 Caterpillar Loader, in the amount of $15,588.00, as recommended, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Grier.

15. Mr. Perkins, Executive Secretary, reported that application for exemption from special assessment tax in Parking District No. 1 had been made on 16 properties (see list of properties attached to minutes). He stated that these properties have been inspected and found to meet the requirements and recommended that exemptions be authorized. After discussion the Council authorized exemptions from special assessment tax, as requested, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook.

16. Mr. Perkins reported that Mr. Lester Richardson, who leases 45 acres of the Johnson Park Farm Property for farming purposes, requested that he be assured that at the expiration of the present lease, the end of the 1962 Farm Season, the lease would be renewed for another two year period. He stated the request was being made for the reason that Mr. Richardson would like to improve the land with lime and fertilizer, at considerable cost to him, and would not want to make the expenditure unless assurance was given that the lease would be renewed for a two year period beyond his present lease. After discussion the Council directed that a letter be written to Mr. Richardson assuring him that the lease would be renewed for the two year period, as requested, on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

17. Ordinance No. 835 AN ORDINANCE of the Council of The City of Salisbury approving rules and regulations, proposed by the Department of Public Works, controlling the construction and operation of private water systems within The City of Salisbury and such areas outside the City as are served by the City's water system, was introduced and duly passed for first reading as amended (see Item 8, these minutes) on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

18. RESOLUTION NO. 12 A RESOLUTION of the Council of The City of Salisbury proposing amendment of the Charter of The City of Salisbury by amending and supplementing Section 142A thereof (being Section 425 of the Wicomico County Code 1959) relating to special assessment districts for off-street parking facilities, so as to increase from thirty cents to sixty cents per one hundred dollars of assessed valuation the maximum rate of taxes which may be levied in any such assessment district, and so as to add to the off-street parking facilities now authorized to be acquired and developed in such special assessment districts other types of facilities, of special benefit to such districts and tending to improve or stabilize the businesses and properties located therein, except facilities of the types identified in Section 141 of the Charter (Section 423 of the Wicomico County Code 1959), was introduced and duly passed for first reading with amendments (see Item 9. these minutes) on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

19. Ordinance No. 836 AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY authorizing the issue of not to exceed Four Hundred Ten Thousand Dollars ($410,000.00) aggregate face amount of general obligation bonds of The City of Salisbury to be known as "Salisbury, Maryland, Parking Facility Bonds of 1962," to be issued pursuant to the authority of the Charter of Salisbury and Chapter 423 of the Acts of the General Assembly of Maryland, Regular Session of 1955, describing the form of said bonds, the maturities thereof, the interest rates of the first Three Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars ($380,000.00) aggregate face amount thereof to be offered in exchange for other City bonds and all other details incident to the issuance and exchange or public sale thereof; providing for the disbursement of the proceeds of the sale of any portion of said issue sold rather than exchanged; and authorizing and enjoining the levy and collection of general ad valorem taxes upon all property subject to assessment for taxation within the corporate limits of The City of Salisbury sufficient, in any year that any of said bonds are outstanding, to pay the maturing interest and principal on said bonds in excess of parking facility revenues and special assessment taxes which may be available to the City and may be appropriated by it for such purpose, was introduced and duly passed for first reading on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Martin.

20. Ordinance No. 837 AN ORDINANCE of The Council of The City of Salisbury adopting a Housing Code to control construction, alteration, repair, equipment, use and occupancy, location, maintenance, removal and demolition of all buildings or structures and appurtenances connected thereto, in order to promote the public safety, health and general welfare; providing for the enforcement thereof; and providing penalties for violation of the provisions thereof, was introduced and duly passed for first reading on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

21. Mr. Gilman requested Council approval to employ C. Gordon Gilbert appraiser on Urban Renewal Project Md. R-17 at a cost of $2,200. The Council concurred in approval of employment of the appraiser, as requested, on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

22. Mr. Gilman requested Council approval of salary increase for Merrill J. Burhans, Draftsman, Planning Commission from $60.00 per week to $80.00 per week (account No. 14-101 Gen.). After discussion the Council concurred in approval of salary increase, as requested, on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Rodgers, effective this date.

23. Mr. Gilman recommended that a special meeting of the Council be held for the purpose of reviewing the status of each Urban Renewal Program.

24. Mr. A. L. Fleming, Chairman of the Mayor's Citizens Advisory Committee on Urban Renewal, was present and advised that since Project No. 2 - Riverside Drive had been divided into two projects known as No. 2 and No. 4, both of which have passed the Philadelphia Regional Office, and due to Projects No. 1 and No. 3 being started and the fact that the Federal Government will not permit more than three Urban Projects at one time, it was necessary to decide which project the Council desired to send to the Washington Office for approval - Project No. 2 (Victor Lynn) or Project No. 4. (Snuff Hill). He stated that the Committee recommended that the Snuff Hill Area application for Marketing Analysis be submitted, due to time element the City would be unable to take advantage of credits involved for improvements around Victor Lynn area, but in the Snuff Hill Area credit could be claimed for Pinehurst School for about two years time, and that this would amount to approximately $48,000. After discussion the Council authorized that application be made for market analysis on the Snuff Hill Project, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers. Councilman Fullbrook voted in the negative. Councilman Grier abstained from voting.

25. Mr. Perkins, Executive Secretary, presented request from the Library Board for Council approval of construction loan of $150,000. He stated that the City had previously approved construction loan of $100,000, but that the Library needed a construction loan of $150,000. After discussion the Council concurred in approval of construction loan in the amount of $150,000 on motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

26. There was discussion on them City's present policy of insurance, inconnection with a letter dated June 19, 1962 from Mr. W. Newton Jackson, Jr regarding recent request to participate in the City's insurance program. The Executive Secretary advised that he is making an extensive study of the City's insurance program, which will be presented to the Council at an early date. After discussion the Council requested that a reply be made to Mr. Jackson's letter advising that the insurance program is under study and expected to be revised by August 1, at which time he will be given an opportunity to participate in the City's insurance program on a motino by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

27. The Executive Secretary presented matter of high water consumption at property of Charles H. Boyer, 404 Hammond Street (278,000 gallons for three month period - two family house). He stated that an investigation had been made by the meter reader and the Assistant City Engineer and that nothing was found to be wrong with the meter, but a leak was found in the toilet, which Mr. Boyer claims was not for sufficient period to cause the high water consumption. After discussion the Council concurred in adhering to the City's policy in the matter and deny request for adjustment.

28. The City Engineer presented eng neering study and cost of the street lighting program, he outlined the ar eas and types of lights to be installed at a total cost of the program of $2,232.98. After discussion the Council approved the lighting program as presented, on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

29. The City Engineer reported for Council information that there will be a request made to approve building at the end of Stewart Place, where there is a series of substandard colored buildings located. He stated that in order to develop, the street would have to be extended and the 72 ft. lot filled in, and that the City could not give cover on sewer; also that it was not feasible for the City to pay the high cost involved for grading, curb, storm sewer, etc. required. He stated the cost, outside of development would be $1,420. After discussion the Council agreed that the property owner would pay $1,160 and the City would pay $260.00.

30. Councilman Rodgers requested that an ordinance be adopted regulating taxi cab business in the City as soon as possible, something to cover the licensing and some type of inspection. He stated that he understood the County has an ordinance which could be used in the City, but the County was not enforcing the ordinance. He requested that Chief Chatham obtain a copy of the ordinance in force in Baltimore City. After discussion the Council requested that Chief Chatham the City Solicitor and the Executive Secretary meet and draft appropriate ordinance similar to the County ordinance to be presented at a future meeting.

31. Mayor Morris reported that the County Commissioners would like the members of the Council to go to lunch with them on Tuesday, June 26.

32. Mayor Morris presented for discussion the matter of Northwood area, and the possibility of extending water and sewer to this area to serve a prospective industry interested in locating in the area. He stated the matter would be further discussed after meeting with the County Commissioners.

33. The President of the Council announced that a special meeting of the Council would be held on Monday, July 2, 1962 at 8:00 P.M.

34. The meeting was adjourned at 11:30 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Martin.

July 2, 1962
Date

P. M. Lewis
President of The Council

Josephine M. Trawbridge
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND JULY 2, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in special session at the City Hall at 8:00 P.M., Monday, July 2, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Richard M. Laws, Councilmen W. Paul Martin, David F. Rodgers, and David A. Grier. Also present was Mayor Francis H. Morris.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The minutes of the meeting of June 25, 1962 were read and approved.

3. Mayor Morris reported in the matter of the Northwood Area in which a new industry is interested in locating. He stated that he had met with the County Commissioners in an effort to work out something to serve the industry with water and sewer, in view of the County's lack of authority to borrow to provide this service. He stated that since the meeting with the County Commissioners, a meeting had been held with the property owners of the area, at which time annexation of property on both sides of the railroad, the extension of water and sewer, and each property owner submitting a firm option of maximum asking price within a stated area, under certain conditions, were discussed. He stated that nothing had been finalized and he would keep the Council informed as to future meetings on the matter.

4. Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, presented copies of letters going out to the bond holders for the exchange of Parking Facility Bonds from the firms making the exchange. Also, he explained revisions in Ordinance No. 836 relating to these bonds, one being the amount to be $380,000 - the amount to be directly exchanged and not $410,000, and the other on page 16 - Section 4 - the pledge of revenues taken out. He recommended that Ordinance No. 836 be enacted with these revisions, on second and final reading.

5. Ordinance No. 836 AN ORDINANCE of The City of Salisbury authorizing the issue of not to exceed Three Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars ($380,000.00) aggregate face amount of general obligation bonds of The City of Salisbury to be known as "Salisbury, Maryland, Parking Facility Bonds of 1962," to be issued pursuant to the authority of the Charter of Salisbury and Chapter 423 of the Acts of the General Assembly of Maryland, Regular session of 1955, describing the form of said bonds, the maturities thereof, the interest rates thereof, authorizing the same to be offered in exchange for other City bonds, and providing for all other details incident to the issuance and exchange thereof; and authorizing and enjoining the levy and collection of general ad valorem taxes upon all property subject to assessment for taxation within the corporate limits of The City of Salisbury sufficient, in any year that any of said bonds are outstanding, to pay the maturing interest and principal on said bonds in excess of parking facility revenues and special assessment taxes which may be available to the City and may be appropriated by it for such purpose, was adopted as amended on second and final reading on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

6. In this connection Mr. Laws reported that Mr. Buckler, Bonding Attorney, will forward a memo of opinion to the effect that this is a private exchange of securities between the City and the bondholders and not a public sale.

Mr. George Miles, Engineer, Public Works Dept., reported that bids were received on June 29, 1962 on Contract A-108-62 Street Sweeper as follows:

7.

| | |
|---|---|
| McClung-Logan Equipment Co., Inc., | $ 10,250.00 |
| Warner Fruehauf Trailer Co., Inc., | 12,290.00 |

Mr. Miles explained reasons for recommending award to the low bidder, McClung-Logan Equipment Co., Inc., in the amount of $10,250.00.

Mayor Morris reported that the matter had come to his attention and that perhaps a mistake had been made in the specifications and that fair opportunity had not been given in order to get the best price from both bidders. After much discussion the Council concurred in deferring award of the contract until Mayor Morris could review the specifications and the bidding with Mr. Miles.

8. Mr. Gilman, Planning and Urban Renewal Director, presented a summary and review of the City's Urban Renewal Program, he delineated the project areas from maps and answered questions relating to the program.

9. Mayor Morris stated that he would like to have another informal meeting to complete the review of the Urban Renewal Program, and requested Mr. Gilman to also present at that time the structure of the organization, organizational-wise and functional-wise showing personnel relating to pay, in relation to the City and County.

10. The Council agreed to meet in special session to continue the review of the Urban Renewal Program on July 16, 1962 at 8:00 P.M.

11. The President of the Council announced that the regular me eting of the Council would be held as scheduled on July 9, 1962.

12. The meeting was adjourned at 10:30 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

July 9, 1962
Date

P. M. Lane
President of The Council

Josephine M. Trowbridge
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND JULY 9, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in regular session at the City Hall at 8:00 P.M., Monday, July 9, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Richard M. Laws, Councilmen: Harry O. Fullbrook, W. Paul Martin, David F. Rodgers, and David A. Grier. Also present was Mayor Francis H. Morris.

1. Councilman W. Paul Martin was named president pro tem, in the absence of the President of the Council at the opening of the meeting, on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

2. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

3. The minutes of the meeting of July 2, 1962 were read and approved.

4. Mayor Morris announced the appointment of Harold Mitchell Howard, Shop and Records Clerk, Dept. Public Works, to replace Thomas Faison, Classification 9-A, Account No. 30-101 Gen., Salary $77.00 per week, effective July 10, 1962.

5. Mayor Morris reported that Chief Chatham had received in Baltimore, on behalf of the City of Salisbury, the Pedestrian Safety Award for the Year 1961.

6. Mayor Morris reported that it had come to his attention that Stephen Dolbey, Lifeguard, had rescued swimmers from the swimming area in the Park. The following Resolution was introduced and adopted by the Council in recognition of Stephen Dolbey's action:

WHEREAS, The Mayor and Council have been informed by the Executive Secretary and the Chief of Police, as well as by reports in the public press of the prompt and effective action taken by Stephen Dolbey, lifeguard, employed by the City at Beaverdam Recreation Area in the City Park, in rescuing from the swimming area three persons on Sunday, July 8, 1962; and

WHEREAS, The Mayor and Council wish at this time publicly to commend said Stephen Dolbey.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that said Stephen Dolbey be and hereby is commended for his prompt and effective action on Sunday, July 8, 1962, in rescuing three persons at Beaverdam Swimming Area in the Salisbury City Park, and is further commended hereby for his diligent attention to duty as lifeguard at the said swimming area; and the City Clerk be and hereby is directed to cause a copy of this preamble and Resolution to be spread upon the minutes of this meeting and a copy to be delivered to said Stephen Dolbey.

7. Mr. Charles H. Boyer appeared before the Council in the matter of the high water consumption at his two family rental property at 404 Hammond Street. He stated that he felt that possibly something was wrong with the meter, due to a check of the meter for a two day period during which the leak in the toilet existed indicated the use of 8,000 gals, and that the leak found in the toilet existed for a period of 10 to 12 days. The Treasurer stated that it was possible that a meter could be improperly assembled, but that the meter had been inspected and tested by both the meter reader and the Assistant City Engineer and found to be correctly assembled and working properly, and that it was

impossible for it to jump a digit, as Mr. Boyer had stated could have happened. The Council thanked Mr. Boyer for bringing the matter to their attention and advised that the matter would be taken under consideration. The City Engineer advised Mr. Boyer that he would be glad to arrange for him to visit the meter shop and see the method by which meters are tested and inspected. Later in the meeting the Council discussed the matter further and requested that a letter be written to Mr. Boyer advising the City's policy of not making adjustment of water bills unless the meter is found to be defective, and that since the meter at his property was not found defective request for adjustment was denied.

8. Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, requested that action on Resolution No. 12, relating to special assessment districts for off-street parking facilities, be deferred for a later meeting, in order to make a further study of Special Assessment under the Charter.

9. The City Solicitor presented copies of letters from the syndicate of bankers offering to exchange bonds for the $380,000.00 Parking Facility Bonds of 1960.

10. The City Solicitor advised that the letter addressed to Mr. Cooper, City Engineer, from Mr. Richard Cullen, Attorney for Wicomico County, requested that Section I of Ordinance No. 835 be clarified. He stated that the County is questioning the intent to apply to lots only actually served by the City. Mr. Cooper advised that it was not the intent to impose provisions of Ordinance on property not actually connected to the City's system - the Ordinance was to apply only to property connected to the City's system. After discussion the City Engineer advised that he would reply to Mr. Cullen's letter and advise accordingly.

11. Ordinance No. 835 AN ORDINANCE of the Council of The City of Salisbury approving rules and regulations, proposed by the Department of Public Works, controlling the construction and operation of private water systems within The City of Salisbury and such areas outside the City as are served by the City's water system, was adopted on second and final reading on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Martin.

12. Ordinance No. 837 AN ORDINANCE of The Council of The City of Salisbury adopting a Housing Code to control construction, alteration, repair, equipment, use and occupancy, location, maintenance, removal and demolition of all buildings or structures and appurtenances connected thereto, in order to promote the public safety, health and general welfare; providing for the enforcement thereof; and providing penalties for violation of the provisions thereof, was adopted on second and final reading on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers, with amendments to Section 310.4, and Sub-section (a) of Section 103.2.

13. Mr. Cooper, City Engineer, reported that he and Mayor Morris had thoroughly reviewed the specifications and bidding on Contract A-108-62 Street Sweeper, and had also telephoned cities having purchased street sweepers during the past year to determine whether the low bid received was a favorable price; and with the Mayor's approval he would like to recommend that the low bid of McClung-Logan for the Wayne Model 880, in the amount of $10,250 be accepted. After discussion the Council concurred in awarding Contract A-108-62, Street Sweeper, Account No. 32-400 Gen., to McClung-Logan Equipment Co., Inc., in the amount of $10,250., on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

14. Mr. George Miles, Engineer, reported that bids had been received on Contract A-109-62, Dump Truck and 1/2Ton Pickup Truck, on July 5, 1962 as follows:

| | Dump Truck | | PickUp Truck | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Oliphant Chevrolet, Sales, Inc., | $ 4,262.00 | (low bidder) | $ 1,699.00 | |
| Cavanaugh Motors | 4,435.00 | | 1,698.00 | (low bidder) |
| Quillin-Valliant | 4,401.52 | | 1,799.04 | |

Mr. Miles stated that the bids had been reviewed by the Department of Public Works and recommended that award of contract be made to the low bidders. After discussion the Council concurred in accepting the low bids of Oliphant Chevrolet Sales, Inc., for Dump Truck, Account No. 32-400 Gen., in the amount of $4,262.00; and the low bid of Cavanaugh Motors for 1/2-Ton Pickup Truck, Account No. 34-400 Gen., in the amount of $1,698.00, on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

15. Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, presented plat of a one lot subdivision, Whittier Drive and Handy Street, requested by owner of property Ernest White Jefferson. Mr. Gilman explained that 52 ft. for street, to open Whittier Drive, was being dedicated. Mr. Cooper recommended that two additional feet on both sides, which is reserved for sidewalk, be included in the dedication. He also stated that it was inequitable to develop 75 ft. of street at a time. Councilman Fullbrook reminded the Council of the City's policy in the past of not approving one lot subdivisions. Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, explained that the Council had turned the function over to the Planning Commission, and that the present procedure is that subdivision requests are presented to the Council for review and information only, and that the Planning Commission is the body charged with the duty of approving or disapproving subdivision of lots. He suggested that if the Council feels that it is uneconomical to develop 75 ft. of street at a time, the Planning Commission should be advised accordingly in order that they may be guided in making decision on the request. After further discussion the Council requested that a letter be written to the Planning Commission as suggested by the City Solicitor, and also suggesting that the plat be returned to the developer for an overall plan of the subdivision.

16. Councilman Rodgers stated that he felt that there should be some sort of mark around the pole at left turn areas on Route 50. The City Engineer stated that he had discussed the matter with the State Roads Commission and that Mr. Skirven had offered to paint the nose of the islands yellow. Councilman Rodgers requested that the State Roads Commission be requested to paint the curb yellow at the islands where poles indicating left turn are located

17. Councilman Grier stated that it had come to his attention that the no left turn at Hazel Avenue and Route 13 was being ignored, and that with the new McCrory's store at that point some congestion was occurring, and he suggested that Hazel Avenue be made one-way. Mr. Cooper advised that Chief Chatham, Mr. Perkins and himself had reviewed the situation this date and thought it best to review the matter with the property owners and the people affected in the area and to make a thorough study of the matter and then bring it before the Council for consideration.

18. Councilman Grier requested that the eight composition book size books containing Minutes of Council Meetings dating from 1888 to 1908, which were recently found on the third floor of City Hall Building, be loaned to the Wicomico Historical Society. The Council agreed that the old Minute Books be loaned to the Wicomico Historical Society, as requested.

19. Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, resumed the review of the Urban Renewal Program. He explained project cost and Federal Grant, and the proposed development of the Snuff Hill Project.

20. The President of the Council announced that a special meeting of the Council would be held at 8:00 P.M., Monday, July 16, 1962.

21. The meeting was adjourned at 10:10 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

July 16, 1962
Date

P. M. Laws
President of The Council

Josephine M. Trauchlefield
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND JULY 16, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in special session at the City Hall at 8:00 P.M., Monday, July 16, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Richard M. Laws, Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, David F. Rodgers, and David A. Grier. Also present was Mayor Francis H. Morris.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The minutes of the meeting of July 9, 1962 were read and approved.

3. Councilman Rodgers inquired as to what had been done in regard to painting the area around the left turn poles on Route 50, and requested that the State Roads Commission be asked to paint the noses of those traffic islands. After discussion Mayor Morris suggested that the matter be turned over to Mr. Cooper and Chief Chatham to discuss with the State Roads Commission to determine what can be done to mark these areas.

4. Mayor Morris requested that a Resolution be adopted requesting avigation easements held by the United States Government over property lying in the approaches of the Airport. The following Resolution was introduced by Councilman Fullbrook, which was seconded by Councilman Grier and duly adopted by the Council.

WHEREAS, the United States of America effective October 20, 1946, terminated its leasehold interest in the Salisbury-Wicomico Airport Property located in the Nutters Election District of Wicomico County, Maryland, leased from the Mayor and Council of Salisbury and the County Commissioners of Wicomico County, but at the time of said termination of the leasehold interest, the said United States of America did not convey or assign to the lessors the avigation easements held by the United States of America over the properties lying in the approaches of the said Salisbury-Wicomico Airport; and

WHEREAS, The Council of The City of Salisbury and the County Commissioners of Wicomico County in connection with the operations of the Airport now find it necessary to acquire such avigation easements.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of The City of Salisbury, Maryland, a body politic and corporate of the State of Maryland, that the United States of America is requested to grant unto the Mayor and Council of Salisbury and the County Commissioners of Wicomico County, Maryland pursuant to Section 16 of the Federal Airport Act of 1946, as amended, all right, title and interest of the United States of America in the avigation easement presently held by the United States over the property lying in the approaches at the Salisbury-Wicomico Airport, subject to such reservations and conditions as may be imposed on such conveyance by the United States of America, and the Mayor and Council of Salisbury are authorized to accept such conveyance.

5. Mayor Morris reported on the progress of the proposed Northwood Area Annexation. He presented a map of the area and stated that all property owners had been contacted except the owners of the Allen Property, indicated on the map, and that it appears there is a realistic agreement based on realistic prices, however, no final agreement can be reached until all property owners agree. He stated that a tentative meeting with the property owners not already contacted had been set for Wednesday, July 16.

6. Mr. Laws, City Solicitor presented for execution Urban Renewal Contract No. R-17 (A) (Urban Renewal Project No. 1) for planning advance in the amount of $31,266.00. He explained the terms and conditions of the Contract.

The following Resolution approving and providing for the execution of a proposed contract for planning advance for surveys and plans for Urban Renewal Project under Title I of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, numbered Contract No. Md. R-17(A), by and between The City of Salisbury and the United States of America was introduced by Councilman Rodgers. Councilman Grier moved the adoption of the Resolution as introduced and read; Councilman Rodgers seconded the motion, and on roll call, all present voted "Aye", except the President, who votes only in case of a tie vote. The President of the Council thereupon declared the motion carried and the Resolution adopted as introduced and read.

WHEREAS, the United States of America (herein called the "Government") has tendered to the City of Salisbury (herein called the "Local Public Agency") a proposed Contract for planning advance for surveys and plans for Urban Renewal Project under Title I of the Housing Ace of 1949, as amended, under which the Government would make an advance of Federal funds to the Local Public Agency to aid in financing the cost of certain surveys and plans in preparation of an urban renewal project designated Project No. Md. R-17 in the urban renewal area described in such proposed Contract; and

WHEREAS, the Local Public Agency has given due consideration to said proposed Contract:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The proposed Contract for Planning Advance for Surveys and Plans for Urban Renewal Project under Title I of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, designated Contract No. Md. R-17(A), consisting of Parts I and II, under and subject to the terms and conditions of which the Government would make an advance of Federal funds to the Local Public Agency to aid in financing the cost of certain surveys and plans for an urban renewal project designated Project No. Md. R-17, situated in the City of Salisbury, Maryland, is hereby in all respects approved; except that the word "intentional" shall be inserted in Section 103(b) preceding the word "Misrepresentation."

Section 2. The Mayor of the Local Public Agency is hereby authorized and directed to execute said proposed Contract in two counterparts on behalf of the Local Public Agency, and the Clerk of The City of Salisbury is hereby authorized and directed to impress and attest the official seal of the Local Public Agency on each such counterpart and to forward such counterparts to the Housing and Home Finance Agency for execution on behalf of the Government, together with such other documents relative to the approval and execution thereof as well as to this Resolution as may be required by the Government.

Section 3. The Urban Renewal Director of The City of Salisbury is hereby authorized to file requisitions, together with necessary supporting documents with the Government from

time to time as advance funds are required, requesting payments to be made on account of advance provided for in the said Contract, and to do and perform all other things and acts required to be done or performed in order to obtain such payments.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect immediately.

7. Mayor Morris reported on the status of acquisition of the Cavanaugh Property. He explained that the property had been appraised by three appraisers, one local and one out of town for the City and by Mr. Kolb for Cavanaugh, with the end result being three different prices. He stated that at the last meeting with the Cavanaughs the out of town appraiser was present and explained at that time the method of reaching the appraised figure. Mayor Morris reported that the appraisal by the out of town appraiser and the appraisal of Mr. Kolb, on the main property and the property by the river, are very close, and that Mr. Cavanaugh indicated that the property could be made available within thirty days. He stated that if the appraisals of City's local and out of town appraisers are accepted by the Urban Renewal Agency that the sum of the two appraisals will be divided by two and that figure would be the amount offered for the Cavanaugh property, and that he did not think the figure would be acceptable to the Cavanaughs. He stated that an alternative would be to throw out the Truitt Appraisal, since the City had paid 100% of the appraisal fee prior to authorization, and also since it had never been submitted, and to hire another appraiser, however, he felt that the two appraisals which the City now has should be submitted without going to extra cost.

8. Mr. Gilman presented request for subdivision of Maher and Hynes Property on Prince Street, a re-subdivision of three 50 ft. lots to two 75 ft. lots. The Council concurred in approval of the subdivision, as requested, on a motion of Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Grier.

9. Mr. Perkins presented the matter of legislation now pending to establish uniform fiscal year for all cities in the State to be July 1 - June 30. He reviewed the memorandum of July 13 setting forth advantages and disadvantages. After discussion the Council concurred in the continuation of operating on the Calendar Year January 1 - December 31, and to go on record to oppose the uniform fiscal year July 1 - June 30; and to advise our State representatives accordingly, on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook.

10. Mr. Perkins presented a list of accounts on which there has been some question on billing and collection of water and sewer charges, and requested that the Council make a decision. Each account was reviewed and discussed and action, as listed on the following accounts, was concluded upon a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Grier.

Group A - Water Service for Vacant Lots - No charge for sewer service on following: Marion G. Morton, 219 S. Clairmont Drive, Reuben J. Waller, E. Vine St., Jackson's Burial Lot, N. Division St., Parson's Cemetery, N. Division St.

Group B - Water Services Placed At Time When Sewer Not Available - To be given sixty days to tap on to City Sewer:

Wicomico Frigid Food Bldg., 132 E. Carroll St., Larmar Corp. Bldg., 1127 E. Carroll St., Raymond and Margaret J. Koffel, 316 Carroll St., J. Wallace Messick, 314 Carroll St., Peninsula General Hospital Nurse's Training Bldg., 202 Carroll St., Lewis J. and Marggret B. McBriety, 1012 Riverside Road.

Group C - Water Accounts Where Sewer is Not Available - No charge for sewer service:

Salisbury Brick Co., Parsons Rd., Farmers and Merchants Drive-In Bank, S. Salisbury Blv

Group D - Special Cases -

W. F. Messick Co., E. Vine St. - no change.

Florence R. Jackson, 501 Camden Ave., John E. and Margaret Morris 523 S. Pinehurst Ave. - To be given 60 days to tap on to City water.

Webb Packing Company, Truitt St., - no change.

V. V. Hughes, W. Isabella St., J. Price, W. Isabella St., Lee Hayman, W. Isabella St.

To be given sixty days to tap on to City sewer. V. V. Hughes and Lee Hayman are to be billed for prior sewer charges, which are unpaid, including interest.

Elks Club Swimming Club - To be charged for water for swimming pool, but no sewer charge. Sewer charge to be made on club house and bath house.

In addition to the above groups of accounts, Mr. Cooper requested the Council to set policy for charging the filling of tanks and water for circus, etc. After discussion the Council agreed that a charge for the filling of storage of tanks, water for circuses, etc. would be one and three-fourths (1-3/4) times the City's existing water rate plus service charge; and that there will be no rebates unless approved by the Council in advance, on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Grier.

11. The meeting was adjourned at 10:35 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Grier.

July 23, 1962
Date

President of The Council

Josephine M. Trowbridge
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND JULY 23, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in regular session at the City Hall at 8:00 P.M., Monday, July 23, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Richard M. Laws, Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, W. Paul Martin, David F. Rodgers, and David A. Grier. Also present was Mayor Francis H. Morris.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The Minutes of the meeting of July 16, 1962 were read and approved on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Grier.

3. Mayor Morris announced the appointment of Edward G. Bounds, Jr., temporary Engineering Aide, Department Public Works, Salary $50.00 per week, Account No. 31-101 Gen., effective July 24, 1962.

4. Mayor Morris reported that a meeting with the heirs of the Allen Estate was scheduled for Wednesday, July 25 concerning annexation of the Northwood Area.

5. Mr. A. G. Mitchell appeared before the Council in the matter of water bill for his rental property on East Vine Street, which he claimed had increased by four times the amounts previously billed. He presented a sample of water taken from the toilet tank in his home on Truitt Street, which was rusty and contained sediment. He stated that it was his belief that the dirty water and the particles in the water had caused the trouble in the leaky toilet on Vine Street resulting in the high water bill. He related recent experience with collection of the sediment in the water causing trouble with a washing machine at his home. Mr. Cooper stated that he would like to sample the water at Mr. Mitchell's home for the next 30 days. After discussion the Council advised Mr. Mitchell they would like to go into the matter of condition of the water more fully later in the meeting, and he would be advised of the decision made concerning adjustment of his water bill.

6. Mayor Morris reported that the minimum fine for violation of truck violations on the truck route was now being enforced.

7. Mayor Morris reported that request had been made for the removal of the traffic signal at Isabella and Mill Streets, and that a traffic count will be made to determine whether the signal should be removed.

8. Mayor Morris reported that traffic counter has been placed at Spring and Lincoln Avenues Intersection where there was an accident last week. He stated that he had requested Chief Chatham to report on number of accidents at that location, as serious consideration should be given to traffic in this area to try to slow the traffic down and give pedestrians and school children the best possible chance, in view of the Prince Street School located in the area and the new Junior High School scheduled to open in September. He stated that the excessive speed on Lincoln Avenue, as well as Spring Avenue, had been called to the attention of the Police Department, who are now patrolling the area.

In this connection, Mr. Laws, President of the Council presented a petition signed by 139 of the residents and property owners of the Spring and Lincoln Avenue area petitioning the Mayor and Council to take action to correct traffic conditions in the area and requesting that a traffic signal be placed at the intersection.

After much discussion, the Council directed that while the survey is being made to determine whether a traffic signal is needed at this intersection the words "STOP AHEAD" be painted in the traffic lane on Spring Avenue approximately 100 ft. on both sides from the intersection, to warn motorists of the intersection, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

9. Mayor Morris reported the matter of raising speed limit to 35 miles per hour on the East end of Route 50 from Baptist Street toCity limits, thereby making the speed limit uniform all the way rather than dropping from 35 miles per hour to the present 30 mile per hour from the intersection of East Main St - Route 50 to City limits.

10. Mr. Gilman, Director of Planning and Urban Renewal, requested Council approval of selection of second appraisers on Urban Renewal Northside Project. He explained that the first set of appraisals on the Northside Project were done by Mr. Truitt and that a second set must be done and compared with the first set before the City can get acquisition; also that the second appraisers must be approved by the Urban Renewal Agency and that he had requested and received a list of appraisers from the Urban Renewal Agency. He stated they had recommended the firms of Harris Hamby and C. Gordon Gilbert and that he had interviewed both appraisers and was favorably impressed with the low bidder - Harris Hanby Company's appraiser, Mr. Robert Truman. The fees were: Harris Hanby Co. $3,325., C. Gordon Gilbert $3,500. He recommended the selection of Harris Hanby Company as appraisers on the Northside Project. After discussion the Council concurred in accepting the recommendation of Mr. Gilman in the selection of Harris Hanby Company as the appraisers on the Northside Project, in the amount of $4,25, on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Martin.

11. Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, explained the necessity of adopting a resolution to amend the application for survey and planning funds on Urban Renewal Project No. 1 and presented a map of the area. After discussion the following Resolution was introduced by Councilman Fullbrook, the Resolution was then read and fully discussed and considered. Councilman Rodgers then moved the adoption of the Resolution, Councilman Grier seconded the motion, and on roll call, all present voted "Aye" except the President, who votes only in case of tie vote. The President thereupon declared the motion carried and the Resolution adopted as introduced and read.

A RESOLUTION of The Council of The City of Salisbury authorizing filing of revised survey and planning application on Central Business District Urban Renewal Project No. 1 (Md. R-17).

WHEREAS, on October 23, 1961, the Council of the City of Salisbury, pursuant to the power and authority provided in Article XII-A entitled "Redevelopment - Urban Renewal" of the Charter of the City of Salisbury, approved the filing of an application for Survey and Planning Funds authorized under Title I of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, for the Md. R-17 project; and

WHEREAS, it has become necessary and is in the public interest to expant the project boundary in order to undertake and carry out a more desirable urban renewal project of the character contemplated by Section 110 (c) of Title I, in that area proposed as an

Urban Renewal Area, situated in the City of Salisbury, County of Wicomico, and State of Maryland, which lies between the original project boundary line and the Wicomico River, and which is described on the attached sheet.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of The City of Salisbury, pursuant to the power and authority provided in Article XII-A entitled "Redevelopment - Urban Renewal" of the Charter of the City of Salisbury, that:

1. The amendment to the said approved Survey and Planning Application is necessary and in the public interest and such amendment will permit the carrying out and completion of surveys and plans therewith to the end that the Council may at a later date on the basis of such surveys and plans determine whether or not to initiate an urban renewal project in the subject area in accordance with the provisions of Section 422-D of said Article XII-A;

2. The Mayor of The City of Salisbury be and hereby is authorized and empowered to execute and file an amended Survey and Planning Application consistent herewith; and thereafter, further is authorized and empowered to prosecute said amended application by furnishing any additional information or documents as may be required.

12. Mr. Gilman discussed the necessity for filing letter of Consent by the Council on Urban Renewal Project No. 1, in order to speed up acquisition of the Cavanaugh Property. The following Resolution was introduced by Councilman Martin, the Resolution was then read and fully discussed and considered. Councilman Grier then moved adoption of the Resolution, Councilman Rodgers seconded the motion, and on roll call, all present voted "Aye" except the President, who votes only in case of tie vote. The President thereupon declared the motion carried and the Resolution adopted as introduced and read.

RESOLUTION of The Council of The City of Salisbury authorizing filing of request for letter of consent on Central Business District Urban Renewal Project No. 1 (Md. R-17).

WHEREAS, it is in the public interest and the interest of the Central Business District Urban Renewal Project No. 1, currently under preparation, that certain parcels identified in the Letter of Consent Request be acquired prior to project execution in order to permit more rapid completion of project objectives and proposed plan proposals during such project execution; and

WHEREAS, the undertaking of such acquisition activity will relieve owners of the subject parcels of the hardship entailed in relocation during project execution by enabling them to have sufficient time to provide for their relocation;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of The City of Salisbury that the Request for Letter of Consent, be and hereby is, approved and that the

Mayor of The City of Salisbury he, and hereby is, authorized and directed to file such Letter of Consent Request with the Housing and Home Finance Administrator, to provide such additional information and to furnish such documents as may be required by the Administrator, and to act as the authorized representative of the City of Salisbury.

13. Mr. John Jacobs appeared before the Council and outlined proposed plans of the Salisbury Exchange Club to promote a fund raising program for the purpose of acquiring an animal or animals to be donated to the Municipal Park Zoo. He explained that it was proposed to conduct an election, on approximately ten different animals, to let the public have a choice of the animal to be chosen, by making donation to the animal of their choice. He stated that the Salisbury Exchange Club will purchase the animal and any amount of money left after the purchase of the animal will go toward purchase of housing and fencing. He stated that he hoped the Council would be sufficiently interested to accept the animal or animals and to help in the housing, and that he would come back to report on the matter after a meeting of the Committee and the final list of the animals has been made complete. The Council thanked Mr. Jacobs for his interest and his visit.

14. Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, explained that Resolution No. 12, which was recently introduced proposing amendment of Charter increasing taxing power in Parking District No. 1 and to include other types of facilities, had caused a review of the assessment procedures in the Charter. He explained the three special assessment procedures under the Charter at the present time and stated that in order to avoid any conflict that he would recommend revision of Resolution No. 12 to harmonize with the three procedures under the Charter. After discussion the Council requested the City Solicitor to draft a revision of Resolution No. 12 and present it at the next regular meeting of the Council on August 13.

15. Councilman Fullbrook inquired as to the condition of the City's water supply. Mayor Morris reported that there are 16 wells and it is not known which well is causing the trouble. He stated that he had discussed the matter with Mr. Cooper and that Mr. Cooper suggested contacting the Bureau of Mines and Geology, and that a letter had been written to Dr. Singewald, head of the Dept. of Geology at Johns Hopkins University, asking for recommendations as to how to approach the problem; and that the matter would be brought before the Council with recommendations.

16. In this connection the Council concluded that request for adjustment of water bill by Mr. A. G. Mitchell be denied.

17. Councilman Grier extended an invitation from the Junion Chamber of Commerce to members of the Council to ride in the Miss Maryland Parade on Saturday, July 28 at 10:00 A.M.

18. The President of the Council announced that the next meeting of the Council would be a special meeting on Monday, August 6, at 8:00 P.M.

19. The meeting was adjourned at 10:30 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

Aug. 6, 1962
Date

P. M. Laws
President of The Council

Josephine M. Trankfield
Clerk

Salisbury, Md.
July 19, 1962.

Hon. Mayor Morris,
Members of City Council,
To all others in authority.

139

Gentlemen:

When are we going to get some action? Does one or several children have to die because nothing is being done to protect them from the ever present automobile hazard?

In the past year, there have been numerous accidents at the intersection of Spring Avenue "Raceway" and Lincoln Avenue "EXpressway" despite the presence of a blinker traffic light. The latest of these involved five children, several of whom are in the hospital.

We, the undersigned residents, property owners, taxpayers and voters demand that some aggressive positive action be taken by your governing party. We believe that a traffic light at this intersection will be a great help in safeguarding the lives and property of we people living in this section of town.

Please take action before it is too late.

Respectfully,

Fred Wilkins 804 Spring ave
William E. Mills 808 Spring Ave
Roy L. Lawson 811 Spring Ave.
Dot Lawson
H W Parsons
Richard A Hopkins 802 Spring Ave
Becky Hopkins 802 Spring Ave
Peggy Tilghman 806 Spring ave
Edward Tilghman 806 Spring ave
Fred Hope 807 Spring Ave
Madeline Hope 807 Spring Ave

George W. Melson 1021 Spring ave
Helen L. Melson 1021 Spring Ave.
Adah Wage
1013 Spring ave
Salisbury Md.
Sadie A. Johnson
1009 Spring ave
Mr & Mrs Irving J. Hopkins
1003 Spring ave
Mr & Mrs Frank Hastings
Mr Herbert C. Morris
510 E Lincoln Ave

Virginia Reddish - 84 Pineway Salisbury, Md.
Judy Stanley William St. Fruitland Md.
Sandra Adkins Elizabeth St. Salisbury, Md
Shirley Stanley - William St., Fruitland, Md.
Vernon Bailey 605 Camden Ave. Salisbury, Md.
Harry N. Hastings Route #5 Salisbury Md.
Mad Hastings Rt. 5 Salisbury, Maryland
Helen Taylor Rt 4 Salisbury, Md
Herman Elliott Salisbury Md. R#1
Gussie Elliott Salisbury Md R#1
William Elliott Jr. Salisbury Md. R#1
Eugene D Dougherty Salisbury, Md
Cranston Briggs - Fruitland, Md
Mr Mrs Henry J. Kruse
259 TYLER AVE, SALISBURY MD.
Morris L. Reiter 408 Hastings St. Salisbury, Md.
Mrs. Lillie Ewell 116 Dover Street
Salisbury Md.

Mrs Bessie Stanley William St. Fruitland, Md.
Mrs Grace Howard 314 Poplar Hill ave Salisbury
Mrs. Iris E. Smullen Pineway Salisbury, Md.
Mr. Philip E. Thomas Fruitland Md.
Mrs Charlotte Frazier 202 Lincoln Ave.
[illegible] Helsing Fruitland Salisbury

Mrs. Jean M. Hosei 919 Spring
Floyd T. Hosei
Vernon L. Brown 810 Spring Ave
Barbara E. Brown 810 Spring Ave

Mr & Mrs Irving Hopkins
1003 Spring Ave
Salisbury, Md.

Emma W. Parker
415 E. Lincoln Ave., Sby., Md.

Arthur W. Parker
415 E. Lincoln Ave Salisbury, Md.

Mrs Lillian Parker
414 E Lincoln Ave
Salisbury Md

Mr & Mrs Boyd J. W. Bounds
804 Gettysburg Ave.
Salisbury, Md.

Fanny L. Lemon Postmaster
407 E. Lincoln Ave
Salisbury Md

Robert S Beach
409 E Lincoln ave

Ada E. Smith
411 Lincoln ave

Rev. LaVerne Easton
809 Gettysburg Ave.

Daisy Welsh
Jas. Welsh
435 Lincoln ave

John R Smullen Salisbury, Md.
George Stanley
Walter Brumbley Fruitland Md
Hal. Stanley Fruitland Md
Jessalene Stanley
James Layton 412 Bethel St
Gladys Layton
Beatrice Townsend Glen Ave. Extd. Salisbury
Loraine Beach Salisbury #1
Bill. Beach
Charles E. Layton Pineway Box 13 city
Elma Layton
Woodrow Cantwell 198 Pierce ave.
Doris Cantwell
Philip Culp Fruitland Md.
Corey J Staton 138 Louise Salis Md.
Naomi R. Staton Salisbury, Md.
Christine Staton Salisbury, Md.
Jerry Smullen Salisbury, Md
Oran Taylor 130 Jackson St Salis.
Evelyn Taylor 730 Jackson St. Salisbury, Md.
A. J. Daugherty Jr. 322 Corey ave. Salisbury Md.
Ora E Daugherty 322 Corey ave Salisbury Md.
over ⇒

Mr. Calvin L. Brumbley 606 Moore St City
Mrs. Beulah Brumbley Salisbury, Md.
Mr. George Hearn Parsonsburg, Md.
Mrs. Nellie Hearn Parsonsburg, Md.
Mr Maggie McDaniel Parsonsburg Md
Mr Harry Wachsmuth Salisbury Md
Mrs Pauline Wachsmuth Salisbury Md
Mrs Frank Fabrenz Salisbury Md
Mrs Lucinda Colona Salisbury Md
Mr & Mrs Sonny Gravenor Route 4 Salisbury Md
Mr + Mrs Asbury Phoebus Salisbury Md.
Reta Adkins Elizabeth St. Salisbury Md.
Mr. Pearl Tingle 506 Anne St. Salisbury, Md.
Mr William F. Corbin 521 East Lincoln Ave Salisbury Md
Sandra Brumbley 606 Moore Street
Mary Ewell Ocean City Rd.
Monica Lare 616 Moore Street
Melvin Duncan Priscilla St City
Mrs Cranston Briggs
Mr. Philip Lee Briggs
Miss Etta Brigge
miss andrea Daugherty Salisbury Md.
Elizabeth Mitchell
missouri Marshall
Dicky Brumbley
Mr. Phoebus

Howard Hearn
718 Madison St City

Mrs Howard Hearn
718 Madison St
Salisbury
Md.

Mr Bennie Webster
203 Lincoln Ave
City

Preston D. Taylor
723 Madison St
Salisbury Md.

Dora Taylor
723 Madison St
Salisbury md.

Lillian Wooters
~~Henry Armwright~~

Harry J. Hall
200 E Lincoln ave
Salisbury Md.

Hilary J Hall
106 E Lincoln ave
Salisbury Md

90

Tom L. Taylor
Judith M. Taylor
Page W. Kelley
Barbara R. Kelley
Anna C. Propper
Gerald W. Propper
Mrs J Max Inzerillo P Montez
Russell T. Hastings
Charles T. Rock Jr
Josephine Rock
Ralph Taylor
Alice Taylor
Donna B. Tawes
Allen W. Tawes
Mrs Anna Belle Kilbourne
Sam Cusick

Salisbury, Md.
July 19, 1962.

Hon. Mayor Morris,
Members of City Council,
To all others in authority.

Gentlemen:

When are we going to get some action? Does one or several children have to die because nothing is being done to protect them from the ever present automobile hazard?

In the past year, there have been numerous accidents at the intersection of Spring Avenue "Raceway" and Lincoln Avenue "EXpressway" despite the presence of a blinker traffic light. The latest of these involved five children, several of whom are in the hospital.

We, the undersigned residents, property owners, taxpayers and voters demand that some aggressive positive action be taken by your governing party. We believe that a traffic light at this intersection will be a great help in safeguarding the lives and property of we people living in this section of town.

Please take action before it is too late.

Respectfully,

Mr. & Mrs. Donald J. Cooper
444 E. Lincoln Avenue

Mr. & Mrs. Chas Parsons
805 Gettysburg Ave

Mr. & Mrs. L. G. Hair
416 Lincoln Ave

Mr. & Mrs. Martin Walker
418 Lincoln Ave.

Mr. & Mrs. Estel Meadows
427 Lincoln Ave.

Mr. & Mrs. Robert Vance
431 Lincoln Ave.

Mr. & Mrs. Robert Collins
427 E Lincoln Ave

Mr. & Mrs. Donald Hopkins
808 Gettysburg Ave.

Mr. & Mrs. Wallace Dwyer
812 Gettysburg Ave.

Mr. & Mrs. Joseph F. Murr
807 Gettysburg Ave!

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND AUGUST 6, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in special session at the City Hall at 8:00 P.M., Monday, August 6, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Richard M. Laws, Councilmen W. Paul Martin, David F. Rodgers, and David A. Grier. Also present was Mayor Francis H. Morris.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The minutes of the meeting of July 23, 1962 were read and approved on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Grier.

3. Mr. John E. Jacob of the Exchange Club was present and introduced members of the Mayor's Zoo Advisory Committee, Mrs. Josephine Snow and Mr. William Benjamin, who were also present.

Mr. Benjamin presented recommendation of the Zoo Committee to take over the east section of the Municipal Park, where the Boy Acout Area is presently located, to place large animals of the Zoo, and suggested that this be discussed with the City Engineer, who is familiar with this recommendation. Mr. Benjamin also presented a list of ten animals approved by the Zoo Advisory Committee to be placed on the ballot in connection with the proposed program of the Exchange Club for purchasing an animal or animals to be donated to the Zoo.

Mr. Jacob stated that the list of animals submitted by the Zoo Advisory Committee had been gone over and that it was felt that all of the animals would be reasonably interesting additions to the Zoo, and that he would like Council agreement on the proposition to purchase animal or animals according to the funds raised in the campaign, with the excess money over and above purchase price of the animals going toward fencing and housing.

Mayor Morris advised that he would not be in favor of expanding the Park until an overall Capital Improvement Plan or Master Plan of the Park had been prepared and considered.

Mr. Jacob explained that in submitting these recommendations that the City is not being asked to make any immediate expenditure, the present request is for the "Go Ahead" after raising money for the purchase of the animals.

After further discussion the Council concurred in supporting the Exchange Club in their program to raise funds to purchase animal or animals to be donated to the Park Zoo, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

4. Mayor Morris reported that the Legislative Council of Maryland will hold a public meeting in Easton on Monday, August 13 at 10:00 A.M., and the public is invited to attend and bring up any subject of public interest. He asked the Council for suggestions on subjects that might be discussed at the meeting. The Council suggested that the matter of uniform fiscal year be brought before the Legislative Council, also the matter of annexation. Mr. Perkins advised that the matter of annexation was being taken up by the Legislative Committee of the Maryland Municipal League.

5. Mayor Morris reported that traffic counters have been at the intersection of Mill and Isabella Streets, but now that Wayne Pump Co. is in operation after vacation, that the traffic counters have again been placed at Spring and Lincoln Avenues.

6. Mayor Morris referred to the preliminary report in the briefing books on the City's Water Wells and stated that more detail will be forthcoming.

7. Mr. Cooper presented a plat of property owned by the City and by Herman Hodgson located on Route 50, west of Lake Street. He stated that Mr. Hodgson is interested in an exchange of the land shown on the plat as Parcel "A" for the land owned by the City shown on the plat as Parcel "B". He stated that over the past year or so the matter of trading had been discussed, in order that Mr. Hodgson could consolidate his land and the City would have regularity in their land, and that the matter had been discussed with the Mayor. Mayor Morris stated that Mr. Hodgson had signed agreement with a company and would like to put up a filling station at this location, but cannot move ahead without acquiring the land owned by the City. He stated that Mr. Hodgson is a substantial taxpayer and that it was his feeling that the City should come up with something perhaps like two for three square feet in the exchange of property, as the City's property would be more valuable if straightened out than it is at present. Mr. Cooper inquired as to whether the Council would like for him to make some counter proposal. Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, advised that the new Purchasing Ordinance deals with disposing of City property and that he would like to study the matter in order to make sure that any proposal complies with Charter provision. Mayor Morris stated that the proposal should be made in accordance with the City's Charter for trading of land.

Councilman Rodgers suggested that an appraisal be made of the land and if there is any difference in what Mr. Hodgson wants and the appraised price that Mr. Hodgson should pay the difference.

8. Mr. Cooper presented matter of right-of-way for Eastern Shore Public Service Co. pole on Delaware Avenue for a major transmission line. He stated that he was assured by E.S.P.S. that if the City wanted to widen the street and put in curb and gutter that they would move the pole, and that the City might proceed with the project, and that this work had been completed. He stated that he now finds that E.S.P.S. has been unsuccessful in dealing with Lloyd Chandler and Son for the pole relocation, and in view of E.S.P.S. relocating the line requiring an expenditure of about $13,000, at no cost to the City, and also the difficulty the City has encountered with Mr. Chandler, he would recommend that the Council authorize acquisition of right of way from Lloyd Chandler in the amount of $1,200 for the relocation of the pole. After much discussion, Mayor Morris stated that in the future that no projects should be started until all legal angles are cleared up, and that no department should take it upon themselves to move ahead on any project without being made known to the Council. After discussion, Councilman Martin introduced a motion that an attempt be made to acquire the right-of-way for the relocation of the pole for the sum of $1,200, the motion was seconded by Councilman Grier and carried. Councilman Rodgers voted in the negative.

9. Mr. Gilman reviewed the Recertification of Workable Program of Urban Renewal Projects. He explained that the original workable program was submitted over a year ago, which was approved, and that it is required by the Urban Renewal Agency that a report be submitted annually to recertify the progress made in setting forth the required plans, ordinances, codes, etc. After discussion the Council concurred in authorizing that the Recertification of Workable Program of Urban Renewal Projects, as presented, be executed by Mayor Morris on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Martin.

10. Mr. Perkins, Executive Secretary, referred the Council to his memo in the briefing books relating to the Boat Ramp. He stated that Philip Banks had purchased the property adjacent to the boat ramp, and had almost excluded the use of the boat ramp, as part of the land purchased by Mr. Banks has been used for parking, and that Mr. Banks had applied for a permit to build a store on the property and has informed the City not to use the land to park on. He stated that he had discussed the matter with Mr. Wade Insley, President of the County Commissioners, who had requested that the matter be brought before the Council, as he feels that the City and County should purchase the Dryden property. Mr. Perkins ststed that he had the property appraised by Mr. Alf. Truitt, who appraised the property at $14,553. Mayor Morris suggested that a fence be erected separating the City-County Land from the land purchased by Mr. Banks, and that no boats be left at the boat ramp unattended. After further discussion the Council concluded that the County Commissioners be advised that the City is not interested in purchasing the Dryden property; and that the boat ramp property be marked at the property line; and that no boats be permitted to dock at the boat ramp unattended.

11. Mr. Perkins presented request from Mr. Vaughn Richardson, Attorney, for sewer service to serve the property of Mr. Brantley Grinnalds, approximately 125 ft. East of the City limits, stating that he would be willing to run the sewer line from his property to the City sewer line. After discussion the Council directed that a letter be written to Mr. Richardson advising that the service cannot be extended at this time.

12. Mr. Victor Laws, City Solicitor, reported that he had been informed by Mr. Buckler in regard to the Bond exchange that 363 of the bonds have been turned in and the other 17 have been promised. He stated that five of the 17 bonds are held by customers of Alex Brown Company and the remaining 12 are held by customers of John C. Legg Co., who are out of town. Mr. Laws stated that Mr. Buckler would like to delay closing the transaction until early September when he returns from vacation, and that there would be no interest increase, however, if it is desired to get it done sooner he will have one of his partners proceed while he is away on vacation. After discussion the Council concurred in waiting until Mr. Buckler returns from vacation for consummation of the bond exchange.

13. Mayor Morris referred to memo from the Executive Secretary regarding request from the Incinerator and Sewage Treatment Commission to borrow from the General Fund to make final settlement of the contractor's account in the amount of $67,193.79. He stated there is $51,000 in the budget for this purpose and that the Commission has $3,000 on deposit in the bank and that about $14,000 is needed to make final settlement to James Julian. He stated that the contractor also claims that an additional amount of $50,473.24 is due for extra work, and that the Commission has denied this claim and are prepared to go to Court. After discussion the Council concurred in approval of the request of the Commission to borrow $14,000 from the General Fund, to be repaid from the Federal Grant after final audit, on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Martin.

14. Mr. Gilman reviewed the Planning and Urban Renewal Organization and presented an organizational chart. He answered questions relating to salaries and personnel, and stated that it was not anticipated to employ additional personnel to carry on the functions of the organizations. He gave a brief history relating to the establishment of the Planning Commission and as to how Urban Renewal came about. He reviewed the accomplishments of both the Planning Commission and Urban Renewal. He explained that the major item is a uniform Zoning Ordinance for both City

and County, which would be introduced in the near future. He stated that a joint meeting with the City and County would be held to review the Zoning Ordinance, at which time he would like to review the joint arrangement between the City and County.

15. Mayor Morris suggested that the agenda for future special meetings be limited to those items for which the special meeting is held.

16. Mayor Morris asked the Council if they felt that a review of organization of each department, such as presented at this meeting by Mr. Gilman, should be made. He stated that the Department of Public Works was preparing such a review for a future meeting.

17. The meeting was adjourned at 10:55 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

Aug. 13, 1962
Date

W. M. Lane
President of The Council

Josephine M. Troublefield
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND AUGUST 13, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in regular session at the City Hall at 8:00 P.M., Monday, August 13, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Richard M. Laws, Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, W. Paul Martin, David F. Rodgers and David A. Grier. Also present was Mayor Francis H. Morris.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The minutes of the meeting of August 6, 1962 were read and approved on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Grier.

3. Mayor Morris reported that he did not attend the meeting of the State Legislative Council held in Easton this date, as the Legislative Council would hold an informal meeting in Salisbury Tuesday, August 14, at which time he would present the matter of annexation and the uniform fiscal year.

4. Mr. William Travers, Attorney, appeared before the Council and requested that Ordinances 801 and 802 be repealed for the reason that the Delmarva Antenna Co., and the Peninsula Community Antenna Co., had merged or transferred their assets to a new corporation, General Television, Inc., who will operate one individual concern. He requested that a franchise, in the exact terms of the old franchises, except that it be limited to ten years instead of a five year period, be granted to General Television, Inc. He explained the reason for the limitation was that the corporation could get a decided tax advantage.

Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, stated that he had reviewed the Ordinance with Mr. Travers and Mr. Perkins and that he and Mr. Perkins saw no objection to granting a franchise on the same non-exclusive basis. Mr. Laws read and explained Ordinance No. 838, after which Mayor Morris inquired as to whether the new corporation would have a resident agent in Salisbury, and whether there would be any objection to writing that requirement into the Ordinance. Mr. Travers and Mr. Thomas Craig, who was also present, stated that they felt that would be agreeable. Mr. Laws advised that he and Mr. Travers would draft suitable language to the effect that a resident agent of the corporation be in Salisbury, to be included in Ordinance No. 838.

5. Ordinance No. 838 AN ORDINANCE of The City of Salisbury granting to General Television, Inc., a Colorado corporation, its successors and assigns, a non-exclusive franchise to erect, maintain and operate transmission and distribution facilities and additions thereto, in, under, over, along, across, and upon the streets, lanes, avenues, alleys, bridges, highways, and other public places in the City of Salisbury, Maryland, and subsequent additions thereto, for the purpose of transmission by cable and distribution of television impulses and television energy for sale to the inhabitants of said City, and other purposes; and repealing Ordinances Nos. 801 and 802, was introduced and passed for first reading on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Martin.

6. Mr. Charles Potts, Attorney, and Mr. William Booth appeared before the Council in the matter of development of Clairmont Village. Mr. Potts related a brief history of Clairmont Village and delineated on a map the park area originally dedicated to property owners of Clairmont Village. He stated the original use for this land has changed since the Salisbury Boulevard has developed, and that an agreement has been reached with the property owners,

which is contained in the deed he requested to be executed by the City. He outlined the proposal, the same as presented to the Council about a year ago, for the closing of the easternmost end of Clairmont Village past Lorecrop Drive and the closing of the easternmost end of Clairmont Drive; upon which closing the abutting property owners would get title to one-half of the properties on the East Side and the City will get the other one-half of street bed and conveyance of the park; also the Booth Development Co. would erect a solid wall 6 ft- 8 in. above ground on the East side of the line as a buffer zone; and in return the Booth Development Co. would utilize 95 ft. east of Lorecrop Drive back to the Bypass as a commercial use. He stated that the City Engineer had requested that right of way be deeded to the City for the widening of Pinehurst Avenue, which is agreeable to the Booth Development Company. In this connection, Mr. Cooper stated that if sewer lines are in the streets the City would require easement in order to service the sewer.

Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, advised that the uniform practice is to close streets and alleys by ordinance and he believed the procedure migh include the reservation for easement in the same ordinance. He stated that in regard to Zoning, the Council has no function insofar as zoning is concerned, that the Council recommends a change in zoning to the Planning Commission, but could take no action. After discussion the Council resolved that the matter be tabled until they had an opportunity to further study the proposal, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook.

Prior to adjournment of the meeting, there was further discussion of the Clairmont Village matter. Mayor Morris stated that further development of East Street from the River to College Avenue was under consideration and should be considered along with the Clairmont Proposal, in relation to closing portions of streets, that the entire strip of land should be considered at one time. Councilman Fullbrook stated that he felt that the Booth Development Co. should pay for some of the land which would be acquired in the closing of the streets by the City. Mr. Cooper, City Engineer, suggested that the City agree to the proposal in principle, but that the City be compensated for the two areas of frontage. It was pointed out that the City's present law relating to sale of real property is so restrictive as to prohibit sale of the frontage to the Booth Development Company, and that a change of the law is being considered. Mayor Morris requested that a report be prepared on the assessable base of all property from College Avenut to the River, and the matter be brought up at a later meeting for discussion and action.

7. Mr. Perkins reported that a count had been taken every two hours from the traffic counter placed at the intersection of Spring and Lincoln Avenues, and the results during a 24-hour period were 1,240 cars on Lincoln Avenue and 1,888 on Spring Avenue. He stated that after analyzing the traffic counts it was recommended to leave the blinker light at the intersection, as on the basis of the count a change is not warranted. Chief Chatham stated that this is a small amount of traffic, and that generally traffic to warrant a traffic signal is about 8,000 cars per day. The Council agreed to accept the recommendations of the Executive Secretary that the blinker light be left at the intersection of Spring and Lincoln Avenues.

8. Mr. Perkins reported the results of the traffic count at the intersection of W. Isabella and Mill Street; on W. Isabella Street there were 6,628 cars, and on Mill Street 1,536 cars in a 24-hour period. He stated that it was the recommendation of the staff making the study to leave the traffic light at this intersection. Councilman Fullbrook introduced a motion to

leave the traffic light at this intersection, which was duly seconded by Councilman Rodgers. Councilmen Martin and Grier voted in the negative; the President of the Council cast a negative vote in the case of a tie, and the motion to leave the traffic signal at Mill and Isabella Streets was defeated. Mayor Morris then requested that the existing light at this intersection be made a blinker light, as suggested by Chief Chatham.

9. Councilman Martin introduced a motion that the existing light at Mill and Isabella Streets be converted to a blinker light for a trial period, which was duly seconded by Councilman Grier. Councilmen Fullbrook and Rodgers voted in the negative. The President of the Council cast an affirmative vote to break the tie and the motion to convert the existing light at this intersection for a trial period carried.

10. Resolution No. 12 A RESOLUTION of the Council of The City of Salisbury proposing amendment of the Charter of The City of Salisbury by repealing Section 117 (e) thereof (being Section 399 (5) of the Wicomico County Code, 1959) relating to assessment of costs of public ways projects, and by amending and supplementing Article XIII thereof, containing Sections 423, 424, and 425 of said Code) relating to special assessment procedures, so as to define public improvements which may be made the subject of special assessments, to provide for the classification thereof by the Council and the assessment procedures to be followed thereafter, and so as to increase from thirty cents to sixty cents per one hundred dollars of assessed valuation the maximum rate of special assessment taxes which may be levied in any special assessment district, was presented by Mr. Laws, the City Solicitor. He explained that Resolution No. 12 was introduced on June 26 and at that time he pointed out several conflicting procedures and suggested that he be permitted to study it further and try to have it harmonize with Charter procedures; and in view of that suggested that the presentation of the Resolution at this meeting be treated as a first reading. Mr. Laws reviewed the Resolution and compared itwith present Charter provisions and explained the amendments.

Mayor Morris suggested that a Section No. 4 be added to meet future conditions. He stated that he would like a study to determine how broad it could be made, complete for exemptions, without throwing anything on the validity of the tax. Mr. Laws advised that he would study the exemption possibilities before the next regular meeting.

11. The Council requested that the Building Inspector be advised to have the Booth property in Clairmont Village cleared of weeds and growth.

12. The meeting was adjourned at 10:40 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Martin.

Aug 27, 1962
Date

P. M. Laws
President of The Council

Josephine M. Trombleofield
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND AUGUST 20, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in special session at the City Hall at 8:00 P.M., Monday, August 20, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Richard M. Laws, Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, W. Paul Martin, David F. Rodgers, and David A. Grier. Also present was Mayor Francis H. Morris.

1. Mayor Morris reported in the matter of safety for the students walking to the new Junior High School on College Avenue to be opened in September. He stated that he had arranged to have a patrolman at South Division Street and College Avenue and at College Avenue and Route 13, and that he understood that there would be school bus transportation within the City limits.

In this connection Mr. Cooper, City Engineer, stated that the situation of sidewalks in the vicinity of the new Junior High School is as good as in the vicinity of any school in the City. He stated that about two years ago a program was worked up on sidewalks in the vicinity of Pinehurst School, but because of the sizeable amount of money involved the City has been active on a program of new construction and in places of critical need. He stated that he felt that the County should continue with the sidewalk in front of the new school. He presented a plan of existing sidewalks and the areas without sidewalk detailed in red, together with a list of property owners showing the work to be done and the total cost amounting to $38,091.46, of which the City's share would be $7,415.70, curb, gutter and sidewalk. He stated that of the $38,000, if notices were sent out to the property owners putting them on notice to do the work, that the City would have to finance about three-fourths of the amount, and it would take three to four months to do the work. He stated that he felt that sidewalk on one side of the street would be adequate. Mayor Morris stated that on Spring Avenue and on College Avenue there should be one continuous sidewalk. After further discussion, Mr. Cooper stated that the program would be undertaken to do sidewalk on one continuous side of College Avenue from South Division Street to the City line and on one continuous side of Spring Avenue by negotiating where possible, and in the cases that could not be negotiated notices would be issued to the property owners to do the work.

2. Mr. Cooper presented a plan for proposed development of East Street to tie in with the down-town plan of the relocated bridge and on through to College Avenue. Councilman Fullbrook stated that from his observation of the plan he would be opposed to it, that if a highway is being made to relieve traffic it should be on the other side of the railroad. Mayor Morris stated that this plan was presented to the Council for study and that there would be other plans presented

3. There was discussion on the Clairmont Village proposal of the Booth Development Co., and the Council concurred in accepting the proposal, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook, with six provisions as follows:

1. To accept the 12-ft. right-of-way along Pinehurst Avenue.
2. To deed all streets between the proposed wall and Salisbury Blvd.
3. To deny exit to the Salisbury Blvd. other than by Pinehurst Avenue.
4. To accept the proposed park area from the center of South Clairmont Drive to center of North Clairmont Drive and the remainder of the streets that the property owners do not accept.

5. Do not accept the 10-ft. buffer zone from South Clairmont Drive South and the 10-ft. buffer zone running from North Clairmont Drive North.
6. That Mr. Booth pay the entire cost of curb, gutter and sidewalk on Lorecrop Drive.

4. In this connection Mayor Morris inquired as to whether there was anything more in the area needed for service. Mr. Cooper replied that there was not.

5. Councilman Martin stated that Mr. Lawrence Hancock was interested in renting the old City Yard property on Route 50 for the operation of his Ford Tractor Agency, and that he felt that it was a good means of the City realizing some revenue from the property. Mayor Morris explained that prior to his being apprised of Mr. Hancock's desire to lease the property, in an effort to clean up the area he had ordered that the fence be removed and the building be demolished, and also that he had contacted Mr. Chandler and asked if there was any objection to placing a billboard indicating that the entire property was available, in order to create some interest in the property and get it on tax base. He stated that in view of this he had advised that he would rather not tie up the property with a lease. Councilman Martin stated that he felt sure Mr. Hancock would not object to a billboard being placed on the property offering it for sale, and that a provision could be included in the lease that if the property is sold he would vacate the premises within a specified time. Mayor Morris stated that before a rental price is set that the property should be appraised.

Mr. Perkins advised that the property had been appraised by Mr. Alf. Truitt at fifty cents per front foot, however, in arriving at a rental price he would use the figure of one dollar per front foot, which would amount to $350.00 per month, and which amount Mr. Hancock felt was a little high. He also stated that Mr. Hancock had offered to clean up the area. After further discussion the Council agreed to leasing the fenced in area of the old City Yard property to Mr. Hancock for an indefinite period, with the provision that if the land is sold he will vacate the premises within 90 days after notice, at a rental of $350.00 per month on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook.

6. Mayor Morris recommended that the proposal of the State Roads Commission to lease the entire building at the Lake Street Yard for office space, at a rental price of $250.00 per month, be accepted. The Council concurred in accepting the proposal of the State Roads Commission for rental of office space, as recommended by Mayor Morris, on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

7. Mayor Morris suggested that the Council recognize the accomplishments of Wilson S. Taylor, Chief of the Fire Department. He stated that he was favorably impressed with the good job being done by Chief Taylor in establishing systematic method of training and discipline. Councilman Martin invited the Council to attend a dinner meeting of the County Fire Association to be held the third Tuesday in September at Hebron and at that time publicly acknowledge Chief Taylor's accomplishments.

8. Mayor Morris explained recent article appearing in local newspaper concerning plan of one-way streets. He stated that the plan came about as a result of a survey made by Chief Chatham, Mr. Cooper and Mr. Perkins, which was: to make Hazel Avenue one-way West; Ohio Avenue one-way East; and Maryland Avenue one-way West. He stated that it was his feeling that recommendations such as this should be put into effect, and asked the Council if it was their feeling that respective department heads, the Executive Secretary and the Mayor should handle such things rather than take the time of the Council for action. He stated that the streets would be properly marked and if people don't obey then the next step would be to educate through news articles, and if it cannot be done by normal means it might be necessary to put a policeman in the area.

9. Councilman Rodgers requested that the taxicab ordinance be introduced at the next meeting of the Council.

10. The meeting was adjourned at 10:05 on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

Aug. 27, 1962
Date

President of The Council

Josephine M. Frankenfield
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND AUGUST 27, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in regular session at the City Hall at 8:00 P.M., Monday, August 27, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Richard M. Laws, Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, W. Paul Martin, David F. Rodgers, and David A. Grier. Also present was Mayor Francis H. Morris.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The reading of the minutes of the meeting of August 20, 1962 was dispensed with on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

3. Mr. Fred Myers of the Humane Society of the United States appeared before the Council for the purpose of discussing a report and recommendations relating to survey made in Wicomico and Worcester Counties on regulation and care of animals and an animal shelter. Mr. Myers stated that it would be sound governmental action for the City of Salisbury, Wicomico County and other municipalities to join together with Worcester County in establishing the program suggested in the report. He stated that the figures submitted are based on the assumption that the City, Wicomico and Worcester Counties would cooperate financially in establishing the program; and that he believed the suggested program would cost the City very little if any more than the program now in operation. He stated that Somerset County had not been included in the program because the cost to Somerset County would be considerably higher per capita than would be Wicomico and Worcester Counties, and that it was felt that Wicomico and Worcester Counties were the proper units. He stated that he was meeting with the Commissioners of Wicomico and Worcester Counties on Tuesday, August 28, and if the program is acceptable to each body he would present a precise written contract at the next meeting of the Council to be executed by each interested governmental body, each body to sign contingent upon the signing by others.

Mayor Morris stated that the City performs no licensing function and that the County does not perform dog control services within the City limits, and that the City taxpayers are dually taxed for a single service. Mr. Myers stated that dog licenses law should be considerably increased and could be made to pay the cost of the program. He inquired as to what the Council considered the most equitable method of taxing, on the basis of assessed valuation of property or on a population basis. The Council expressed the opinion that the most equitable way would be on population basis. Mr. Myers asked to be assured that the Council is interested in the program. The Council advised that if the program can be worked out on an equitable basis the City would be in favor of participating.

4. Mr. Charles Potts, Attorney, and Mr. William Booth appeared before the Council in the matter of Clairmont Village development. Mr. Potts stated that the proposal as set forth in the City's letter of August 22 was acceptable with the exception of the following:

Provision No. (1) to deny exit to Salisbury Blvd. other than by Pinehurst Avenue. Objection to this primarily on the basis that to deny exit is denial of access to public domain.

Provision No. (2) to retain 10-ft. buffer zone along proposed wall from South Clairmont Drive to North Clairmont Drive North and maintain same.
This proposal would be acceptable if the 10-ft. buffer zone were conveyed to the adjoining property owners.

Mayor Morris stated that he had been advised by the City Solicitor that Provision No. (1) as set forth in the City's letter to Mr. Booth dated August 22 was in error, as the City can regulate only the flow of traffic. After further discussion it was agreed that the proposal with the two exceptions named above would be acceptable. Mr. Potts stated that Mr. Booth would like a letter from the City stating the action taken at this meeting. Mayor Morris advised that a letter would be written clearing the entire matter and also stating that it was the intent to zone the area commercial for the highest degree of utilization of the land.

5. Ordinance No. 838 AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY granting to General Television, Inc., a Colorado Corporation, its successors and assigns, a non-exclusive franchise to erect, maintain and operate transmission and distribution facilities and additions thereto in, under, over, along, across and upon the streets, lanes, avenues, alleys, bridges, highways and other public places in the City of Salisbury, Maryland, and subsequent additions thereto, for the purpose of transmission by cable and distribution of television impulses and television energy for sale to the inhabitants of said City, and other purposes; and repealing Ordinances Nos. 801 and 802, was adopted on second and final reading on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Martin.

6. Mayor Morris stated that the adoption of Resolution No. 12 relating to Special Assessments would be deferred until the next meeting of the Council, pending receipt of recommendation of the Bond Counsel.

7. Ordinance No. 839 relating to taxicabs and taxicab drivers was presented for discussion. Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, explained that the intent of the ordinance was to ask Wicomico County to adopt an identical ordinance, however, the ordinance is so written that it will be self sufficient in the event the County does not adopt such an ordinance. The ordinance was reviewed and explained and the following revisions were suggested:

All inspections to be made at the City's Garage - no repairs to be made at the City garage.
That there be a semi-annual inspection with a fee of $5.00 per inspection.
A map of Salisbury to be in every taxicab.
Section 11-47 on Page 6 be deleted.

8. The City Solicitor advised that he and the Treasurer had tried to collect the back water rent in the amount of $365.00 due from Bailey and Truitt, who went into bankruptcy about a year and a half ago. He stated that the property was outside the City limits and that ordinarily the City's authority stops at the City line, however, he had finally gotten the matter before the Circuit Court and the Court's decision was that the City does have a lien, under the City's Charter Provision, for City water outside the City limits.

9. The President of the Council announced that immediately following adjournment the Council would convene in executive session.

10. The meeting was adjourned at 9:45 P.M.

Sept. 10, 1962
Date

President of The Council

Josephine M. Trawbridge
Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND SEPTEMBER 10, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in regular session at the City Hall at 8:00 P.M., Monday, September 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Richard M. Laws, Councilmen Harry O. Fullbrook, W. Paul Martin, David F. Rodgers, and David A. Grier. Also present was Mayor Francis H. Morris.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The minutes of the meeting of August 27, 1962 were read and approved on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

3. Mayor Morris reported that request had been made by the C. & P. Telephone Co. to place a buried cable one foot inside of right of way line on the south side of the Airport property, which is leased to the Symington-Wayne Corp., to provide telephone facilities there. He stated that the County Commissioners have consented to allow the installation subject to Council approval. The Council concurred in approval of placing the cable at the Airport property, as requested, on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

4. Mayor Morris presented right of way agreement to permit Eastern Shore Public Service Co. place four poles on Northlake Park Property, jointly owned with the County. The Council authorized that the agreement be executed by Mayor Morris on behalf of the City on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

5. Mayor Morris reported that Mr. Joseph T. Hearn had been appointed to fill the vacancy of Assistant Building Inspector, effective this date, Classification 10-A, Salary $4,264.00, Account No. 22-101 Gen.

6. It was reported that bids were received on August 30, 1962 on Contract A-110-62, Mobile Radios and Base Station for the Police and Fire Departments, as follows:

Motorola Communications - $4,100.00, General Electric Co., $4,505.10, R.C.A. - $5,291.47.

The Council authorized that award be made to Motorola Communications and Electronics, Inc., to the low bidder, in the amount of $4,100.00, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Grier. (Accounts Nos. 20-400 and 21-400 Gen.)

7. It was reported that quotations on a steam cleaning machine were received as follows:

Taylor Auto Supply Co., No. 1550 Jenny - $928.40
Wharton and Barnard Co., 150 OEP Malsbary $937.00
Salisbury Automotive, Inc., 150 OEP Clayton - $1,175.40

On recommendation of the Department of Public Works, on the basis of an emergency, Council authorized purchase of the 150 OEP Clayton Steam Cleaning Machine, in the amount of $1,175.40, on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

8. Mr. Laws, City Solicitor reported that he had discussed with Mr. Buckler, Bonding Attorney, the matter of the proposed authority to exempt other classes of property from parking tax, in connection with Resolution No. 12 relating to special assessments. He stated that it was Mr. Buckler's general thought that the City has the power to adopt this authority, however, as

a practical matter, he did not think it very effective and recommended that in order to have the ordinance free from ambiguity that such general power not be reserved. Mr. Laws advised that if the Council felt that this provision was desired in Resolution No. 12 he would work jointly with Mr. Buckler to have it ready for next meeting and to work into the Charter, otherwise Resolution No. 12, as introduced on June 25, 1962 was now ready for second and final reading. The Council concurred that Resolution No. 12 be presented for second and final reading without the provision for exemption authority.

9. Resolution No. 12 A RESOLUTION of the Council of The City of Salisbury proposing amendment of the Charter of The City of Salisbury by repealing Section 117 (e) thereof (being Section 399 (5) of the Wicomico County Code, 1959), relating to assessment of costs of public ways projects, and by amending and supplementing Article XIII thereof, containing Sections 423, 424 and 425 of said Code), relating to special assessment procedures, so as to define public improvements which may be made the subject of special assessments, to provide for the classification thereof by the Council and the assessment procedures to be followed thereafter, and so as to increase from thirty cents to sixty cents per one hundred dollars of assessed valuation the maximum rate of special assessment taxes which may be levied in any special assessment district, was adopted on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

10. Ordinance No. 839 AN ORDINANCE of the Council of The City of Salisbury adding a new Article VII entitled "Taxicabs and Taxicab Drivers - Licensing and Regulation" to Chapter 11, "Motor Vehicles and Traffic," of the Salisbury Code 1959 for the purposes of regulating and licensing taxicabs and taxicab drivers within the City of Salisbury and providing penalties for violation thereof, was introduced and duly passed on first reading on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Martin.

In connection with the above Ordinance No. 839, Chief Chatham asked that sub-paragraph (e) Section 11-47 (page -7) be clarified as to the number of passengers to be carried in a taxicab.

11. Ordinance No. 840 AN ORDINANCE for the closing of a portion of South Clairmont Drive, a portion of North Clairmont Drive and all of Clairmont Circle, being public streets in the City of Salisbury, the portions of said North Clairmont Drive and South Clairmont Drive so closed being all thereof lying Easterly of the East Side of Lorecrop Drive; and providing for the conveyance to abutting property owners of all right, title and interest of the City of Salisbury in and to certain portio of the beds of said closed sections of said streets; and providing for the conveyance to the City of Salisbury by Booth Development Company, Inc., of a strip of land for street purposes for the widening of Pinehurst Avenue and a parcel of land for park purposes lying East of said Lorecrop Drive was introduced and duly passed for first reading on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

Mr. Charles Potts, Attorney, was present in connection with the introduction of Ordinance No. 840, and stated he would like to request an exception in Section 1 of Ordinance No. 840. He stated that at the present time the Booth Development Co., Inc. have an option with the trustee, Mr. Wm. Trave on the basis of an agreement reached with Mrs. Dryden on the Parker Property and that they are ready to take title to the property, however, there may be some delay in the Circuit Court of Worcester County in obtaining deed to the property and that he would like to have a contingency placed in Section 1 of this Ordinance, in order that there be no delay pending time the deed to the Parker Property is recorded. After discussion it was agreed that the language in Section 1. be revised accordingly.

12. Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, reviewed the lease between the City of Salisbury and Mr. Lawrence Hancock covering old City Yard property on the North side of Route 50, for the sum of $200.00 per month for period of September 1, 1962 to August 31, 1963. After discussion the Council authorized that the lease be executed by Mayor Morris, on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

13. The City Solicitor presented lease for rental of office space at the City Yard on Lake Street by the State Roads Commission for the sum of $250.00 per month. After discussion the Council authorized that the lease be executed by Mayor Morris, with the modification relating to venetian blinds and water cooler - to read "as already on premises," on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

14. Mr. Laws, City Solicitor, reported in the matter of the revision of the City Code, which was printed and the first revision made by the Michie City Publishing Co., Charlottesville, Virginia. He stated that there are three local printers interested in submitting price per page for printing the revisions, which are for a period of approximately two years, and that if it meets with Council approval he would like to get the revisions to the Code and Charter in condition so that the new Purchasing Agent can invite informal bids on the printing.

15. Mr. Jack Webb, Attorney, appeared before the Council in the matter of Church Street. The City Solicitor explained that a situation had arisen in the course of examination of title for Salisbury National Bank disclosing that St. Peter's Church may have perfect legal title to the Bank's side of St. Peter's Street. He stated that when the City's Commission assessed benefits and damages on the Church Street Relocation it was not known that St. Peter's title extended to this property, and that the City had given the Salisbury National Bank a quit claim deed to the property and that the Bank has paid the net benefit assessment which the City assessed. He stated that the Church had appointed Mr. William Adkins of Easton to meet with Mr. Webb and himself to try to reach a solution in the best interest of everyone. He stated that it is recommended that in return for payment by the City of $1,000 to St. Peter's Vestry the Vestry will give to the Bank and relinquish any right title and interest in the West side of St. Peter's Street and South of New Church Street; that the City will then be in a position to transfer title to abutting property to the Bank.

Mr. Webb stated that the Bank will make a contribution of $250.00 to the Vestry of St. Peter's Church to be used to make payment for legal counsel, and that the above solution was desirable.

Mr. Laws advised that he had discussed the matter with Mayor Morris and has his authority to make this recommendation, which he felt was somewhat mandatory as it involved an innocent party and that it was unfair and unjust to leave the Bank in that position.

After discussion the Council concurred in accepting the recommendation of the City Solicitor and Mayor Morris to pay $1,000 to St. Peter's Vestry, on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Martin.

16. Mr. Gilman, Planning Director, reported that he had been advised by the Urban Renewal Agency that letter of consent on the acquisition of the Cavanaugh property is forthcoming. He explained that the appraisals have been reviewed by the Urban Renewal Agency, and that an on the spot inspection is to be made by Urban Renewal Appraiser, who is expected to be in Salisbury tomorrow, and that he hopes to be able to meet with Cavanaughs by the end of this week and

consummate negotiations.

Mayor Morris stated that he had issued instructions to go out to bid on the demolition of the area so that if the Cavanaugh property is acquired everything will be in order to proceed withthe project.

17. Mr. Gilman stated that under the law for Urban Renewal that a public hearing must be held before property can be acquired and that he had reserved a meeting room at the Civic Center for the purpose of holding a public hearing on the Central Business District on September 24, 1962 at 8:00 P.M.

18. Mr. Gilman presented a plat on a one lot subdivision on Whittier Drive from Handy St. to Union Ave. for Council review. After much discussion the Council requested that a final plat of the entire subdivision be submitted for review before consideration of recommendation of approval of the one lot subdivision.

19. Mr. Gilman presented a map of the proposed Northwood Area and delineated areas shown in color designating deed restrictions accompanying various lots when original subdivision was laid out. Mayor Morris stated that the area to be industrial when annexed to the City would cost approximately $200,000 to extend water and sewer to serve the area, the boundary being Northwood Drive along the Railroad. The City Solicitor reviewed the steps in annexation which would be necessary to bring this industrial area in to the City.

20. Mr. Cooper, City Engineer presented for discussion the matter of storm drainage in the Princeton Home Area, he stated that it is a major flood area in severe storms, and that the people had been promised on several occasions some relief. He stated that the drainage from the Princeton area ties into Pinehurst down Monticello Avenue and that major storm drains have been built in three contracts. He stated that the cost of extension of 30 and 36 in lines from Upshur, Clemwood and Cooper Streets to S. Division Street would drain 1800 lineal feet and cost approximately $46,000.

Mayor Morris stated that he had discussed this matter and that the people in the Princeton area have been promised some relief, and that along with this project he would like to bring up a request from Shoreland Freezers to be granted permission to dump their water which they use for wash into the storm sewer system. He explained that at the present time they are dumping the water into lagoons extending back to the railroad on leased property, and keep a tractor and a man opening and closing lagoons. He stated that the water is relatively clean, as it has been approved by the Health Department to go into the River. He stated that to tie into the 30 in main down South Division Street it would cost approximately $3,000 and that the County would help on the payment of the 18" line if it is run in. He stated that if the Princeton Area is to be done it would be most ligical to tie in this project to assist an industry already here and help maintain industry, and if it is going to be done he would like to be able to give an answer as soon as possible. After further discussion the Council concurred in tabling the matter for later dicision on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

21. Councilman Martin reported that he had received calls regarding the Nazarene Church sign located on Salisbury Blvd., and asked what is proposed to be done in this area. Mayor Morris explained ;th at complaints had been received about the unsightly condition of the area and that the Kiwanis Club wanted to make a project of planting grass and trees in the area, if the City would clean up the area, and that he had instructed the Department of Public Works to request the people to remove their signs and billboards in the area, and that all signs are coming out of the area.

22. Councilman Martin reported that the trustees of the Church on the corner of Spring Avenue complained because the curb was painted yellow for a distance too far back from the intersection, and they felt they should have been consulted before the curb was painted.

23. Councilman Rodgers reported that the President of the Jaycees would like to know whether the Council would consider putting seat belts in all City cars during their Seat Belt Campaign. After discussion it was decided that seat belts would not be installed in City cars.

24. Mr. Cooper read a letter from Mr. E. G. Otton, District Geologist, dated September 7, 1962 regarding preliminary phase of the Salisbury Water Resources Study, and advising that $5,000 will be available from State and Federal Governments for test well drilling by City and study of iron problem in the City's well field. Mr. Cooper stated that if the Council desires to start this work in October he would need immediate approval to spend $3,500 from this year's budget from imrpovement of well fields. After discussion the Council authorized that the the work begin in October and the expenditure of $3,500 from this year's budget, on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Grier.

25. The meeting was adjourned at 11:45 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Grier and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

10/22/62
Date

President of the Council

Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND SEPTEMBER 17, 1962

The Council of The City of Salisbury met in special session at the City Hall at 7:00 P.M., Monday, September 17, 1962. The following were present: President of the Council Richard M. Laws, Councilman David A. Grier, David F. Rodgers, W. Paul Martin, and Harry O. Fullbrook. Also present was Mayor Francis H. Morris.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating The Lord's Prayer.

2. The reading of the minutes of September 10, 1962 was dispensed with on a motion made by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Grier.

3. Mayor Morris reported that the Manhattan Shirt Company was preparing to build their new plant on Glen Ave. near the Civic Center and has requested that the City supply them with water and sewer service. The Mayor recommended that the City extend water and sewer service to the new plant at Manhattan's expense. The Council authorized the extension of 8" water line and 10" sewer line on a motion by Councilman Rodgers and seconded by Councilman Grier. Mayor also stated that he would like to talk to the executives of the Manhattan Shirt Company in New York to ask them to consider another site because the proposed site near the Civic Center is better suited for residential development.

4. Mayor reported that the new bonds for Parking District No. 1 were printed with Harry Cropper's name as City Solicitor instead of Victor H. Laws and that the bonds would have to be reprinted at no cost to the City. New bonds are to be signed Friday, September 21, 1962. Mayor recommended that the City Clerk, Josephine Troublefield official capacity be extended 10 days to enable her to sign the bonds. Mayor also recommended that the Council appoint W. Hampton Brittingham, Jr., City Treasurer, to serve also as City Clerk pro-temporary pursuant to Sections 107-108 of City Charter. Council adopted the Mayor's recommendations on a motion by Councilman Marti and seconded by Councilman Rodgers.

5. Council interviewed the following candidates for the position of City Clerk-Secretary: Mrs. Fara Tawes, Rt. 1, Salisbury, Mrs. Louise McKenney, 700 S. Park Drive, Mrs. Anna McIntyre, Rt. 2, Mardela, and Mrs. Morris Nichols, 804 East Street. Mrs. Vivian George another application was unable to appear and Council agreed to interview Mrs. George on Monday, September 24, 1962.

6. Mayor Morris read program for Maryland Municipal League Annual Meeting to be held in Hagerstown on October 25-27. He urged all Council members to attend this conference.

7. Executive Secretary, Mr. Perkins reported two bids were received on Monday, September 17 for sale of old Fire Boat "Fred A. Grier" as follows:

Mr. F. E. Horsman - Mardela Springs $ 51.86
Mr. D. H. Vollmer - Bivalve $ 177.77

Council authorized that award be made to Mr. D. H. Vollmer high bidder in the amount of $177.77 on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

Minutes of Special Session of September 17, 1962

8. Mayor and Council and Executive Secretary proceeded to study and discuss proposed Personnel Rules and Regulations and Pay Plan.

9. The meeting was adjourned at 11:15 P.M. on a motion by Councilman Fullbrook and seconded by Councilman Martin.

9/17/62
Date

President of the Council

Clerk

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND SEPTEMBER 24, 1962

The Council of the City of Salisbury met in regular session at the City Hall Monday, September 24, 1962 at 6:30 p.m. The following persons were present: Mayor Francis H. Morris, President of the Council, Richard M. Laws, Councilmen David A. Grier, David F. Rodgers, W. Paul Martin, and Harry O. Fullbrook. Also present were Victor H. Laws, City Solicitor; W. Hampton Brittingham, Treasurer and City Clerk-pro tem; James A. Gilman, Planning Director; William J. Chatham, Chief of Police department; Wilson S. Taylor, Cheif of the Fire department; Philip C. Cooper, Director Public Works Department.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating the "Lord's Prayer."

2. Minutes of Council special session of September 17, 1962 were approved as read upon a motion by Councilman David A. Grier and seconded by Councilman David F. Rodgers.

3. Fire Chief, Wilson S. Taylor, appeared before the Council to discuss the matter of the present City Ambulance. Chief Taylor advised that he had contacted Sauerhoff Motors, Powell Motors, Oliphant Chevrolet Sales and a dealer in Smyrna, Delaware regarding the purchase of a new ambulance. Quoted prices on a 1962 ambulance were as follows:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| George Bryson (Smyrna, Del.) | | $10,500 | (No trade-in on old ambulance) |
| Oliphant Chevrolet Sales | Approx. | 7,000 | (No trade-in on old ambulance) |

Richard M. Laws, President of Council, suggested that the City buy a new ambulance and repair the old ambulance as secondary equipment. Council agreed to meet at the City Barn at 8:30 on October 25, 1962 to inspect the old Cadillac Ambulance.

4. Mrs. Vivian George appeared before the Council for a private interview with the Council members for the position of City Clerk-Secretary.

5. Mayor Morris asked the Council if they would endorse a United Fund Campaign payroll deduction from City employees. Mayor Morris stated that in his opinion this would be a very good idea as the United Fund Campaign was a worthwhile project in which everyone should participate. Councilman David F. Rodgers moved that the payroll deduction be approved and Councilman David A. Grier seconded the motion.

6. Mayor Morris reported that invitations to bid had been extended to several outdoor advertising companies for a sign advertising City-owned land which is for sale in the "Cranberry Bog" area. Bids were received from Dickinson Outdoor Advertising Company, General Outdoor Advertising Company and Sheridan Advertising Company.

Mr. Victor H. Laws read a proposal from General Outdoor Advertising Company stating that they would erect a 13 X 30 foot two-faced billboard at the site designated by the City advertising the sale of this land on one side of the billboard and in turn, General Outdoor Advertising would have the use of the opposite side of this billboard for commercial advertising. The price submitted by General was $893.00 for a five year period or $178.60 per year.

Councilman Grier and Councilman Rodgers voiced objections to the placing of a sign in this area. They feel that if the city permits one company to place a sign in this area

they would be obliged to permit other companies to place signs in this area. Councilman W. Paul Martin stated that in his opinion a good looking sign would be more attractive than the weeds which are now cluttering up the bog.

Councilman Harry O. Fullbrook would like to see the sign before making a decision.

Councilman Grier and Councilman Rodgers objected to the sign stating that we have too many billboards in the city and do not think we need one in this area.

Mayor Morris advised that his only concern was in getting the property on the market for sale and he felt that this would be a profitable means of advertising the land.

Councilman David Grier felt that $893.00 was too much money to pay for this sign.

Councilman W. Paul Martin reiterated his original opinion that a good looking sign would be an asset to this vicinity and motioned that the sign be put up.

Councilman Harry O. Fullbrook motioned that Council table the matter until a further date and this was seconded by Councilmen Grier and Rodgers.

7. Council referred to a letter from Edward T. Tyndall, 224 Hazel Ave. complaining of a high water bill and requesting an adjustment in the billing. City Treasurer, W. Hampton Brittingham advised that he had someone from the Water Department and also Mr. Nelson C. Messick, Assistant City Engineer, check this water meter and they could find nothing wrong with it. Councilman W. Paul Martin suggested that a letter be sent advising Mr. Tyndall to appear before the Council. It was jointly agreed by the Council that a letter be sent to Mr. Tyndall explaining Council policy in water billing.

8. Ordinance #840 - Clairmont Village:
Introduced and read the first time at the last meeting of the Council, at which time two changes were approved; one to provide that the street closing should become effective covering the property to Booth Street, and paragraph No. 7 would provide that this Ordinance should become effective immediately with the exception of Section No. 1.

This Ordinance is now ready for adoption for second reading. Motion by W. Paul Martin, seconded by David Rodgers.

9. Almor Corp. - Airport Lease
Lease involves same deal as Wayne - put up hanger and pay in ten (10) years plus 1¢ per gallon rental. Motion to approve lease was made by Councilman W. Paul Martin and seconded by Councilman David Grier.

10. Subdivision - Long & Alvin Avenues
Mr. James A. Gilman, Planning Director explained that this subdivision would result in four (4) lots being developed into two (2) large lots on which an existing dwelling now stands. Mr. Gilman recommended that Council approve this subdivision. Motion was made for approval by Mr. Grier and was seconded by Mr. Rodgers.

11. Subdivision - Riverside Drive - Henry Roberts

Mr. James A. Gilman, Planning Director explained that this subdivision would involve straightening out of an irregular shaped lot which will contain the same area with access to Camden Court in order to accommodate a dwelling on the back property. Mr. Gilman recommended approval of this subdivision which was motioned by Mr. Grier and seconded by Mr. Rodgers.

12. Miscellaneous:

Several Taxi Cab owners appeared before the Council in regard to the proposed Taxi Cab Ordinance. It was agreed that an informal meeting would be arranged with Mr. Victor H. Laws, Chief Chatham, Dave Rodgers and representatives of the Taxi Cab Companies to go over this ordinance.

13. Letter from Roger K. Steffens referring to right-of-way on both the north and south sides of Granby Street was tabled until future meeting.

14. Treasurer, Hampton Brittingham requested authority to turn over a check from the Workmen's Compensation Board to Arthur Lutz which was compensation for permanent partial disability which resulted from an accident on September 22, 1961.

Council recommended that this check be turned over to Mr. Lutz.

15. The meeting was adjourned at 7:45 p.m. upon motion by Councilman David Grier and seconded by Councilman David Rodgers.

---

PARTIAL MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING HELD AT THE CIVIC CENTER, MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 24th AT 8:00 p.m.

Those present: Mayor Francis H. Morris, all members of the Council, Mr. Victor H. Laws City Solicitor, Mr. James C. Gilman, Urban Renewal Director and Mr. Alan Siff, Site Representative of Harland Bartholomew and Associates, Planning Consultants.

1. At the request of Booth Development Co., a public hearing was called at 8:00 p.m. on a proposed re-zoning on South Salisbury Boulevard. Mr. Laws, Council President, asked Mr. Gilman to present the proposal. After reading the notice of public hearing, Mr. Gilman explained that over two years ago the Booth Development Co., had presented to the Planning Commission a proposal to extend the Light Business District on properties that they owned or held options adjacent to Clairmont Village on South Salisbury Boulevard. The zoning line would be extended from its present location to a line parallel to and ninety (90) feet from Lorecrop Drive.

The Council was advised that the Planning Commission had held a public hearing and recommended the change to the Mayor and Council. The Council already had under consideration the closing of certain streets to permit the consolidation of the property in conjunction with the re-zoning. Mr. Booth advised the Council that a release had been acquired from all the property owners in Clairmont Village which would restrict the use of the property for business purposes. Also as a condition of the release they were to build a masonry

PARTIAL MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING HELD AT THE CIVIC CENTER, MONDAY SEPTEMBER 24th AT 8:00 p.m.

wall along the zoning line to screen the adjacent residential area. No one appeared to protest the re-zoning and Mr. Laws advised that the Council would take the request under consideration.

2. At 8:15 p.m., Council President, Mr. Laws, called the Public Hearing on Urban Renewal Project Md. R. -17, to order and introduced Mr. Gilman and Mr. Siff. He then asked Mr. Gilman to present Urban Renewal Project No. 1..

After reading the notice of Public Hearing the following major items were covered in the presentation:

a. The conformity of the Urban Renewal Plan to the Central Business District Plan and the recommendations of the Planning Commission. (Copy attached.)

b. Review of the proposed layout of the project after redevelopment into two major parking lots, a park strip along the Wicomico River and two small parcels to be resold for private re-use.

c. The arrangement of the parking lots for the use of ticket dispensing machines and attendants which was felt to be a superior system over meters.

d. The relocation of Market Street to tie into Circle Avenue and the relocation of Circle Avenue with a new bridge crossing the Wicomico River.

Mr. Gilman explained that the project consisted of acquiring all the property with the project area, the demolition of the buildings and the closing of certain streets, the relocation of site occupants, and the ultimate redevelopment of the project into parking facilities, new streets and bridge and with limited private reuse.

The breakdown of general cost was presented and it was pointed out that the project would be carried out with a federal loan which would be converted in part to grants during the process of the project and that the city would at the close of the project, retain a major part of the lands for parking and install certain bridge, and street improvements as their share of the costs. The value of the retained land, the cost of developing the parking lot and the cost of the City's share in project improvements would be financed by a revenue bond issue near the completion of the project. The Bond Issue was estimated to be approximately $687,000. The breakdown of project costs presented was as follows:

CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT PROJECT NO. 1 COST ESTIMATE

| | |
|---|---|
| Land Acquisition | $1,025,750 |
| Survey & Planning | 31,916 |
| Administration, Demolition, etc. | 164,330 |
| Project Improvements | 158,308 |
| Gross Project Cost | $1,379,304 |

PARTIAL MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING HELD AT THE CIVIC CENTER, MONDAY SEPTEMBER 24th AT 8:00 p.m.

CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT PROJECT NO. 1 COST ESTIMATE CONTINUED:

| | |
|---|---|
| Gross Project Cost | $1,025,750 |
| Proceeds from Land Resale | -- 418,000 |
| NET Project Cost | $ 961,304 |
| | |
| Federal Grant 75% | 803,384 |
| Local Share 25% | 240,326 |
| (1) Surplus Credits carried forward from Northside Project | 82,406 |
| (2) Project Improvements to be installed with development of parking lot. | 157,920 |

Mr. Siff was asked to explain the basis of project eligibility. He explained that the project in order to be eligible must be found to be either a slum or blighted area, that as part of their services they had had a structural engineer to inspect and examine each property and the improvements to determine the degree of blight and deterioration; that the result of this inspection had been turned over to the local office for their records. Based upon these inspections 72.5% of the buildings were found to be blighted which clearly established the project eligibility.

Further, Mr. Siff explained that they had made a study of the area and found a decline of productivity based on examination of the tax records and the tax structure. In effect, they found that the tax revenues from this area did not compare favorable with the overall tax structure of the Central Business District, and that in his opinion the area could be classified as an area of declining productivity.

3. Following this the public was invited to ask questions and make comments:

In the main, the questions concerned relocation of businesses, financing, and the schedule for property acquisition and construction. They were advised that, provision is made for moving costs and assistance in finding suitable home and business sites. No allowance is made by the Federal Government for "good will" payments to those forced to relocate.

Financial arrangements discussed included the issuance of revenue bonds and the possible increased tax rate in Parking District # 1.

Property acquisition is scheduled to begin within six (6) months. It is not possible to set a definite time for the start of construction, however, the project is scheduled to be completed in July of 1965.

Concern was expressed for the immediate need of parking spaces and disappointment with slow pace of the project. Mr. Lee Johnson on behalf of the Retail Merchants Assoc. made a comprehensive statement setting forth urgent reasons for additional prime parking spaces in the Central Business District and their continued support of the Revitalization Plan and the Urban Renewal Project. The meeting was adjourned at 9:15 p.m.

PARTIAL MINUTES OF MEETING HELD AT THE CIVIC CENTER

Following a public hearing beginning at 8:00 p.m. on requested Clairmont area rezoning and public hearing beginning at 8:15 p.m. on proposed Urban Renewal Project No. 1 (Md. R-17) the Council reconvened at the Civic Center at approximately 9:25 p.m. In addition to all Council members and the Mayor, there was present Messrs. W. Hampton Brittingham, Philip C. Cooper, James C. Gilman and Victor H. Laws.

1. The Council discussed the proposed Urban Renewal Project No. 1, especially with reference to the possibilities of redevelopment by private tax-paying businesses mentioned in the Planning Commission's recommendation (copy of Planning Commission resolutions being attached hereto.) The question was asked when would the project reach the point of finality for this decision; and Mr. Gilman and Mr. Laws responded that as a practical matter, the decision would have to be made after the properties had been acquired for the project and before any redevelopment was commenced, since bonds would be sold to finance any public redevelopment, and the bond sale, in effect, would "lock up" the property at least for the term of the bond issue - probably twenty years or more. It was estimated that it might be eighteen months before property acquisition was complete.

2. It was the consensus of the Council that the project be approved and initiated as presently proposed but with the understanding that the question of public or private redevelopment (especially for the area east of Division Street) should be carefully reexamined after property acquisitions were completed and before any bonds were sold or other final action or public redevelopment was taken. Accordingly, on motion by Mr. Fulbrook, seconded by Mr. Martin and unanimously carried, the following preambles and resolutions were adopted: (Here copy two-page resolution) See #1 A attached FLT

3. After further discussion of Urban Renewal Project No. 1 and on motion by Mr. Rodgers, seconded by Mr. Grier and unanimously carried, the following additional preambles and resolution were adopted: (Here copy single-page resolution). See #2 A attached. FLT

4. Mr. Gilman and Mr. Laws then presented a contract for survey and planning services on Urban Renewal Project No. 1 between the City and Harland Bartholomew & Associates explaining that the contract had been approved previously by the council on_____, 1962; and was now being returned by the Urban Renewal Agency with its comments and suggested changes. These were mentioned to the Council, and Mr. Laws and Mr. Gilman said that in their opinion the changes were very minor and inconsequential changes in language. On motion by Mr. Fulbrook, seconded by Mr. Martin and unanimously carried, the Mayor is authorized to execute said Contract as changed by the Urban Renewal Agency, and Mr. Gilman and Mr. Laws were authorized and directed to incorporate the changes therein.

5. Mr. Gilman then presented a contract with F. W. Lafrentz & Co. for accounting services to cost $_____on Urban Renewal Project No. 3 (Md. R-19) and explained that same had been approved without change by the Urban Renewal Agency. After discussion and on motion made by Mr. Grier, seconded by Mr. Martin and unimously carried, said contract was approved and authorized to be executed and delivered.

6. The meeting discussed and took the following action on five miscellaneous items in the agenda:

1. South Boulevard Project - letter of Mr. Steffens. It was decided that no action

Partial Minutes of Meeting Held At The Civic Center

would be taken on this project until the full public works budget for 1963 was presented and the whole public works picture for 1963 could be discussed. The Clerk pro tem was instructed to notify Mr. Steffens by letter to this effect.

2. Council approved delivery of check for $1,150.00to Mr. Authur Lutz for loss of joints of several fingers.

3. A letter from Mr. Richard W. Cooper and other property owners in the Pinehurst area expressing appreciation to Mr. Philip C. Cooper and the public works department for mosquito control project was read and ordered filed.

4. Request of Wm. B. Tilghman Company to place sign on top of City building at southwest corner of Cypress Street was refused because Mr. Cooper reported that the building is about to be torn down.

5. On motion by Mr. Martin, seconded by Mr. Grier and unanimously approved, Messrs. Newton Jackson, Jr., and Elmer Ruark were approved for participation in the City's insurance program.

The meeting adjourned at 10:20 p.m. on a motion by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook.

9/24/62
Date

PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL

CLERK

#1-A

RESOLUTIONS OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY APPROVING PROPOSED CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT - URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT NO. 1 (Md. R-17) AND INITIATING SAID PROJECT PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 422-D AND 422-E OF ARTICLE XII-A OF THE CITY CHARTER.

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council of The City of Salisbury have caused to be prepared an Urban Renewal Plan for the clearance and redevelopment of an area located within the Central Business District and hereinafter referred to as Central Business District - Urban Renewal Project No. 1 (Md. R-17); and

WHEREAS, the said area of said Urban Renewal Project No. 1 is described generally as follows:

All that area bounded on the North by the North side of Camden Street (extending from the West bank of the East branch of the Wicomico River to the West side of South Division Street) and by the North line of the Pauline Taylor property and a continuation thereof in a general Easterly direction for a distance of approximately 385 feet; bounded on the East by the East line of the George P. Chandler-Avery W. Hall property and a continuation thereof in a straight line Southerly to the South side of East Market Street; bounded on the South in part by the South side of East Market Street, in part by the South line of the City of Salisbury Fire Station No. 1, in part by the East side of South Division Street, in part by the South side of Circle Avenue and in part by an irregular line crossing Lots 4, 5 and 6 belonging to Olga Cavanaugh and Ethelyn Rinier and extending from the South side of Circle Avenue across Market Street to the center of the East branch of the Wicomico River; and bounded on the West by the center of the East branch of the Wicomico River; and

WHEREAS, the general scope of proposed Urban Renewal Project No. 1 is for the acquisition of all properties within the project area, except those parcels or parts of parcels owned by The City of Salisbury and marked "not to be acquired" on the property map dated June, 1962, showing such project, the demolition and removal of all buildings and other improvements on the properties acquired, and the redevelopment of the cleared area; and as part of the redevelopment program, there is proposed the relocation and vacation of streets within the project boundaries to consolidate land areas into larger and more efficient parcels and to promote traffic movement and control; and subsequently, the construction of project improvements and the redevelopment of the cleared land areas within the project boundaries by public or private capital for one or more of the following purposes: Off-street parking; public parking; and other governmental uses and facilities.

WHEREAS, the Urban Renewal Director has presented said plan to the Mayor and the Council and has explained the proposals and objectives of said plan; and

WHEREAS, after appropriate advertisements a hearing has been held for the discussion of said proposed project and for the hearing of comments thereon and objections thereto by all interested persons and citizens;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Council of The City of Salisbury hereby finds:

(1) That the said Project No. 1 area described in said plan and in said newspaper advertisements is a blighted

area within the meaning of such term as defined in the City's Charter.

(2) That the rehabilitation and/or redevelopment of such area for predominantly nonresidential uses is necessary in the interest of the public health, safety, morals, and welfare of the residents of the City of Salisbury.

(3) That a feasible method exists for the location of any families who will be displaced from such area in decent, safe, and sanitary dwelling accommodations within their means and without undue hardship to such families.

(4) That the Urban Renewal Plan for such area conforms exactly to the Master Plan of The City of Salisbury, as amended by the Salisbury Planning Commission at its meeting on January 20, 1962.

(5) That the Urban Renewal Plan for such area will afford maximum opportunity consistent with the sound needs of the City of Salisbury on the whole for the rehabilitation or for redevelopment of such area by private enterprise.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Council of The City of Salisbury hereby approves the proposed Central Business District Urban Renewal Project No. 1 (Md. R-17) for such project subject to amendment and modification thereof pursuant to the Charter and as may be necessary or desirable in the future and hereby initiates the said Urban Renewal Project in accordance with the provisions of Sections 422-D and 422-E of Article XII-A of the Charter of The City of Salisbury.

#2 A

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY AUTHORIZING THE FILING OF AN APPLICATION FOR LOAN AND GRANT FOR PROJECT NO. Md. R-17 (CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT - URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT NO. 1)

WHEREAS, it is necessary and in the public interest that The City of Salisbury, a municipal corporation of the State of Maryland, avail itself of the financial assistance provided by Title 1 of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, to carry out the urban renewal project described as Md. R-17 (Central Business District - Urban Renewal Project No. 1) in the area generally described as follows:

> All that area bounded on the North by the North side of Camden Street (extending from the West bank of the East branch of the Wicomico River to the West side of South Division Street) and by the North line of the Pauline Taylor property and a continuation thereof in a general Easterly direction for a distance of approximately 385 feet; bounded on the East by the East line of the George P. Chandler-Avery W. Hall property and a continuation thereof in a straight line Southerly to the South side of East Market Street; bounded on the South in part by the South side of East Market Street, in part by the South line of the City of Salisbury Fire Station No. 1, in part by the East side of South Division Street, in part by the South side of Circle Avenue and in part by an irregular line crossing Lots 4, 5 and 6 belonging to Olga Cavanaugh and Ethelyn Rinier and extending from the South side of Circle Avenue across Market Street to the center of the East branch of the Wicomico River; and bounded on the West by the center of the East branch of the Wicomico River; and

WHEREAS, it is proposed that said area be redeveloped for predominantly residential uses; and

WHEREAS, it is recognized that the Federal contract for such financial assistance pursuant to said Title I will impose certain obligations and responsibilities upon the Local Public Agency and will require among other things: (1) the provision of local grants-in-aid; (2) a feasible method for the relocation of families displaced from the project area; and (3) other local obligations and responsibilities in connection with the undertaking and carrying out of urban renewal projects;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND, That an application on behalf of The City of Salisbury for a loan under Section 102(a) of said Title I in the amount of $ and for a Project Capital Grant and a Relocation Grant to the full amount available for undertaking and financing the Project is hereby approved, and that the Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute and to file such application with the Housing and Home Finance Agency, and to provide such additional information and to furnish such documents as may be required in behalf of said Agency, and to act as the authorized correspondent of The City of Salisbury.

CITY HALL SALISBURY, MARYLAND OCTOBER 1, 1962

The Council of the City of Salisbury met in special session at the City Hall, Monday, October 1, 1962 at 8:00 p.m. The following persons were present: Mayor Francis H. Morris, President of Council, Richard M. Laws, Councilmen David A. Grier, David F. Rodgers, W. Paul Martin, Jr., Harry O. Fullbrook, City Solicitor Victor H. Laws, and Planning Director James A. Gilman. Also present were Treasurer Hampton Brittingham, and Police Chief William J. Chatham, and Executive Secretary, William M. Perkins.

1. The meeting was opened by repeating the Lord's Prayer. There followed an introduction and welcome of the new City Clerk, Mrs. Fara Tawes, by President Richard Laws and an acknowledgment from her.

2. Mayor Morris opened his remarks with a report from a letter he had received from Oliphant Chevrolet concerning the trading-in of the police cars. Although no bid was solicited from them, he believes they are offering a good deal on the price of new police cars. They will trade all five automobiles for $500 each. Mayor Morris suggested it would be a good idea to solicit other bids on a three year basis with the understanding that no bid will be binding.

3. Mayor Morris asked for discussion on a donation for Christmas Lights. On a motion by David Grier, seconded by Councilman Martin and unanimously carried, it was decided to keep within the budget and provide $1,500 for the Christmas Lights again this year.

4. The disposal of the City Fire Truck. The Powellville Fire Department would like to have the truck. It was pointed out by City Solicitor Victor H. Laws, that legally, the Purchasing Agent would have to agree that the truck was, first, obsolete and second, not saleable and the City Council would then have to approve. Mr. William M. Perkins, Executive Secretary and Purchasing Agent, agreed on both counts and a motion was made by Councilman Martin, seconded by Councilman Grier and unanimously carried that the City of Salisbury give the fire truck to the Powellville Fire Department.

5. Disposal of the old City Ambulance. After much discussion on the advisability of keeping the Ambulance as secondary equipment and considering the very low bids on it of $50 from the Wolfington Ambulance Co.; and $150 from Bower's, a motion was made by Councilman Martin that $350 be allotted to repair the old ambulance provided Fire Chief Taylor could house and operate it. Councilman Fullbrook seconded the motion with Councilman Rodgers voting against the motion.

6. On the matter of the proposed Cavanaugh Agreement, Mr. James Gilman, Planning Director, presented a review of the agreement starting with the first request for concurrence sent to the Federal Government back in July for the early acquisition of land from Cavanaugh Motors (Md. R-17). Request for concurrence was based on an average of two appraisals. One done by Mr. Alfred Truitt and the other by Mr. Gilbert, an appraisor from Baltimore City. Mr. Gilbert's appraisal was $193,533.00 and Mr. Truitt's was $176,236.00. The Federal Government concurred on a low figure of $176,236.00 presented by Mr. Truitt. Mr. Cavanaugh rejected this low figure. Mr. Gilman called the appraisor in Philadelphia and found that the Government will reconsider a revaluation. Mr. Cavanaugh indicated that he would probably accept a split of the two appraisals. The property includes a paint shop on Market Street and the main building on Camden Avenue. The eight (8) parcels of land were pointed out on a map by Mr. Gilman.

7. City Solicitor, Victor H. Laws reviewed an option prepared for the Cavanaughs to sign if the Council thinks the appraisal figure is reasonable. This option will be sent to Urban Renewal saying this is what we can get approved. He outlined on the map, street and river right-of-ways. After discussion about the loss of the time element (parking in time for Christmas this year) and considering the inadvisability of condemnation and the value of the property from the point of view that the appraisors price is almost the same as the appraisor (Mr. Kolb) for the owners,

8. Councilman Fullbrook made the motion that another request for Concurrence for Acquisition of the Cavanaugh Property be filed with the Federal Government and the appraisal submitted to Urban Renewal in Philadelphia specifically including the option. The motion was seconded by Councilman Martin and carried.

9. Solicitor Victor Laws reported . . Alex Brown has reported that all parking bonds have been turned in and Treasurer Hampton Brittingham will soon be receiving the information.

10. Councilman Rodgers brought up the matter of the relocation of the Post Office Building and after much discussion about locations being considered by the Post Office Dept. and the Postmaster, Emory Leonard, a recommendation was made by Councilman Rodgers that a committee go to Mr. Leonard and encourage him to use the "Cranberry Bog" property or at least to consider building the new Post Office within the City Limits.

11. The meeting adjourned at 9:30 p.m. on a motion by David Grier, seconded by Councilman Fullbrook.

10/1/62
Date

President of Council

Clerk

MAYOR AND COUNCIL
of
SALISBURY, MD. October 8, 1962

The Mayor and Council of Salisbury, Maryland, convened in regular session at City Hall, 112 W. Church St., Salisbury, Md., at 8:00 p.m., October 8, 1962.

PRESENT

Mayor Frank H. Morris

Councilman David Grier
Councilman David Rodgers
President of Council, Richard M Laws, in the chair
Councilman W. P. Martin, Jr.
Councilman Harry O. Fullbrook

In attendance:

Executive Secretary, William M. Perkins; City clerk, Fara Tawes; City Solicitor, Victor H. Laws; Police Chief, William Chatham; Treasurer, Hampton Brittingham; Planning Director, James Gilman; Building Inspector, Joe Pettus; City Engineer, Phillip Cooper; a member of the press and interested parties concerned.

Re: Minutes of September 24, 1962-
Approved.

On motion of Councilman Fullbrook, seconded by Councilman Rodgers and unanimously carried, the Mayor and Council approved the minutes of its meeting held September 24, 1962.

Re: Minutes of October 1, 1962-
Approved after correction.

On motion by Councilman Grier, seconded by Councilman Rodgers, and unanimously carried, the Mayor and Council approved the minutes of its meeting held October 1, 1962 after correction.

Re: Subdivision-Handy Street, by
Mr. Livingston, Approval denied.

Mr. William Livingston appeared before Council to have the transfer of one lot (on the corner of Handy and what will be Whittier Drive when the street has been completely dedicated to the city) approved. On a motion by Councilman Fullbrook, seconded by Councilman Rodgers, Council decided to uphold its original action on this matter and deny the approval with the recommendation that Mr. Livingston get together with Executive Secretary Perkins and look into the matter of a new law (1959-61) concerning the sale ofunimproved farm land without raising the assessment value. This law was brought to Council's attention by City Solicitor Laws.

Re: Water Service to Manhattan
Shirt Company.

Mr. Walter Phillips and Mr. Walter Phillips, Jr. appeared before Council to discuss financing of water service to a proposed new building on Glen Avenue. Manhattan Shirt Company feels that it is the city's responsibility to provide an adequate water supply system. (According to Factory Insurance Association requirements for 1600 g.p.m.) There is an existing eight (8) inch main; a twelve (12) inch main is required. Mayor and Council feel that the County should share in the expense of meeting the requirements since all of the property is not within the City Limits.

Re: Water Service to Manhattan Shirt Company - Continued.

Upon recommendation by Mayor Morris, a motion was made by Councilman Fullbrook and duly seconded by Councilman Martin that the Mayor set up a meeting with Manhattan Shirt Company representatives, the County Commissioners, and members of the City Council to discuss the matter of sharing the cost of establishing an adequate water supply system to the new plant site.

Re: Award of Bids - Test Wells.

The Executive Secretary requested the postponement of bids on Test Wells because the bids were considerably higher than anticipated.

The Executive Secretary reported that one bid was received from H & D Metal Co. of Salisbury on the sale of surplus city property. Executive Secretary recommended the bid be rewarded to H & D Metal Co. in the amount of $1.32 per hundred weight for surplus material. It was moved by Councilman Martin and duly seconded by Councilman Rodgers and unanimously carried that Salisbury Steel Products be awarded the bid for scrap material from the old City Yard.

Re: Lease-Salisbury Battery Co. - New Lease Rejected.

Executive Secretary Perkins reported to the Council that the lease had expired on the West Main Street Parking Lot. The old lease provided for $125 per month and compensation for any increase in taxes over and above the 1952 assessment. Mr. Fulton Rounds, owner of the property, requested a new lease of $250 per month and compensation for any increase in taxes over and above the 1962 assessment. Mr. Perkins reported the cost of development, operation and maintenance over the ten year period as $42,757 and revenues as $30,448; showing a deficit of $12,039. The Executive Secretary recommended that the new proposed lease be rejected as the City was operating the lot at a loss. On a motion by Councilman Fullbrook, seconded by Councilman Grier, the Council agreed to reject the new proposed lease and instructed the Executive Secretary to attempt to secure a new lease with the same provisions as the old lease or abandon the parking lot.

Re: Library Deed.

The Executive Secretary read a letter from Mr. Dale Adkins requesting the payment of $45,000 which is the City's contribution toward the new library; and requesting the City to take title to the old library. A motion was made by Councilman Martin, seconded by Councilman Fullbrook, to pay the $45,000 contribution but to take title to the old library when it is vacated.

Re: Appraisal of Hodgson Property.

Executive Secretary, Perkins, reviewed with the Council on appraisal of the City property and Herman Hodgson property between Lake Street and Cypress Street. Mr. Hodgson previously had requested the City to trade a parcel of land with him. Alfred Truitt's appraisal showed the City's property to be valued at $11,400 and the Hodgson property at $5,250. The Mayor and Council indicated that it would delay a decision on the appraisal until a later date.

Re: Loan and Grant Contract R-19.

City Solicitor Victor H. Laws reported that the contract will remain the same with these exceptions: the words "except that" in both instances in Section I will be stricken; the word "hereby" and the phrase "be and hereby is" will be replaced by "having been." Urban Renewal

Re: Loan and Grant Contract R-19.

has approved these changes. It was moved by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Fullbrook that the changes in the wording of the contract be adopted into the minutes again.

Re: Amending Zoning Map-Clairmont Village, Ordinance 842 - first reading.

Council reviewed an Ordinance changing the boundaries of and re-zoning from "Residential A" to "Light Business," the area East of a line drawn 95 feet Easterly of and parallel to the present East curb line of Lorecrop Drive and bounded on the North by Pinehurst Avenue and on the South by West College Avenue. The area is known as Clairmont Village. It was moved by Councilman Rodgers, seconded by Councilman Grier to approve the first reading of Ordinance No. 842. The motion was unanimously carried.

Re: Conformitory Deeds, Salisbury National Bank.

City Solicitor, Victor H. Laws reported on Conformitory Deeds held by Salisbury National, and the Parish of St. Peter's Church. On a motion by Councilman Rodgers, seconded by Councilman Grier, Council authorized City Treasurer Brittingham to pay the Vestry of Salisbury Parish of St. Peter's Church $1,000 and exchange the deeds to the City and from the City. Another motion was made by Councilman Martin, seconded by Councilman Rodgers and unanimously carried that the assessment from 1929 be abated.

Re: Electrical Code-First Reading.

City Solicitor, Victor Laws next reviewed an ordinance adopting by reference, the "National Electrical Code" of the National Board of Fire Underwriters as the electrical code of the City of Salisbury. This ordinance governs all electric wiring and apparatus within the City, provides for the licensing of electricians engaged in business in the City and for the fees, qualifications, bonds and other conditions of such licenses; provides for inspections of electric wiring systems and appliances by the Middle Department Association of Fire Underwriters and for the stoppage of electric work not conforming to the National Electrical Code; provides for the revocation of electricians' licenses under certain conditions; and provides penalties for any violations.

Motion was made by Councilman Fullbrook, seconded by Councilman Grier and unanimously carried to approve the first reading of the Electrical Code. Adoption of this ordinance is a requirement under Urban Renewal before receiving Federal Funds.

Re: Final Approval Gilbert Contract.

Mr. James Gilman, Planning Director, reviewed the appraisal and contract of the appraiser, Mr. Gilbert, of $2,200 for the properties mentioned in Urban Renewal Project Md. - R-17. Mr. Gilman requested approval of the contract. Councilman Fullbrook made the motion to approve the Gilbert contract. It was duly seconded by Councilman Martin and unanimously carried.

Re: Final Approval-Hanby Contract.

Planning Director, James Gilman requested approval of the contract and appraisal of the appraiser, Mr. Hanby, in the amount of $3,325 for the properties included in the Urban Renewal Project Md. - R -19. It was moved by Councilman Martin, seconded by Councilman Rodgers to approve the Hanby contract. The motion was carried.

Re: New Business.

A bill of complaint by James Julian Company against the City and Salisbury Incinerator and Sewage Treatment Commission was brought to the attention of Council. The bill is in the amount of $117,550.10 plus interest and was brought by Gray, Gouty of Baltimore.

Mr. Phillip Cooper, City Engineer, invited the Mayor and Council to see the Delaware Avenue Project.

There being no further official business to come before the Mayor and Council, a motion was made by Councilman Fullbrook, seconded by Councilman Rodgers, that the meeting adjourn at 10:05 p.m. to convene again in regular session at 8:00 p.m. on October 22, 1962.

President of Council

City Clerk

10/22/62
Date

MAYOR AND COUNCIL
of
SALISBURY, MD.

October 22, 1962

The Mayor and Council of Salisbury, Maryland, convened in regular session at City Hall, 112 W. Church Street, Salisbury, Maryland at 8:00 p.m., October 22, 1962.

PRESENT

Mayor Frank Morris
Councilman David Grier
Councilman David Rodgers
Councilman W. P. Martin, Jr.
Councilman Harry Fullbrook
President of Council, Richard M. Laws in the chair

IN ATTENDANCE:

Executive Secretary, William Perkins; City Clerk, Fara Tawes; City Solicitor, Victor Laws; Police Chief, William Chatham; Treasurer, Hampton Brittingham; Building Inspector, Joe Pettus; City Engineer, Phillip Cooper; a member of the press, interested parties concerned and members of the Girl Scouts of America and their leader.

Re: Convening.

President of Council, Richard Laws opened the meeting and the Lord's Prayer was repeated by all those present. President Laws then welcomed the Girl Scouts and called upon Mayor Morris to introduce members of the Council and other City Officials.

Re: Approval of Minutes of October 8, 1962

Motion was made by Councilman Fullbrook, duly seconded by Councilman Grier, and unanimously carried to approve the Minutes of the meeting held on October 8, 1962.

Re: Mayor's Opening Remarks.

Mayor Morris announced the recent appointment of the following named officials for Council's concurrence: To be Purchasing Agent, Gordon B. Sickmund; and to be Patrolmen, Grayson Edward Kenney and Ralph Lester Walston.

Re: Zoo Commission.

There appeared before Council, Mr. William Benjamin, Mr. Bill Miles, and Mr. John Jacob to discuss with the Council the future development of the Zoo. Mr. Benjamin as spokesman for the Commission explained that their plans consisted of a two-part proposed development. Part A (or Zone A) is to be completed by March 1, 1963 and Part B (or Zone B) by March, 1964. Zone A is located between North and South Park Drive, eastward to the fencing in the Area used by the Boy Scouts for their Jamborees. This area is now in a natural state and would be a lovely spot for a Family-type Zoo. The Commission plans to enlarge the present Zoo population with a Llama, Bison, water fowl and various other types of animals besides the Penquin which has already been purchased. Also, Mr. Benjamin reported that a very large Zoo has offered their surplus animals free of charge except for shipping costs and in this capacity, The Zoo Commission will be able to spend most of their funds on ground improvements whereas before, a reserve had to be kept for purchasing new animals.

Mr. Benjamin explained fully the Zoo Commission's plans for a road at the east end of the area, a dam in the Creek and eventually, a bridge over the stream.

At the present time, fencing, fill dirt and the Dam are the main requisite items which the City will be expected to finance. Cost of the fencing, the dam across the stream and the fill come to $17,500. The Commission has $2,000, the Exchange Club has promised to donate the difference between the cost of the Penquin and $1,000.

Questions raised by Council were for a breakdown of the costs and they were as follows: Fencing - $7,500 (Inner fencing, outer fencing, and maintenance); Fill - $4,000; Dam - $3,000; Parking Area and Pathways - $3,000. Total of $17,500.

Questions about future growth of the Zoo and the adequacy of the area to contain it were answered to the satisfaction of Council. Housing for the Penquin were described to be very simple since the animal is of the Humboldt type which is very hardy and used to climate conditions such as we have in this area.

Question was raised as to maintenance of the enlarged Zoo and the answer that it would be minimal was met with some skepticism although the Commission assured Council on this point.

City Engineer, Phillip Cooper, questioned the advisability of locating in this area because of the swampy conditions and recommended that a committee first inspect the area before making any decisions. On this note, President of Council, Richard Laws, advised the Commission that whereas they had the approval of Council for the project and permission to go to the County Commissioners to obtain the labor for installing the fencing and clearing the area, a decision on the location of the Zoo enlargement will be delayed for two weeks until the next regular Council meeting. At this point in the meeting, Mr. Jacob was presented with a personal check for the Penquin by Councilman Harry Fullbrook.

Re: Ordinance 841 - Electrical Code
Second Reading.

City Solicitor Victor Laws presented a brief summary of Ordinance 841. Ordinance 841 reads: AN ORDINANCE of The City of Salisbury adopting by reference the "National Electrical Code" of the National Board of Fire Underwriters as the electrical code of The City of Salisbury governing all electricwiring and apparatus within the City of Salisbury; providing for the licensing of electricians engaged in business in the City of Salisbury and for the fees, qualifications, bonds and other conditions of such licenses; providing for inspections of electric wiring systems and appliances by the Middle Department Association of Fire Underwriters and for the stoppage of electric work not conforming to the National Electrical Code; providing for the revocation of electricians' licenses under certain conditions; and providing penalties for violation hereof.

After discussion, Council agreed that the Ordinance had not been publicized enough and it was decided, upon recommendation of Mayor Morris to publish an abstract of the ordinance in the newspaper and send a copy of it to Mr. Storus and Mr. Roe of the Eastern Shore Public Service Co. Council authorized City Solicitor Laws to prepare the abstract.

Re: Ordinance 842. - Clairmont Village.

City Solicitor Laws reviewed the Ordinance 842 which reads as follows: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY changing the boundaries of a "Residential A" district and the adjoining "Light Business" district as shown on the Zone District Map of the City of Salisbury on file in the office of the City Clerk and adopted by the Council of the City of Salisbury on August 3, 1959, and incorporated by reference in Ordinance No. 789 of the

City of Salisbury, and forming a part thereof pursuant to Section 8 of said Ordinance 789, and duly identified and authenticated as provided in Section 68 of Ordinance No. 789, so as to rezone from "Residential A" to "Light Business" the area East of a line drawn 95 feet Easterly of and parallel to the present East curb line of Lorecrop Drive and bounded on the North by Pinehurst Avenue and on the South by West College Avenue.

City Solicitor Laws was of the opinion that Council was ready to bring this matter up for a vote. On motion by Councilman Rodgers, seconded by Councilman Fullbrook, it was unanimously carried that the Ordinance 842 be approved for second and final reading.

Re: Resolution approving Survey and Planning, Snuff Hill Project.

Planning Director, James Gilman made application to the Urban Renewal Agency for $27,096 for survey and planning purposes in the Snuff Hill Project. The title paragraph of the resolution reads " PREAMBLES AND RESOLUTION of the City Council of The City of Salisbury, Maryland, with respect to Urban Renewal Project No. 4 - "Snuff Hill Project - Md. R-20" reflecting federal government's increase in amount of survey and planning funds requested by The City of Salisbury."

After the application was submitted, regulations were changed by the Federal Government to the effect that appraisals, title search, and contingency must be submitted with the survey and planning application. Therefore, instead of $27,096, the Federal Government approved $42,000 to include these three items.

Council has reservations about adopting this resolution. Councilman Rodgers considers a complete breakdown on costs of the project and a progress report on the project once it is started is not unreasonable. Councilman Grier and Fullbrook think the cost of $42,000 to be out of the question for surveying and planning when we are not sure that developing Snuff Hill will be feasible anyway. Councilman Martin is of the opinion that since we have gone this far with the project (i.e. making application to the government, etc.), we should adopt the resolution so that we can get an expert opinion via the survey to see if it is feasible to develop the land.

City Solicitor Laws advised Council that if the resolution was adopted, it would not have a binding effect on the City because a public hearing on the Project has not been held.

Motion was asked for by the Chair and it was subsequently moved by Councilman Grier that the matter of the adoption of the resolution be tabled at this time to be considered at the next regular meeting of Council. It was duly seconded by Councilman Martin and carried. President Laws recommended that Dr. Flemming be invited to attend the meeting.

Re: Rest Room Facilities: West Side.

Executive Secretary, William Perkins reported on proposed development of Rest Room facilities on the West Side of town. He stated that he had had offers from Mr. Louis Kleger to sell to the City his property on West Main for this purpose and from Mr. John Jones who desires to lease to the City, his building on Lake Street across from the Ritz Theater. Mr. Kleger is asking $4,000 plus taxes of $926 making a total of $4,926. A building would also have to be erected and bulkheads built on this property. Mr. Jones is asking $100 per month with a long term lease. There is already a two (2) inch water line and a sewer line in an existing building which was formerly occupied by a laundromat. Mr. Perkins also feels that if Council was interested, the building could be leased for a lower

figure. With concurrence of Council, President Laws recommended that Executive Secretary negotiate with Mr. Jones to use his property for Rest Rooms at a smaller figure than the one offered.

While on the subject of Rest Rooms and public facilities in general, Mayor Morris requested Mr. Perkins to send a copy of the appraisal of the Fulton Rounds property (Parking Lot) to the Tax Acessor's office.

Re: Annexation - Northwood Area.

Mayor Morris reported that he hopes to have a Petition on the Northwood Area for Council's approval at the next regular meeting.

Re: Award of Bid - Test Wells.

Executive Secretary reported that bids had been received from Middletown Well Drilling Company in the amount of $5,920 and from Norman Shannahan Company in the amount of $6,398.50 for Test Wells.

City Engineer, Cooper explained the reason for the need of Test Wells. Iron Oxide material has started at one existing well and has progressed to some extent to four more wells. The condition is caused by iron-eating bacteria which thrive in irony water, producing iron oxide in the water.

Council had numerous questions concerning the bids. Mayor Morris questioned the wording of the contract and both he and Council wondered why no local bids were received. Mr. Cooper explained that no local driller had the proper equipment to drill this type of well since a rotary drill was needed. Mr. Cooper also pointed out that the Department of Geology and Mines furnished the names of qualified Drillers and bids were sent to each one. Council requested that, in the future, specifications for bids be included in the briefing books.

Motion was made by Councilman Fullbrook, seconded by Councilman Rodgers that the bid for Test Wells be awarded to the lowest bidder, Middletown Well Drilling Company in the amount of $5,920.

Mayor Morris requested that Mr. Cooper report to the Department of Geology and Mines that a firm is drilling niagra type wells in this area; polluting the strata and using unethical methods in general.

Re: New Business.

1. Mr. Dean French, representing the Salisbury Jaycees, appeared to request Council's participation in the annual Christmas parade with a representative float. Council decided the budgeted amount of $3,000 for Christmas Street Lights was all that could be spent for this occasion.

2. Mayor Morris presented a replica of a sign designed to advertise the "Cranberry Bog" area for sale. Erection and maintenance of the sign will be $893. Motion was made by Councilman Martin, seconded by Councilman Rodgers to approve the cost of erecting and maintaining the sign. Councilman Grier and Councilman Fullbrook voted No. To break the tie, Council President Laws voted Yes and the motion was so carried.

3. Mayor Morris reported on the meeting with the County Commissioners and Manhattan

Shirt Company regarding the water main to the proposed building on Glen Avenue. On a map of the area, he outlined a circle or loop development of water lines which will be built in gradual steps to include the area from Glen Avenue across Mt. Hermon Road, Parker Road and onto new Route 50. Mayor Morris wants Council to decide if we are going to establish an adequate Sanitary Water and Storm Sewer set-up or not and he proposes a plan calling for $0.50 per week for each hook-up in the city limits and outside the limits, it would be double. This would be a realistic income which would go into a sinking fund which would thus go right back into developing a good water system.

4. Mr. Perkins reported on the matter of extension of the water and sewer lines on Tower Drive to the property of Henry H. Hanna. The cost of water and sewer extension is estimated at $6,380. City share is $3,850; property owner share is $2,530. Mr. Hanna has sold the property for a Drive-In Restaurant. Councilman Fullbrook voted against this project.

There being no further official business to come before the Chair, motion was made by Councilman Martin, seconded by Councilman Rodgers that the meeting adjourn at 10:10 p.m. to convene again in regular session on Monday, November 12, 1962.

Council President

City Clerk

Nov. 12, 1962
Date

MAYOR AND COUNCIL
of
SALISBURY, MD. November 12, 1962

The Mayor and Council of Salisbury, Maryland, convened in regular session at City Hall, 112 W. Church Street, Salisbury, Maryland at 8 p.m., November 12, 1962.

PRESENT

MAYOR FRANK MORRIS

Councilman David Grier
Councilman David Rodgers
President of Council, Richard M. Laws, PRESIDING
Councilman W. Paul Martin, Jr.
Councilman Harry Fullbrook

IN ATTENDANCE

Executive Secretary, William M. Perkins
City Clerk, Fara Tawes; City Solicitor, Victor Laws; Police Chief, William Chatham; Treasurer, Hampton Brittingham; City Engineer, Philip Cooper; a member of the press; interested parties concerned; and members of the Girl and Boy Scouts of America.

Re: Convening

The meeting was called to order by President of Council, Richard M. Laws, and the Lord's Prayer was repeated by all present. President Laws introduced the members of the Scouts by name and then called for motion for approval of the Minutes of the meeting of October 22, 1962.

Re: Approval of Minutes, Oct. 22, 1962

Motion was made by Councilman Fullbrook, seconded by Councilman Grier, and unanimously carried that the minutes of Oct. 22, 1962 be approved as written.

Re: Mayor's Opening Remarks

Mayor Morris' opening remarks began with a referral to a letter received from Mrs. Christine Larmore pleading hardship in payment of $101.41 curb and gutter bill. Mayor Morris recommended that Mrs. Larmore be permitted to pay $5.00 per month subject to normal interest rates as required by law. Council agreed with the Mayor's recommendation.

Mayor reported that the Purchasing Agent recommended that two pieces of equipment, one a 1937 Snow Plow and one a Tarco Salt Spreader, be sold since both are constant maintenance problems, both are obsolete and both are non-essential to the City. A price of $400 can be realized for both pieces of equipment. It was moved by Councilman Rodgers, duly seconded by Councilman Martin and unanimously carried that the Purchasing Agent be instructed to dispose of the equipment for the sum of $400 for both.

Mayor reported that a new policeman, Harry Lee Hart, had been hired as grade 7 A, effective November 6, 1962. Question was raised by Councilman Grier as to how many policeman have been hired this year. Mayor's answer, "forty policeman are now on the City's payroll."

Last on the list of items included in the Mayor's opening remarks was recognition of a letter received from the Maryland Municipal League advising members of a proposal for an increase in dues. The Increase is proposed to accomplish a stepped-up legislative program which will require the services of a full time Executive Secretary and an additional staff to undertake research studies and other expanded activities. Mayor Morris reported that the delegates at the Annual Business Meeting decided by ballot of 47 out of 130 to submit this proposal to a referendum of all member cities and towns. Salisbury's present dues schedule is $466.25. The revised dues schedule will be $980.50 for the year. Mayor and Council agreed that since the League is the only organization representing Cities and Towns and seeing that their interests are furthered with state and federal agencies, Salisbury should remain in the League and therefore should vote favorably to increase its dues. Motion was so made by Councilman Fullbrook, duly seconded by Councilman Martin and unanimously carried.

Re: Appearance, Fred Flowers in regard to Cranberry Meadows

Mr. Fred Flowers appeared before Council to present his offer on the part of a client to purchase property known as Cranberry Meadows. He informed Council of the location desired--approximately a 600 foot frontage on Route 50, east of the City Incinerator, a total of about 240,000 square feet or three blocks. He has a client interested who gave him permission to come before Council to make an offer of [redacted] for the property. By selling to this client, it will not only improve the appearance of the approach to the City, but will bring more people to Salisbury and turn the land into money with a $1,000,000 investment.

Mayor and Council questioned whether the plan was for immediate development and whether it was to be an industrial or commercial one. Mr. Flowers replied that it would be immediate and that it was a commercial retail development. Another question raised by Council was whether or not there were any other property owners interested and whether or not selling to Mr. Flowers' client would entail realignment of streets. Mr. Flowers assured Council that existing streets were adequate and there were no other owners interested.

At this point, City Solicitor, Victor Laws, advised Council that certain procedures had to be followed in the sale of City owned property. First, the sale had to be recommended by the Purchasing Agent for reasons of being obsolete and of no need to the City and an appraisal has to be made to get an estimate of the value of the land. One estimate should be made in lease form. Invitations for sealed bids on an outright sale are then required.

Since Mr. Flowers had already made known his offer, both he and Council thought it only right and just to keep his offer confidential until other bids had been received. Mayor and Council assured Mr. Flowers that the City is definitely interested in selling the property but the sale must be done under rules of the Charter and in a manner most equitable to all concerned. Council informed Mr. Flowers that three weeks would be needed to arrange procedures for competitive bidding.

Re: West Main Street Parking Lot

Representatives of the businessmen in the lower end of town on West Main Street, Mr. Stelzner and Mr. Givarz appeared before Council to present their solution to the West

Main Street Parking Lot problem. The problem itself emanates from the disagreement between Fulton Rounds and the City over terms of a new lease which will have to replace the expired old lease. Mr. Rounds is requesting a new lease of $250 per month and compensation for any increase in taxes over and above the 1962 assessment. The City is willing to continue the lease on the same terms as the old lease--$125 and compensation for any increase in taxes over and above the 1962 assessment.

Mr. Laws explained that as a result of a meeting with these gentlemen, Mr. Philip Cooper who was representing Mr. William Perkins who was out of town on official business, and himself, the West Main Street merchants agreed to underwrite the difference, and on behalf of the City, to pay Mr. Rounds the difference between the actual earnings of the lot and the rent he is asking. This would be accomplished by sharing the costs at percentages to be worked out among themselves.

The only obstacle in the way of culminating this transaction is the fact that a number of the merchants in the area do not own their property but lease it and if this plan is entered into on a ten year basis, the City cannot be sure what the next ten years will hold. If those merchants did not remain in business, the City would be left holding the bag for their share of the payment. To reduce the committment or exposure to liability, the lease should be for two years instead of ten and if after two years the lot has earnings over the $250 rental and if there is an increased demand for parking, Council might want to establish a Parking District.

Councilman Fullbrook made the motion that Mr. Laws prepare a two year lease backed up by an agreement between the City and the West Main Street Merchants stating the fact that the Merchants will pick up the difference if any between the earnings of the lot and the rental thereof and considering also the expenses incurred in the upkeep of the lot and including this also in the percentages worked out by the merchants among themselves. The motion was seconded by Councilman Rodgers and unanimously carried.

Mayor and Council stated that they wanted to commend the West Main Street Merchants on their private iniative in this matter.

Re: Clairmont Village, Doody Heirs Deed; Church and Salisbury National Deed

City Solicitor reported that he had received and recorded the deeds from the Doody Brothers in regards to the Clairmont Village matter and also deeds from the Vestry of the Salisbury Parish of St. Peters Church and Salisbury National Bank.

Re: Award of bids, Salt-Calcium Chloride

City Engineer reported on bids received for supplying the City with salt and calcium chloride for the winter months. He explained the bids were for furnishing, delivery and unloading by truck to the Lake Street Yard. Council discussed questions of advisability of buying in bulk car load lots. Mr. Cooper pointed out that whereas it is cheaper to buy in bulk, we do not have the facilities at the present to unload Calcium chloride and Salt from hopper-bottom cars. Therefore, he recommended we accept the bids in bags. Motion was made by Councilman Fullbrook that the City accept the low bid from Harvey Salt Co. of Baltimore for $43.20 per ton for Item 1--150 tons, Sodium Chloride in bulk and alternate

2--100 tons of Calcium Chloride in bags to be delivered to the Lake Street Yard. Councilman Grier seconded the motion and it was unanimously carried.

Re: Approval of Direct Loan for $33,000 on R-19 Project; Federally Secured Note for $686,000.

Planning Director, James Gilman went into detail explaining the Northside Project, Md. R-19 since it concerns major borrowing with the Federal Government. The Project provides for a loan of $1,418,418, and an ultimate grant of $743,847. It is proposed that the City retain a major part of the lands for a plaza and public parking. Acquisition of the land will be well under way by early 1963 if approved.

We are now at the point in the project where we propose to borrow the money to carry it through. There are two methods of borrowing--(1) with a direct loan from the Federal Government and (2) with a Federally Secured Note. The difference between the two being the time it takes to obtain each and on a direct loan, the cost is set at 4% and on a Federally Secured note, it would be less than 4% subject to the private market.

We propose to borrow $33,000 as a direct loan and then borrow $686,000 as a Federally secured note. It will take three weeks to obtain the $33,000 for a three month period and three months to obtain the $686,000 for a six months period. The $33,000 would become a part of the $686,000 when the Federally secured note was sold.

City Solicitor reviewed the necessary resolutions involved in this transaction. Three resolutions are required.

1. For borrowing the total project sum of $1,418,418 and this reads--PREAMBLES AND RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, MARYLAND, WITH RESPECT TO URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT NO. 3-"NORTHSIDE PROJECT", (MD. NO. R-19), AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF CERTAIN PROJECT LOAN NOTES IN CONNECTION WITH URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT NO. MD. R-19 AND PROVIDING FOR THE SECURITY FOR THE PAYMENT THEREOF, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.

2. For borrowing the first $33,000 and this states--PREAMBLES AND RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, MARYLAND, WITH RESPECT TO URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT NO. 3, "NORTHSIDE URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT", NO. MD. R-19, AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF A CERTAIN PROJECT TEMPORARY LOAN NOTE IN CONNECTION WITH PROJECT NO. MD. R-19.

3. For borrowing the $686,000 and this states the same as above.

Council voted on the resolutions in the following manner:
On Resolution for borrowing the $33,000, Councilman Rodgers made the motion that Council adopt the resolution, Councilman Fullbrook seconded it and it was unanimously carried.
On Resolution for borrowing the $686,000, Councilman Rodgers made the motion that it be adopted, it was duly seconded by Councilman Martin, and unanimously carried.
On Resolution for borrowing the total sum of $1,418,418, Councilman Rodgers made the motion that it be adopted, it was seconded by Councilman Martin and unanimously carried.

Mr. Gilman informed Council that bid opening will be the 29th of January, 1963. Mayor Morris commented we should get the project going so the public will know we are doing something about getting the lots into parking as soon as possible.

Re: Selection of Bond Counsel

City Solicitor recommended five persons for Bond Counsel for Note Transaction on the R-19 Project. They are as follows: H. Warren Buckler, Jr. of Niles, Barton, Gans & Markell; Richard W. Case of Smith Somerville & Case; H. Vernon Eney of Venable, Baetjer & Howard; Clyde Y. Morris of Miles & Stockbridge; and Norman P. Ramsey of Semmes, Bowen & Semmes. Motion was made by Councilman Martin, seconded by Councilman Rodgers and unanimously carried that Richard Case be appointed as bond Counsel.

Re: Selection of Negotiator - R19

Upon recommendation of President Laws, and after due consideration and discussion, motion was made by Councilman Rodgers, seconded by Councilman Martin that either Mr. John Downing or Mr. Henry Hanna be asked to serve as Negotiator. Mr. Gillman suggested that both he invited to attend the next council meeting.

Re: Recommendation of Second Acquisition Appraiser - Md. R-17

Planning Director, Gilman, stated that while Project 17 is being approved, we are in a position to begin negotiations with appraisers who are F.H.A. qualified. Two submitted proposals, Maher and Hynes for $1,520 and the other from Stephens and McLaughlin for $1,395. Mayor Morris recommended Mr. Alfred Truitt be contacted and asked for his appraisal before deciding on the matter. Council advised Executive Secretary to contact Mr. Truitt on this matter.

Re: Cavanaugh Option

The Cavanaugh Option matter has been held up because of the necessity of the Cavanaugh Co. to remain in their present building until a new one can be completed. Mr. Rinnier has asked the City to extend their option from 30 days to 120 days.

Re: Review, procedures for reservation of Park Land

This review is necessary since two developers want to improve the land along the river at Schumaker Pond. Council is of the opinion the City should reserve the area along the river in order to protect our water supply. City Solicitor stated that the City's position should be that we shall acquire the property if we can or if not, use condemnation procedures. We should start by asking the County to use their power to reserve the land and to give us, immediately, their plan to do something about this proposed development.

Re: Review, Snuff Hill Project

There appeared before Council, the Urban Renewal Planning Committee composed of John Broyhill, James Weatherly, Prof. Chipman, William Miles, and John Somers, and Mrs. Calcott with Dr. Fleming as spokesman. Dr. Fleming ably expressed the committee's views on going-ahead with the Snuff Hill Project which Council had tabled at their last meeting. He pointed out the possibilities for the property and the reasons for developing it.

They are as follows:
At present, there is a cheaper interest rate on loans; the Pinehurst School up-grades the area; business will be attracted and since competition for this business is keen among growing cities, Salisbury should take advantage of this Federal Grant.

President Laws questioned how long we had to make up our minds on going ahead and the answer was by October of 1963.

Councilman Fullbrook objected to putting the project on a bond issue since we will already be in debt so much on the R-19 project.

Councilman Grier objected because he did not believe we should borrow the money just because it is available.

After lengthy discussion, motion was made by Councilman Rodgers, seconded by Councilman Martin to put the matter back on the table. This motion was unanimously carried.

Motion was made by Councilman Rodgers, seconded by Councilman Martin to adopt the resolution to go ahead with the project. Councilman Grier and Councilman Fullbrook voted Nay, to break the tie, President of Council, Laws, voted Ay and the motion was so carried.

Re: New Business

Council approved issuing Courtesy Parking Tickets for the Christmas shopping holidays.

There being no further business to come before the chair, motion was made by Councilman Martin, duly seconded by Councilman Fullbrook to adjourn the meeting at 11:20 p.m. to meet again in regular session, November 26, 1962.

President of Council

City Clerk

Nov. 26, 1962
Date

MAYOR AND COUNCIL
OF
SALISBURY, MARYLAND

November 26, 1962

The Mayor and Council of Salisbury, Maryland, convened in regular session at City Hall, 112 W. Church Street, Salisbury, Maryland at 8 p.m. on November 26, 1962.

PRESENT

Mayor Frank Morris

Councilman David Grier
Councilman David Rodgers
President of Council, Richard Laws, Presiding
Councilman W. Paul Martin, Jr.
Councilman Harry Fullbrook

IN ATTENDANCE

Executive Secretary, William M. Perkins
City clerk, Fara Tawes; City Solicitor, Victor Laws; Treasurer, Hampton Brittingham; Building Inspector, Joe Pettus; Planning Director, Jim Gilman; a member of the press; and interested parties concerned.

Re: Convening - Approval of Minutes, November 12, 1962

The meeting was called to order by Councilman Paul Martin in the absence of President Richard Laws who was unavoidably late in attending. The Lord's Prayer was repeated by those present and motion was called for to approve the minutes of the previous meeting held on Novmeber 12, 1962, as written. Motion was so made by Councilman Fullbrook, duly seconded by Councilman Grier and unanimously carried.

Re: Mayor's Opening Remarks

Councilman Martin called upon the Mayor for his opening remarks and he in turn called upon Mr. Jack Webb to give a resume' of the meeting held in Washington on November 17, 1962 of the Finalists in the All-America Cities Award Jury Hearings.

Mr. Webb gave an interesting and informative revaluation of Salisbury's presentation and expressed the opinion that the City has a very good chance for winning the nomination since our presentation is unique in that we are presenting our accomplishments not only in the field of expansion, building, Urban Renewal, etc., but in the field of progressive and peaceful settlement of our Integration and Bi-Racial problems. These problems beset all Cities and Towns in the Country, and very few have solved them as well as the City of Salisbury and our Bi-Racial Commission with no violence or hard feelings on the part of either race. Mr. Webb pointed out the fact that out of the twenty-two finalists, eleven will be selected as semi-finalists, with two or three alternates in case investigators, sent out by the jury to confirm the claims made, find discrepancies or inconsistencies in the presentations. From these eleven, one will be selected as the All-America City.

If fortunate enough to win, Salisbury stands to gain National recognition from pictures and articles in Look Magazine plus the opportunity to attract new businesses who are looking for locations where the racial situation is under control and where there is inexpensive and primarily non-unionized labor.

Mayor and Council commended Mr. Webb on his efforts on behalf of the City and expressed the opinion that, by his efforts, the City has a very good chance to win the Award.

Re: Mid-Atlantic Tennis Tournament

At this point in the meeting, Councilman Martin relinquished the Chair to President Laws who in turn called upon the Committee for the Mid-Atlantic Tennis Tournament, Mr. Riordan, Mr. Bailey, and Mr. White to present their proposal for a City-sponsored Tennis Program.

Mr. Riordan, as spokesman, stated that the committee was asking the City to sponsor the program both in name and in dollars mainly because of the development by the City of the tennis courts in Municipal Park. The advantage of sponsoring the Tournament to the City will be the National publicity both in headline articles in newspapers and sports magazines but also television and radio interviews. The committee asked for an appropriation of $2,500.

After question of why private industry will not sponsor it which is because they cannot realize the publicity which would make it profitable to them, and an objection voiced by Councilman Grier who does not believe the taxpayer's dollars should be used to sponsor a sporting event, Mayor Morris recommended that if the appropriation was voted upon, certification would directly refer to the City of Salisbury in name because of the publicity advantages; any part of the $2,500 that was not used would be returned to the City; a clipping service (headline articles furnished from all leading newspapers all over the country for a fee) is mandatory; the appropriation is to be for one year only; if satisfactory promotionally, the appropriation will appear as an item in the budget next year.

Motion was called for and duly made by Councilman Fullbrook, seconded by Councilman Martin that the City appropriate $2,500 to the Mid-Atlantic Tennis Tournament and accept the sponsorship of the program. Vote was called for and with Councilman Grier voting Nay, the motion was carried.

Re: Cavanaugh Option- Appearance, Mr. Edgar Porter

Mr. Edgar Porter appeared before Council on behalf of the Cavanaugh Property Owners to present a review of the suggested form of Resolution requested from the Mayor and City Council.

The Resolution is for the benefit of the Cavanaugh Property Owners in that it provides means for division of the money realized in the sale of the property between the individual owners and the Cavanaugh Company. Also it provides the advantage, tax-wise, of executing the option under threat or imminence of condemnation.

City Solicitor, Victor Laws, stated that he had reviewed the option and the resolution and found them acceptable. This option was presented to the Urban Renewal Agency in October for approval. Certain changes concerning the appraiser's recommended appraisals had to be made and a selling price was agreed upon by the Cavanaughs after a second offer was made for the sum of $193,533. The next obstacle in the way of going ahead with this project is the time limit set by the Cavanaughs for vacating the property. They require a total of 335 days from option to closing. Minimum time allowance before the City can take over the building is 250 days and this is not controlled by the City.

After discussion and agreement that the sooner the initial steps were taken, the sooner the property would be put into parking facilities, Council agreed that the resolution and option should be acted upon.

Motion was made by Councilman Martin that the City adopt the Resolution and authorize the appropriate City Officials to give notice of the City's election to exercise the option and resolution by initialing, signing, and sealing said documents. The motion was seconded by Councilman Rodgers and unanimously carried.

Re: Sale of Property- John Pottle- Appearance, Henry Hanna

Council reviewed the action taken by the City Council at the meeting held on May 22, 1961 approving the sale of a parcel of land adjacent to the lot owned by Mr. John Pottle on North Park Drive. Mr. Pottle has had several hardships and so far has not paid for the parcel of land, the price of which is $37.50. Executive Secretary, William Perkins, pointed out on a map of the area that a proposed extension of College Avenue will go directly across the parcel of land in question and perhaps Council would have to buy it back later at a much higher price. Mr. Henry Hanna, representing a client who is interested in buying the property of Mr. Pottle, including the parcel of land in question, stated that he is of the opinion Council should stick to its original action and accept the $37.50 from Mr. Pottle and deed the land over to him. Council assured Mr. Hanna that the City will sell the property to Mr. Pottle for $37.50 as originally agreed upon but the Mayor recommended that in the future, a time limit should be set in completing the sale of property owned by the City.

Re: Award of Bid- Police Cars

Councilman David Rodgers reviewed bids received by the City on the trade in of five police cars. Bids were received from Oliphant Chevrolet and Culver Motors. Oliphant Chevrolet offered the low bid of $2,790 for five automobiles each year for three years, this year the bid price is to be $3,588. Councilman Grier questioned whether the City should bind itself in the budget for that length of time, and also questioned what the average milage was on the police cars. The answer to the last question was 25,000 miles.

Motion was made by Councilman Rodgers that the low bid from Oliphant Chevrolet be accepted. Councilman Martin seconded the motion and it was unanimously carried.

Re: Agreement, West Main Street Parking Lot

City Solicitor reviewed the Lease prepared between the City and Mr. Rounds, owner of the West Main Street Parking Lot. In substance, the lease is for a two year period, to run consecutively for five periods with the option to cancel the lease with a sixty-day notice by the City. The lease price is $250 per month and compensation for any increase in taxes over and above the 1962 assessment. The Indemnity Agreement recites that the City made the new lease at the urging of the West Main Street Merchants and they are to pick up the difference if any between the earnings of the lot and the expenses incurred in the operation of the lot, the percentage for each merchant to pay worked out among themselves. Payments are not to exceed $1,500 per year and they are to be paid in monthly installments to the City. Any excess will be refunded at the end of the fiscal year.

Re: Northwood Area

City Solicitor presented a proposed petition on behalf of the principal property owners in the Northwood Area, requesting that the area be annexed and made a part of the City of Salisbury. The petition requests all privileges and obligations be extended to the area including taxation, sanitary sewer when asked for, and the special request that the Maryland Department of Assessments and Taxation refrain from increasing tax assessments with the area for reasons of the annexation and the provision of sanitary sewer service and other municipal services.

Re: Deed to Beaglin Park Drive

The City has been asked by the County to deed over the property consisting of an unsurfaced road called Beaglin Park Drive. The purpose of this transaction would be so the County can maintain the road in their system, surface it and assume all maintenance for it.

Motion was called for and duly made by Councilman Grier to deed the property to the County. Motion was seconded by Councilman Martin and unimously carried.

Re: Recommendations, Consultants - Snuff Hill Project

Planning Director, Jim Gilman reviewed recommendations for consultants to provide Survey and Planning Services for the Snuff Hill Project. There were two firms who submitted proposals, Harland Bartholomew and Associates, Washington, D. C. - $14,000; and Hayes, Seay, Mattern and Mattern, Washington, D. C. - $9,950. Motion was made by Councilman Rodgers, seconded by Councilman Martin to accept the recommendation of the Planning Director and award the contract to the low bid from Hayes, Seay, Mattern and Mattern. Councilman Fullbrook voted Nay, and the motion was so carried.

Re: Market Anaylst - Snuff Hill Project

Mr. Gilman reported two firms specializing in compiling market reports of the type needed submitted estimates; Robert Davenports, Associates, Washington, D. C., - $3,500; and Sidney Hollander Associates, Baltimore, Md., - $5,000. Although Mr. Gilman

favored the latter firm, he recommended the former because of the considerable difference in price.

Motion was made by Councilman Rodgers, seconded by Councilman Martin, to accept the recommendation of Mr. Gilman and accept the estimate from Robert Davenports, Associates. Councilman Fullbrook voted Nay and the motion was so carried.

Re: Acquisition Appraiser - Snuff Hill

Proposals were received from three appraisers who have completed work for the Salisbury Urban Renewal Office previously. They were C. Gordon Gilbert, Baltimore, Md., - $4,250; Harris-Hanby, Inc., Wilmington, Del., - $4,920; and Alfred Truitt, Salisbury, Md., - $2,680.

Motion was made by Councilman Fullbrook, duly seconded by Councilman Rodgers and unanimously carried that Mr. Truitt be appointed acquisition appraiser on the Snuff Hill Project.

Re: Title Service - R-17 and R-19

City Solicitor reviewed the title service work on the two projects. The decision will be whether Council wants the work done locally or from Baltimore. Mr. Laws' charge for work on R-19, which consists of 28 parcels of land, is $6,335 plus $25 for each chain of ownership search. On R-17, which ;consists of 35 parcels of land, the basic fee is $5,985 plus $25 for each chain of ownership search. The service will consist of three reports: (1) a preliminary report to be sure of talking to the right property owners, (2) final title report on sales of property and (3) perimeter title report that says City has acquired all the property in the given areas.

Mr. Laws gave reasons for advisability of having Title Insurance and they are as follows: City may run into unknown heirs; sometimes there is a question of the mental capacity of an owner to sell property; and often lines of two properties do not adjoin and there is unknown ownership of the land in between.

Mr. Gilman advised Council that because of the additional work resulting from the overlap of these two projects, other arrangements should be considered for Md. R-17. Mr. Laws said he would definitely complete title service on R-19 under contract and will do R-17 if possible.

Council advised Mr. Laws to go ahead with the Title Service Work for Project R-19.

Re: New Business

Mr. Gilman advised Council that the Federal Government requires an electrical code before they will approve the loan grant on Urban Renewal Project R-19. Therefore Council was urged to proceed immediately with bringing about a solution to this problem.

Re: Meeting Dates - Special Sessions
in regard to 1963 Budget

President Laws recommended that Council set aside several meeting nights in the next two weeks in order to review the 1963 Budget and make the necessary changes or approvals.

Council concurred and the following dates and times are scheduled: Thursday, November 29 at 7:30; Monday, December 3 at 8 p.m.; Thursday, December 6th at 7:30; Monday, December 10 at 8:p.m. (regular meeting); Monday, December 17 at 8 p.m. (public hearing on the 1963 Budget).

There being no further business to come before the Chair, motion was made by Councilman Rodgers, seconded by Councilman Grier and unanimously carried that the meeting adjourn at 10:50 p.m.

President of Council

City Clerk

December 3, 1962
Date

MAYOR AND COUNCIL
OF
SALISBURY, MARYLAND

December 3, 1962

The Mayor and Council of Salisbury, Maryland, convened in special session at City Hall, 112 W. Church Street, Salisbury, Maryland at 8 p.m. on December 3, 1962.

PRESENT

Mayor Frank Morris

Councilman David Grier
Councilman David Rodgers
President of Council, Richard Laws, Presiding
Councilman W. Paul Martin, Jr.
Councilman Harry Fullbrook

IN ATTENDANCE

Executive Secretary, William M. Perkins

City Clerk, Fara Tawes; City Solicitor, Victor Laws; Treasurer, Hampton Brittingham; Building Inspector, Joe Pettus; Police Chief, William Chatham; a member of the press; and interested parties concerned.

Re: Convening and Approval of Minutes, November 26, 1962

The meeting was called to order by President of Council, Richard Laws and opened by repeating the Lord's Prayer.

Motion was called for and duly made by Councilman Martin, seconded by Councilman Rodgers and unanimously carried that the minutes of the previous regular meeting held on November 26, 1962, be approved.

Re: Electrical Code - 2nd and Final reading

City Solicitor, Victor Laws reviewed the provisions and restrictions included in the Ordinance No. 841, Electrical Code which reads:

AN ORDINANCE of The City of Salisbury adopting by reference the "National Electrical Code" of the National Board of Fire Underwriters as the electrical code of The City of Salisbury governing all electric wiring and apparatus within the City of Salisbury; adopting by reference the "List of Inspected Electrical Appliances" of Underwriters' Laboratories, Inc., as the approved list of acceptable appliances for The City of Salisbury; providing for inspections of electric wiring systems and appliances by the Middle Department Association of Fire Underwriters and for the stoppage of electric work not conforming to the National Electrical Code; and providing penalties for violation hereof.

City Solicitor explained that this Electrical Code had been re-written and was now a more simplified version of the original first draft. An electrical code has to be adopted by the City to comply with the regulations set down by the Urban Renewal Agency. This agency will not grant loans until an electrical code approved by the Board of Fire Underwriters has been adopted.

Mr. Fred Thomas of Wayne Pump Co. appeared before Council to question the wording of the Code, specifically in reference to the type of electrical work necessary for their operations.

Council explained to Mr. Thomas the City's interpretation of the phrase "shall connect" and assured him that his Company's daily operations of disconnecting various machines from the buss duct by conduit did not require continuous inspection. City's interpretation of the phrase is from the main connection only.

Mr. Russel Hopkins of Central Electric Co., as spokesman for the electricians present, questioned the lack of provision for emergency service. Mayor and Council agreed with Mr. Hopkins that "emergency service" should be clearly defined and provided for in the Ordinance and appropriate measures were taken by inserting the words "major alteration" in Section 5-49 and wording the Ordinance more clearly to include this point. Council authorized City Solicitor to make these changes in the Ordinance and assured Mr. Hopkins the Ordinance will be used by the City according to present local policy of the Underwriters.

There being no further discussion or objections of the Ordinance to come before the chair, motion was called for and duly made by Councilman Fullbrook, seconded by Councilman Rodgers and unanimously carried that the Ordinance No. 841 - Electrical Code as corrected be approved for the second and final reading and adopted.

Re: Budget Ordinance No. 843-
1st Reading

City Solicitor reviewed the Budget Ordinance No. 843, stating that it was essentially the same as previous years with the exception of the figures. The Ordinance reads:

AN ORDINANCE appropriating the necessary funds for the operation of the government and administration of The City of Salisbury for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1963, and ending December 31, 1963; establishing the levy for the General Fund and Sinking Fund purposes for said fiscal year; establishing the levy for Parking District Number One; and providing when such taxes shall be due and payable, in arrears and subject to interest.

This Ordinance cannot be adopted until after a Public Hearing has been held. Appropriate notice of this hearing has to be publicized and this year the hearing will be held on Monday, December 17th at 8 p.m.

Question was raised about time limit on budget Ordinance readings; answer - The minimum between the first reading and the second reading is six days.

Councilman Fullbrook objected to approving the first reading of the Ordinance because of the number of changes he feels should be made in the budget.

Motion was called for by the chair and duly made by Councilman Rodgers, seconded by Councilman Martin that the first reading of Ordinance 843 be approved. Councilman Fullbrook and Councilman Grier voted Nay. President of Council, Laws, broke the tie by

voting Ay on the grounds that approving the first reading is only a technicality in that the budget cannot be approved until the Ordinance has been adopted.

Executive Secretary, William M. Perkins, questioned Chair on where the Public Hearing should be held. President Laws informed him to judge public response after newspaper and radio - TV coverage and set the meeting as he sees fit.

Re: New Business

President Laws reported he had received several letters and inquiries on the poor TV reception of General CATV customers. Since the City granted the exclusive franchise to General CATV, the public feels it is up to Mayor and Council to see that a metropolitan quality picture is furnished for viewing. Councilman Fullbrook reported he had talked with representatives of the Company and had been informed that they are in the process of incorporating two systems into one and are having very much difficulty in doing so. Also, it will be at least two or three months before the two systems are integrated.

President Laws asked Mayor Morris to write the Company explaining the public's views and remind the Company of the City's position in this situation and also remind them of the regulations stated in their franchise.

There being no further business to come before the chair, the meeting was adjourned at 8:45, at which time Council met in executive session to review the proposed budget..

The next scheduled regular meeting is for Monday, December 10th at 8 p.m. with one scheduled special meeting to be held on Thursday, December 6th at 7:30 to further review the budget.

B M Laws
President of Council

Mary L. Tawes
City Clerk

Dec. 10, 1962
Date

SUGGESTED FORM OF RESOLUTION REQUESTED
FROM MAYOR & COUNCIL OF SALISBURY

Mr. W. Edgar Porter, Attorney for Cavanaugh Motors, Inc., a Maryland Corporation, and for Mrs. Olga L. Cavanaugh, acknowledged on their behalf that they had received and presented to him the form of Option Agreement recently presented to them for execution by them and by Etheline H. Rinnier for the acquisition by the City of Salisbury of land in Salisbury Election District, the first of which described in Item One of the Option comprised five (5) lots or parcels of land improvements on Camden Avenue, Circle Avenue and Market Street, and the second of which described in Item Two of the Option comprised three (3) lots or parcels of land and improvements on the West side of Market Street and the East branch of the Wicomico River. He stated that some of this property was owned solely by Cavanaugh Motors, Inc., therein called Cavanaugh Company, and some of it was owned solely by the individuals. Mr. Porter pointed out that the following was necessary:

First, to be able to show to the United States Treasury Department, Internal Revenue Service, that the execution of this Option is under threat or imminence of condemnation if they do not execute this Option, and

Second, that since the price stated therein on the third page in the amount of $193,533.00 is a lump sum figure, it is necessary to break this down between the amount thereof which is applicable to the properties of the individual owners and the amount thereof which is applicable to the properties of the Cavanaugh Company, for purposes of reporting to and dealing with the United States Treasury Department, Internal Revenue Service.

He stated the Agreement form need not be changed to cover these points so long as the City of Salisbury indicates these facts by public resolution, as explained on page 1 of Document No. 5383 issued October, 1961 by the United States Treasury Department,

Internal Revenue Service, titled "How the Federal Income Tax Applies to Condemnations of Private Property for Public Use". He therefore requested such a resolution.

Therefore, on motion duly made by_____, seconded by_____, and duly carried, the following resolution was adopted, ordered spread upon our Minutes, and that one or more certified copies thereof, as needed by Mr. Porter's clients, be furnished:

"RESOLVED that the Cavanaugh Company and the individuals to whom the aforesaid Option Agreement has now been tendered for execution be and they are hereby advised that unless the aforesaid Agreement substantially in the form submitted and/or as approved by the City Solicitor is executed by the Cavanaugh Company and the individual owners of the aforesaid properties giving the City of Salisbury the option to purchase the same in the aggregate amount therein stated and upon the other terms and conditions therein stated, it will be necessary for the City to condemn said properties upon their refusal to execute the same, for the public purposes of the City's Federal Urban Renewal Project known as URP Project Md. R-17; further, that the portion of the $193,533.00 purchase price in the Option Agreement which is considered by the City as applicable to the property of the Cavanaugh Company is $144,412.00, and further, that the portion of said total purchase price therein which is considered by the City as applicable to the property of the individual owners thereof is $49,121.00; further, that the changes made by the sellers in said agreement hereby are accepted and the Mayor is authorized to initial same to show acceptance; and further, the Mayor is authorized immediately to give notice of the City's election to exercise said Option.

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

_____

City Clerk (Seal)

MAYOR AND COUNCIL
OF
SALISBURY, MARYLAND December 10, 1962

The Mayor and Council of Salisbury, Maryland, convened in regular session at City Hall, 112 W. Church Street, Salisbury, Maryland at 8 p.m. on December 10, 1962.

PRESENT

Mayor Frank Morris

Councilman David Grier
Councilman David Rodgers
President of Council, Richard Laws, Presiding
Councilman W. Paul Martin, Jr.
Councilman Harry Fullbrook

IN ATTENDANCE

Executive Secretary, William M. Perkins

City Clerk, Fara Tawes; City Solicitor, Victor Laws; Treasurer, Hampton Brittingham; Planning Director, Jim Gilman; Director of Public Works, Philip Cooper; Police Chief, William Chatham; two members of the press; States Attorney.

Re: Convening - Approval of Minutes, December 3, 1962.

President of Council called the meeting to order and the Lord's Prayer was repeated by all present. Upon motion by Councilman Fullbrook, seconded by Councilman Grier and unanimously carried, minutes of the previous special meeting held on December 3, 1962 were approved as written.

Re: Appearance - Alfred Truitt, in re- regulations for Laundromats.

States Attorney, Alfred Truitt appeared before Council to ask for suggestions or a solution to the problem of the many thefts of coin machines and money from the all-night Laundries in the Community. These thefts occur mostly between the hours of 12 a.m. and 6 a.m. Police Chief pointed out that there is also the possibility of greater crimes being committed at these places when unescorted women use these facilities at such late hours. Mr. Truitt and Mr. Chatham are of the opinion that an ordinance controlling the hours these establishments can stay open or one requiring an attendent to be on duty at all times or during the hours of midnight and 6 a.m. should be passed. Council agreed that something should be done but advised Mr. Truitt that a meeting should first be held with the owners or operators of the Laundries to get their viewpoints before a definite procedure can be established. On this note, President Laws recommended the City Solicitor arrange a meeting with the Laundromat Operators and present a report of the findings to Council.

Re: Resolution - Snuff Hill Project - Contract Planning Advance.

City Solicitor explained the contract for the Planning Advance on the Snuff Hill Project, Contract No. Md. - R-20 (A). This is a proposed contract under which the government would make an advance of Federal Funds to the City of Salisbury to aid in financing the cost of certain surveys and plans in preparation of the Snuff Hill Project.

Council questioned the wording of the contract with respect to the fact that in it, the City will be liable for the cost of the surveying and planning if it decides not to go ahead with the project after the plans have been submitted to them for approval. Council was under the impression that the City would not be liable in this case. Mr. Gilman assured Council he had a verbal agreement with the Agency in Philadelphia to this effect. City Solicitor stated that he and Mr. Gilman are of the understanding that the City would not be liable unless the project is rejected and a few years from now another project is created out of this same planning.

Council instructed City Solicitor to re-phrase the resolution No. 13 which reads: RESOLUTION NO. 13 (UR-4) APPROVING AND PROVIDING FOR THE EXECUTION OF A PROPOSED CONTRACT FOR PLANNING ADVANCE FOR SURVEYS AND PLANS FOR URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT UNDER TITLE I OF THE HOUSING ACT OF 1949, AS AMENDED, NUMBERED CONTRACT NO. Md. R-20 (A), BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY OF SALISBURY AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and in Section I provide a more definite understanding of the City's liability if any if the project is abandoned.

Motion was made by Councilman Martin, seconded by Councilman Rodgers to approve Resolution 13 with the proviso. Councilman Fullbrook voted Nay and the motion was so carried.

Re: Eastern Shore Safety Council re Motor Vehicle Inspection Law.

Mayor Morris informed Council of an invitation received from the Eastern Shore Safety Council to attend a meeting in Easton in order to review the Motor Vehicle Inspection Law. Since Mayor or Council will be unable to attend, Council's views on the subject were solicited and the following was concurred upon. Motor Vehicle Inspection Laws should be adopted since the risk of accidents is reduced by having a safer car on the road. Council and Chief Chatham agree however, that the inspection should be on a state-wide basis rather than by private means. Therefore, motion was made by Councilman Rodgers, duly seconded by Councilman Fullbrook that the Mayor recommend by letter that inspection be done on a state controlled basis. The motion was unanimously carried.

Re: Correspondence - State Roads Commission.

Mayor and Council reviewed correspondence received from the State Roads Commission inviting them to attend a meeting in the Court House on December 11, 1962. Council was urged to attend and bear in mind that the City is mainly concerned with the completion of the proposed Industrial Highway and with completion of Route 50 from west to east rather than from east to west.

Re: Approval to Charge off Uncollectable Accounts.

Treasurer furnished Council with a list of accounts which City Solicitor and he agreed were uncollectable.

Council's permission to charge them off was asked, however, motion was made by Councilman Grier, duly seconded by Councilman Rodgers and unanimously carried to turn over all of the delinquent accounts to the Peninsula Service Bureau for collection, remove them from the books and any money collected be put back into the budget under Miscellaneous Income. Councilman Fullbrook suggested that since most of these accounts were for ambulance service, in the future, it would be wise to arrange for the ambulance fee to be charged on the user's hospital bill. The motion was unanimously carried.

Re: Northside Project.

Mayor was excused from this discussion because of conflict of interest. City Solicitor explained the problem of appointing local appraisers as negotiator for the Northside Project. Mr. John Downing and Mr. Henry Hanna have been suggested. However, the Federal Government requires that negotiators must not be compensated for their work when it involves conflict of interest property. City Solicitor suggested either using an outside negotiator to save the City an embarrassing situation; asking someone to act for the City as a public service; or have a panel of three negotiators.

Council suggested asking Mr. Downing and Mr. Hanna to work together on the project. After discussion, City Solicitor was instructed by Council to ask Mr. Downing or Mr. Hanna or both to do the job, and if this is not aggreeable ask Mr. Jack Webb if he could possibly perform this service for the City. Council's preference is for a single negotiator rather than a panel.

Re: New Business.

Councilman Rodgers asked for the opportunity to make constructive criticism of the Press. He feels that publicity on the Budget has created problems for the Council that they would not ordinarily have had.

Re: Budget.

Council met in executive session to continue reviewing the Budget. A public hearing will be held on December 17, 1962 at the Civic Center at 8 p.m. to present the Budget. Mayor Morris presented his program for increasing the water and sewer rates. A copy of a review of Sewer, Water, and Storm Drain Improvements to support his proposals are attached to these minutes and can be seen on file in the office of the City Clerk.

Much discussion on the pros and cons of the proposed Budget was aired and each Councilman voiced his individual opinions and beliefs. Mr. Cooper presented maps and charts of the areas needing attention and explained and defined to the best of his knowledge the numerous questions voiced by the Council.

On a motion made by Councilman Grier, seconded by Councilman Martin and carried, the meeting was adjourned at 11:50 p.m. to meet again in special session on December 17, 1962 at the Civic Center at 8 p.m. for the Budget Public Hearing.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| President of Council | City Clerk | Date |

CITY OF SALISBURY
SALISBURY, MD.

December 5, 1962

TO: The City Council

FROM: Mayor Francis H. Morris

SUBJECT: Sewer, Water, and Storm Drain Improvements.

The following is a list of improvements needed for the water distribution system, sewage treatment plant, sanitary sewer system, and storm water system, many of which are in need of immediate attention.

As you are aware, we have been experiencing considerable difficulty with "red water" and foreign particles present in the water. Several wells are out of service due to clogging and incrustation and are now being surveyed to determine the cause.

The amount of sludge at the Sewage Treatment Plant far exceeds the capacity of the sludge drying beds. Investigation by the Department of Public Works showed sludge farming to be the most economical method of disposal. Additional land is necessary to continue this operation on a satisfactory basis. Major improvements such as grease removal equipment and additional capacity in the digesters is a must if we are to continue to serve present industry and residences and attract additional industry.

Storm drainage is wholly inadequate in certain sections of the City and flooding conditions are prevalent after every rain causing serious damage to streets and properties.

These problems have been overlooked for a number of years but now require our immediate attention, and I cannot impress upon you too strongly our responsibility to solve these problems as quickly as possible.

| WATER PROJECTS: | ESTIMATED COST | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. (a) Dual Water Mains 16" and 8" - Locust to College Ave. * | $ 93,000 | |
| (b) Proposed Industrial Highway - Water Mains | 266,400 | |
| 2. 500,000 Gallon Storage Tank replacing Ellen Street Tank | 120,000 | |
| 3. New Aerator & Filtration Plant | 240,000 | |
| 4. Improvements in Existing Well Field | 30,000 | |
| 5. Three Additional Wells | 90,000 | |
| 6. System Improvements: | | |
| (a) 12" Main - Mitchell Rd. & Parsons Rd. | 24,000 | |
| (b) 12" Main - Penna. Ave. across Wicomico River - Ellegood St. To Marine Road | 72,000 | |
| (c) 1. 8" Main - South Division Street - replace 4" Main | 4,375 | |
| 2. With Industrial Highway | 5,225 | |
| (d) 12" Main - Snow Hill Rd. & Spring Ave. | 7,200 | |
| (e) 12" Main - Hickory Ave. to Lake St. | 48,000 | |
| 7. Rebuilding & Cataloguing Valves in System on City-Wide Basis - 20 years | 30,000 | |
| 8. Beaverdam Watershed Extension to Protect Water Source and Supply | 189,500 | |
| 9. Manhattan Shirt Extension | 40,000 | |
| | | $1,260,300 |

| SEWER PROJECTS: | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. (a) Sewer Mains - Locust to College Ave. | $ 31,350 | |
| (b) Sewer Mains - Industrial Highway | 88,650 | |
| 2. Watershed Protection Lines - City Limits to Parker's Pond, includes 2 lift stations | 280,000 | |
| 3. Sewage Treatment Plant Improvements - Degritter, High rate Digestion and Grease Removal | 120,000 | |
| 4. Separation of all Sanitary Sewers from Storm Sewers | 144,000 | |
| 5. Land for Sludge Disposal | 60,000 | |
| 6. Sanitary Sewer - Lloyd Street to Shoreland Freezers | 31,350 | |
| | | $ 755,350 |

| STORM DRAINAGE PROJECTS: | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. (a) 48" Trunk Main - Locust to College Ave. | $ 240,000 | |
| (b) 48" Trunk Main - Industrial Highway | 240,000 | |
| 2. Princeton Homes Relief Sewer | 55,200 | |
| 3. Union & Boston Ave. 10" - 18" | 36,000 | |
| 4. Philadelphia Ave. & North Division St. | 24,000 | |
| 5. 36" Trunk Sewer - Smith St., Waverly Storm System from South Blvd. to Wicomico River | 168,000 | |
| 6. 48" Trunk Sewer Coty Cox Branch | 72,000 | |
| | | $ 835,200 |
| TOTAL | | $2,850,850 |

* This project has been delayed five years.

A discussion of the various needs for water, sewer, and storm water projects is presented so that you may understand the reason for the improvements recommended, and be in a better position to allocate priority and schedule the date of construction.

## WATER PROJECTS

### 1. Proposed Industrial Highway Mains

A 16 inch transmission main along the entire length of the Industrial Highway, from the northern city limits to the southern city limits, with appropriate tie-in with the existing system is proposed. This is a back-bone transmission main and important to the proper movement of water from its source to the various storage tanks and distribution mains; at the same time it provides the essential service which will be required by any industry which will be developed along the new highway.

A parallel 8 inch main is provided on the opposite side of the road from the 16 inch main for fire and service use. This same type of design was used on the recently constructed Salisbury Parkway.

### 2. Replacing Existing Ellen Street Storage Tank:

The existing Ellen Street Tank is riddled with rust on the interior and is deeply pitted to such an extent as to make it vulnerable to major leakage at any time. It is believed that within the next ten years it will be necessary to replace this tank, and when this happens, it will be well to increase the size from 200,000 gallons to 500,000 gallons to give additional fire protection to the central business and industrial community.

### 3. New Aeration & Filtration Plant:

The exact extent of this development is not known at this time and must of necessity await the findings of the hydrologic study. It is known, however, that the aerator is in a state of decay and should be replaced with a larger capacity aerator within the next few years. The city water supply is high in CO-2 which makes it agressive and requires substantial

aeration. It is expected that the hydrologic studies will reveal a need for iron removal mechanism involving a filtration plant and possible preliminary treatment of the raw water with potassium permanganate to remove iron and manganese. The development of improved treatment facilities should be designed by a competent sanitary engineering firm, and it is expected that the cost will be in the range of that submitted - $240,000.

4. Improvements of Existing Well Field:

During the past two years, due to the lack of adequate professional engineering staff, there has been neglect in the proper maintenance of the well field. This is a highly specialized activity and requires careful attention to keep the well field producing at a high level. During the last two years it has been necessary to take out of service 4 out of the 15 deep wells because of the presence of iron and other undesirable characteristics of the raw water. At the same time, there has been a noticeable drop in the capacity of an additional 5 wells, possibly due to incrustation on the screens causing a blockage in the flow of water from the aquifer into the wells. Special attention to the redevelopment and cleaning of these wells will be required as there is no set procedure that will insure us a return of the wells to their original capacity and customary procedures in the past have not been successful on those old Kelly wells having concrete screens. New methods must be tried under the guidance of professional water specialists. I can say here, without equivocation, that unless we do give this phase of the water department adequate engineering control, we will cease to operate at the same high standard that we have enjoyed over the past ten years. I cannot urge too strongly that you give this phase of our work the attention that it deserves.

5. Three New Wells:

Three new wells are scheduled for construction in 1967 anticipating a need for an additional increment of water supply (1,500 gallon per minute) prior to completion of major new facilities around the perimeter of the city, which have been recommended under an out-of-

city program of improvement.

It is possible that the need for these new wells may develop sooner than the scheduled date. It will depend entirely upon how successful we are in restoring the existing wells, and also upon the demands which industry may place upon our system for additional water.

6. System Improvements:

All of these improvements in the existing distribution system as recommended by the Middle Department of Fire Underwriters Association at their last analysis, to eliminate areas of low water pressure.

We have not yet fully developed all of the mains necessary to implement their recommendations, and we consider it important that these improvements be made as soon as the City of Salisbury is in a position to do so.

7. This item, which in my opinion is of major importance to the operation of the city's water system, provides for the inspection, rebuilding, replacement and cataloguing of all valves in the City of Salisbury. It is estimated that this would require a 4-man crew, one of whom would have engineering training, for a period of 12 months, at an estimated cost of $30,000.

8. Beaverdam Water Shed:

The 6-Year Capital Program proposes the expansion of our water shed. It is believed that the future city water supply for many years to come will primarily be taken from deep wells in the Beaverdam Water Shed extending at least as far as Parker Pond. In order to provide for the protection of the well fields of the future, and to provide a plant site sufficient for pumping and treatment of water, it is felt that the area immediately adjacent to, and on each side of Schumaker Pond, and including Parker Pond and land on the east side of Parker Pond should be acquired for this purpose. It will also serve as an important extension of our Park system and provide for the future recreation requirements of the

citizens of Salisbury. This, I consider as a most desirable project, and one which should be undertaken at the earliest possible time before sub-divisions move in and land values sky-rocket.

9. The extension of a 12 inch main to serve the Manhattan Shirt Company has been fully discussed and commitment has already been made for this project.

SEWER PROJECTS

1. Industrial Highway:

This involves a very modest amount of sanitary sewer to serve new industry which may locate in the vicinity of the proposed Industrial Highway. The City of Salisbury is fortunate in having a very complete system of sanitary sewers, and for that reason the needs in this usually troublesome division are not great.

2. Water-Shed Protection Lines:

Anticipates the ultimate extension of sewers to protect the Water Shed which we think will eventually extend to the area of Parker Pond. This work may develop in several yearly increments as home development requires.

3. Sewage Treatment Plant Improvements:

About a year ago the Department of Public Works reported to the Council the need for re-designing the degritter and high rate the digesters at the sewage treatment plant. The function of the degritter is to remove sand which comes primarily from the combined sewers in the older section of the city.

High rate digestion will approximately double the capacity of the sewage treatment plant. We have not yet arrived at a point where this is necessary. Much of the reserve capacity of this plant, however, has been used in recent years by the Campbell Soup Company, whose effluent has a population equivalent demand only slightly less than the whole City

of Salisbury exclusive of this plant. You must prepare for enlargement of the treatment facilities at the sewage treatment plant and thus subsidize this important industry, or you must adopt a sewer ordinance similar to that presented to the Council about two years ago which will establish surcharge of sewage high in B. O. D. and suspended solids.

There is not at this time sufficient reserve capacity at the sewage treatment plant for another industry having a major sewage treatment requirement. I am suggesting that immediate steps be taken to plan these improvements, but withhold their actual construction until, in your judgement, the need is actually upon us. The estimate of $120,000 is obviously an engineering guess as we do not have engineering plans with which to properly estimate the cost.

Grease problems can be handled by; the acquisition of an eductor or similar piece of equipment which will pump the grease pit frequently much as "honey-wagon" removes solids from septic tanks in the County. The eductor has the additional advantage of being build for use in cleaning inlets and manholes on a city-wide basis.

4. Eliminate Combined Sanitary and Storm Water Sewers:

At the present time in the older section of the City there are several areas where both sanitary and storm water flow in the same main. This permits the overload of the pumping facility at the Lift Stations, it puts an undue burden at the sewage treatment plant by giving it shock load, it loads the sewage treatment plant with sand from the streets that should not be there, and it permits the discharge of sanitary sewers into the Wicomico River through diversion manholes at times of storm. All of these things could be properly removed by the construction of additional new storm drains where needed to remove this storm water from the sanitary system.

5. Several years ago difficulty was experienced in drying sludge in the sludge beds built at the time of the original construction of the sewage treatment plant. Analysis of the

problem by consultants and engineers in the Public Works Department revealed the fact that high grease content in the industrial waste created extensive problems both in the digestion tanks at the sewage treatment plant as well as retarding the drying process of sludge in the sludge beds. A sewer ordinance giving adequate control of grease content was submitted to the City Council, and as of this time the City Council has taken no action on this proposal. Experiments were made to reduce the drying time of sludge in the sludge beds by the use of alum in varying amounts, but even when a large amount of alum, which is quite expensive, was placed no appreciable improvement in drying time was experienced. Further investigation disclosed a method of sludge drying on farm land being successfully used by Hagerstown, Maryland; Lancaster, Pennsylvania and Hershey, Pennsylvania. This method was investigated and used on land presently owned by the City of Salisbury immediately adjacent to the plant and experiments with this have been highly successful and have reduced the cost of sludge handling to a fraction of its former cost. In order to further extend this method of sludge disposal, additional land is required, both for our present needs and for the needs of the future. For this reason, it is proposed that the 45 acre farm of Elisha Carey, about 600 feet removed from the sewage treatment plant property and facing Pemberton Drive, be purchased at a cost not to exceed $60,000. The land is also suitable for borrow needed in street building activity. For this reason, I recommend that the Council give favorable consideration to the purchase of this land as it represents the best known method of disposing of sludge at a cost which is much less than the construction of conventional drying facilities.

6. Sanitary Sewer - Lloyd Street- Shoreland Freezers:

This matter has been presented to the Council on previous occasions and provides for an extension of a 12 inch sanitary sewer which is adequate to handle the total effluent of the Shoreland Freezing Plant, and relieve the overloaded, undersized South Division Street

sewer main.

## STORM WATER PROJECTS

1. Industrial Highway - Storm Sewer System:

This storm water sewer not only serves as a drain for the proposed new Industrial Highway, but also provides the necessary trunk system for draining the entire southeast section of the city from the Wicomico River to Lincoln Avenue. Relief is also given to the areas in the northeast section in the vicinity of Doverdale sub-division which has been experiencing frequent flooding during periods of heavy storm.

2. Princeton Homes Relief Sewer:

Storm drainage of Princeton Homes has been discussed many times before the Council, and I suggest that it take priority over any other storm drain requirement and be built in the 1963 construction season.

3. Union Avenue and Boston Avenue:

Storm drains in these two streets will give relief to a limited residential area that has had problems in past years due to inadequate outfall storm sewers.

4. Philadelphia Avenue and North Division Street:

The same situation prevails as item # 3.

5. Smith Street-Waverly Storm System:

This is a major storm problem in the Smith Street area which has as its natural relief a new storm sewer in the bed of proposed Waverly Street. It is proposed to remove a problem that has been building up over the years and is becoming increasingly more critical as development of the area continues.

6. Coty Cox Branch:

It is expected that if the Cranberry Meadows area develops into a major industrial section,

that the residential use of the lands near Coty Cox Branch, between W. Main Street and W. Isabella Street will eventually be removed, and the land can be properly used only by the proper placing of a major storm sewer which is proposed in about the year 1967. This of course, would vary to suit plans for the development of the Cranberry Meadows area into an industrial section.

ADDITIONAL NOTES TO SEWER, WATER, AND STORM DRAIN IMPROVEMENTS

Note 1. - Page 3 - Proposed Industrial Highway Mains (1.)

If the proposed Industrial Highway is not built, a minimum of $65,000 must be spent to clear the 16 inch main from Locust Street north to College Avenue to alleviate low pressure and poor flow within the City limits.

Note 2. - Page 9 - Industrial Highway - Storm Sewer System (1.)

If the Industrial Highway is not to be built, over 2/3 of the $480,000 estimated must still be spent to eliminate flooding in the Lincoln Avenue area and the Doverdale Area.

Please note that none of these figures in this letter include the Bell Property development which is inside the City limits and the proposed Northwood Industrial Annex. These figures will come at a later date.

I am sure there will be many other requirements coming to light before the completion of this program of work, other than the ones listed above, however, I have listed the ones that appear to be most urgently needed for the proper development of the City of Salisbury.

COMPARATIVE UTILITY STATISTICS:

Following is the average monthly bill for various utilities. Even with the proposed rate increases, water and sewer would be the cheapest commodity.

| | |
|---|---|
| Average monthly electric bill | $6.00 - $8.00 |
| Two Party telephone line | 5.83 |
| C.A.T.V. monthly rate | 4.45 |
| Proposed minimum water bill | 2.17 month ($6.50 Quarter) |
| Proposed minimum sewer bill | 2.17 month ($6.50 Quarter) |

Without a central water system providing fire protection service, the average homeowner's insurance premium would be 60% higher.

BOND ISSUES - WATER AND SEWER FACILITIES - 1925 - 1960:

| Purpose | Date of Issue | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Water and Sewer Bonds | 1/1/25 | $250,000 |
| Water and Sewer Bonds | 3/1/26 | 300,000 |
| Water and Sewer Bonds | 8/1/27 | 100,000 |
| Water and Sewer Bonds | 5/1/28 | 50,000 |
| Water and Sewer Bonds | 1/1/29 | 25,000 |
| Water and Sewer Bonds | 6/1/30 | 25,000 |
| Water and Sewer Bonds | 5/1/31 | 75,000 |
| Water and Sewer Bonds | 2/1/34 | 53,000 |
| Camden Sewer | 8/1/35 | 30,000 |
| Water Supply Improvements | 2/1/36 | 55,000 |
| Water Supply Improvements | 12/1/37 | 50,000 |
| Water Supply Improvements | 1/1/37 | 61,000 |
| Water and Sewer Bonds | 11/1/38 | 50,000 |
| Sanitary Sewers | 7/1/39 | 35,000 |
| Sanitary Sewers | 10/1/40 | 35,000 |
| Sanitary Sewers | 10/1/46 | 85,000 |
| Sanitary Sewers | 6/1/48 | 25,000 |
| Water Supply Improvements | 8/1/48 | 110,000 |
| Water Supply Improvements | 8/1/48 | 140,000 |
| Incinerator and Sewage Plant | 11/1/49 | 400,000 |

Bond Issues - Water and Sewer Facilities - 1925 - 1960 (continued):

| Purpose | Date of Issue | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Water Supply and Sanitary Sewers | 12/15/50 | $180,000 |
| Water Supply and Sewers | 8/1/51 | 140,000 |
| Water Supply and Sewers | 10/15/52 | 60,000 |
| Water Supply and Sewers | 7/1/53 | 190,000 |
| Sewage Treatment Plant | 12/15/53 | 400,000 |
| Storm Drainage | 11/1/54 | 41,000 |
| Sewage Treatment Plant | 8/1/55 | 800,000 |
| Sewage Treatment Plant | 6/1/56 | 410,000 |
| Water and Sewer Bonds | 7/1/56 | 210,000 |
| Water and Sewer and Drainage | 7/1/58 | 515,000 |
| Water and Sewer and Street | 5/1/59 | 475,000 |
| Water, Sewer, Street, and City Yard | 11/1/60 | 665,000 |

Total Water and Sewer Bonds Outstanding December 31, 1961 - $2,184,000.

FIXED ASSESTS - WATER AND SEWER FACILITIES 1925--1961:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1928 - | $ 711,345 | 1945 - | |
| 1929 - | 749,005 | 1946 - | |
| 1930 - | 790,141 | 1947 - | |
| 1931 - | 928,327 | 1948 - | $1,872,083 |
| 1932 - | 959,510 | 1949 - | 2,079,645 |
| 1933 - | | 1950 - | 2,171,575 |
| 1934 - | 1,005,420 | 1951 - | 2,627,840 |
| 1935 - | 1,012,277 | 1952 - | 2,746,557 |
| 1936 - | 1,051,595 | 1953 - | 2,872,796 |
| 1937 - | 1,161,347 | 1954 - | 3,008,091 |
| 1938 - | 1,361,295 | 1955 - | 3,080,402 |
| 1939 - | 1,515,936 | 1956 - | 4,699,597 |
| 1940 - | 1,599,820 | 1957 - | 4,934,057 |
| 1941 - | 1,681,626 | 1958 - | 5,145,232 |
| 1942 - | 1,744,140 | 1959 - | 5,813,593 |
| 1943 - | 1,745,850 | 1960 - | |
| 1944 - | 1,747,911 | 1961 - | 6,668,837 |

FRANCIS H. MORRIS
Mayor

# WATER AND SEWER COST OF PROPOSED INDUSTRIAL AREAS

## Northwood Area

It is proposed that the area north of the City Limits along Route 13 to Naylor Mill Road be annexed to the City for Industrial development. This area contains about 800 acres. To serve this area with water and sewer facilities would be:

| | |
|---|---|
| Water | $123,000 |
| Sewer | 128,000 |
| Total | $251,000 |

This does not include storm drainage which can only be designed after the character of development is determined.

## Industrial Park

Approximately 500 acres south-west of the Sewage Treatment Plant known as the "Bell Property" was recently annexed to the City for the purpose of an Industrial Park as proposed in the Planning Commission's Master Plan.

The cost of water and sewer facilities to serve this area is:

| | |
|---|---|
| Water | $300,500 |
| Sewer | 136,300 |
| Total | $436,800 |

LET'S SELL WATER WISELY

Adapted from an editorial by Dr. Morris M. Cohn, Editorial Director, WATER WORKS ENGINEERING, December, 1961.

If the water works industry is to survive as a vital force in the nation's progress and prosperity, we must sell water---wisely. If we fail to do this, we may not have the funds or the facilities to provide adequate quantities and proper quality of the commodity which will be needed in the future to serve growing communities and industries for domestic, industrial and fire protection uses.

Uninformed citizens may feel these efforts not essential, because of certain unique conditions:

First, we are the purveyors of an essential product, to people who must have it, with an exclusive franchise in the areas we serve.

Second, we sell a product for which the demand quite often exceeds supply.

Third, we stock only one variety, one color, one size, one quality.

Fourth, our merchandise is accepted without question, even though it is unpackaged.

Fifth, we need no competitive advertising, no guarantees of performance, no commercials.

So, why all our concern about "wise selling" of a product that needs no selling? The answers: First, our product is so essential to our civilization that we have, in the past, and must now, fight for it, literally and legally, before it becomes ours to sell. . . . and the competition for it has never been greater than at present!

Second, our customers are not "captive"; they are often our bosses and our inability to supply their needs at all times would cause a very serious problem in public relations.

Third, the one product we sell must be perfect, palatable and plentiful, in the face of conditions which conspire to make it the opposite!

Fourth, we need no containers, but many a water works man would gladly exchange such problems for the complication of transmission, distribution, storage and pumping deficiencies!

Fifth, since we have no competition, many have followed the concept that salesmanship is unessential. This must be changed, if we are to have the proper facilities and the proper selling price for our product; both essential to enable us to supply all present and future demands for water. The long and short of this situation is that we must sell and sell wisely to attract new domestic and industrial consumers and to obtain a price from all customers

LET'S SELL WATER WISELY (continued)

that will assure an adequate return on the current investment, as well as a reserve for future improvement and expansion.

Wise selling cannot be measured by selling a product; we must sell an idea, a service, a result. Soap manufacturers do not sell their product; they sell "glamour".... "freshness"..... "soft skin."

We must sell the idea, the results of adequate water service---health.... cleanliness.. safety.... industrial growth.... low insurance rates..... home and garden beauty... dental protection.

Not only must we be salesmen in order to assure the adequacy of public water supplies to meet growing needs and to provide the quality of product and service the public deserves, but we must be wise salesmen---purvey an "idea" instead of a mundane product.

**********

MAYOR AND COUNCIL
OF
SALISBURY, MARYLAND

December 18, 1962

The Mayor and Council of Salisbury, Maryland, convened in special session at City Hall, 112 W. Church Street, Salisbury, Maryland, at 8 p.m. on December 18, 1962.

PRESENT

Mayor Francis H. Morris

Councilman David Grier
Councilman David Rodgers
Councilman Richard Laws in the Chair
Councilman W. Paul Martin, Jr.
Councilman Harry Fullbrook

IN ATTENDANCE

Executive Secretary, William M. Perkins

City Clerk, Fara Tawes
Treasurer, Hampton Brittingham
Members of the Press

Re: Convening - Approval of Minutes, December 10, 1962.

The meeting was called to order by President of Council, Richard Laws. Motion was made by Councilman Martin, seconded by Councilman Fullbrook and unanimously carried that the minutes of the meeting held on December 10th be approved as written.

Re: Letter - Eddie Bounds - Water Bill.

Executive Secretary, William M. Perkins, reported on a complaint received about a water bill charged to the property located at 904 Camden Avenue, owned by Mr. Eddie Bounds, the ~~tenant,~~ tenant who rent the property claims the charge of $98.17 is too much and feels he should be compensated for the sewer charges since the trouble resulted from a leak in a boiler and the water did not actually go into the sewer. Following discussion, motion was made by Councilman Martin that present policy dealing with such complaints be followed. The motion was seconded by Councilman Rodgers and unanimously carried.

Re: Calcium Chloride in Bulk for winter season (Bids).

Bids were sent to Pittsburgh Plate Glass, Pocahontas, Harvey Salt, Farmers and Planters. Two identical bids of $44.30 per ton were received from Harvey Salt and Farmers and Planters. Executive Secretary, William Perkins, recommended using the local firm of Farmers and Planters and motion was so made by Councilman Rodgers, seconded by Councilman Martin and unanimously carried.

Re: Invitation, City Yard, Oyster Roast.

Mayor Morris relayed an invitation to the Council from the Public Works Department inviting them to an Oyster Roast Christmas Party at City Yard on Friday Afternoon, December 21st at 3 o'clock.

Re: Christmas Holiday Schedule.

Mayor and Council instructed Executive Secretary, William M. Perkins, to notify all employees of the Christmas holiday of December 24th and December 25th.

Re: Budget.

After a 10 minute recess, Council reconvened to further study the 1963 Budget. Mayor Morris stated that he had nothing further to add to his program on the budget since he has clearly stated his views at the Public Hearing and at the numerous budget meetings. However, he did want to emphasize the importance of the following projects to be completed in this coming year.

1. City must be in a position to give Shoreland Freezer's either sewer or water or both if they are to operate this year.

2. A definite move should be made concerning the area in the Park above Schumaker Dam.

3. Annexation of the Northwood Area is important in that an electronics firm is interested in it with the condition of sanitary sewer and water connections.

4. Water Mains to Manhattan Shirt Factory have to be considered.

5. Development of new wells and water pumping capabilities are a prime factor to be included in the budget.

Councilman Rodgers asked for the floor and upon recognition by the chair, proceeded to summarize his views. As a whole, everyone (at the Public Hearing) is generally in favor of the program; everyone wants to look out for our industries; everyone wants more and better water and sewer facilities and no one wants to pay for these things.

Councilman Rodgers further stated that one aspect of the problems facing the water and sewer facilities had been over-looked and that was the health aspect. Discussion on this subject with County Health officials revealed the fact that two diseases, Hepatitus and Dysentery, are caused by contamination of surface water by sewage. Several cases have been reported in this area in the past few years. Also detergents as well as human bacteria contaminate the sewage system. Something has to be done about this problem as well as whether water rates increase or decrease.

Councilman Martin stated he would go along with the Health Department but he would like to know where the leakage of sewage is coming from. What about the Sewage Treatment plant? Our City's deep well supply is not being contaminated by sewage. This problem is in the County, not in the City; and while I, too, am concerned about protecting our health, I believe there is too much supposition to this thing. There are a lot of things about building up excess funds that trouble me. Right now, I am more interested in "Pay as you have been" rather than Pay as you Go. One of the most dangerous things in the world is unobligated funds lying dormant unless it is controlled by rule of thumb. The Mayor's proposed budget is good but I am not agreeable to some of the things in it. Storm Drainage and Storm Sewer has no business in the sewer fund. It is a street problem. I feel that we still have some unexplored land and have obligations at Beaverdam. If it is for our water protection, I am all for it. We have an obligation to Shoreland

Freezers and should give them all the relief we can.

Councilman Rodgers next stated that the only thing on which we can base the amount of money we need is to plan for things that have to be done right now.

Councilman Martin reiterated the statement that before we can solve problems we have to know what they are and where they exist.

Councilman Grier said he had not thought of the point of health brought up by Councilman Rodgers and would like to have more time to discuss this and think of it but feels that there is not enough time between now and December 27 to consider any problem other than the budget. He thinks (Councilman Grier) that Mayor Morris' idea of setting up a water commission a very good one and should be composed of people interested in water problems. (He named a few such persons.) Then these problems should be brought before the Council. We do not have enough time to decide what the problems are now but I do think we should think it out.

President Laws stated he had no confidence in a commission. We do not need a commission to tell us we have problems. We know we have problems. What I would like to see is what we can do to adjust our maximum problems.

Mayor Morris replied to that statement, sewer is not an absolute immediate problem. It could be eliminated under immediate problems.

A statement was made at the Hearing that water should come out of the general fund. We have to decide things that must be done, planned for and paid for within the next five years.

Councilman Fullbrook stated that he thinks before we can answer that question about things to be done in the next five years, another question has to be resolved, and that is the problem of how we are going to pay for these things. I do not see how we can set a time limit without knowing how things are to be financed.

Mayor Morris replied that we must first decide on our goals, adjust our means to reach the goals, or set the goals lower. I am concerned about meeting Underwriter's requirements.

To this, Councilman Fullbrook stated he had talked to Tom Parker and others at the time the Underwriters came through and gave us a list of things to be done. At that time, they gave us additional recommendations that they thought the City should be doing although they were not mandatory.

Mayor Morris then stated that if we are to put ourselves on a current basis, I assume that then we are simply to forget about the letter from the Underwriters. Watershed protection lines, tank replacements, areator at the Sewage Treatment Plant, improvements to existing well fields, the Sanitary Sewer at Lloyd Street and three new wells are what I consider important problems.

Councilman Martin then contributed the remark that a $70,000 surplus in the water system would do a lot of work in the next year.

Mayor Morris said no matter what is decided, I want everybody to have enough unity to do a good job in whatever we do.

Councilman Martin went on to say the surplus would provide enough income and the most important thing is our water supply.

Councilman Fullbrook answered that he was at a loss of words about what to do about the water system. Apparently we are going along in no order not knowing what we are doing, trying to get the sewer system and the water rate to jibe. We must establish sound basic accounting principles.

Councilman Martin questioned Mr. Fullbrook on whether the City is showing a deficit in our sewer system. Councilman Fullbrook replied that had we not had the sewer system, we would have a large profit from our water system. The Sewer System is not paying for itself. It is in the same position the Water System was a few years back. The question is where are we going to get the revenues? I think we should work out this budget, get it on the same basis as last year and work ourselves out of it. The higher we put the rate, the less water is going to be used. Let me emphasize that I do not think I have gotten the final answer. I would like to take the budget, go through each department and either approve each one of them or take out items we do not want.

Recess was called by President Laws and after informal discussion, the meeting was re-called to order and motion was called for by the Chair on a decision about the water and sewer rates.

Motion was made by Councilman Martin to leave the water rate at the present rate of the 33 cent level, that the sewer rate go to 100% of the water rate and that the surplus in the sinking fund be applied to the deficit in the sewer fund and the surplus in the water fund be used for capital improvements. The motion was seconded by Councilman Rodgers and unanimously carried. Council further stated on this motion that the minimum bill was to be $10. If out of town, the bill for sewer would be 1 - 1/2 times the water rate as it is now.

Re: New Business.

Council further discussed the employee pay plan and on this subject, it was decided to leave the work day hours at the present time of 9 til 5.

Councilman Grier said he would go on record as agreeing with the Mayor that the hours should be from 8 til 5.

Re: Approval of Ordinance No. 844 - Water and Sewer Rates - first reading.

Ordinance No. 844 which reads: AN ORDINANCE of The City of Salisbury amending and supplementing Sec. 17-37 and 17-39 of the Salisbury City Code, 1959, for the purposes of revising the sewer rental charges thereby fixed, making said charges as revised effective on January 1, 1963, and thereafter unless and until subsequently revised or changed, and establishing a maximum annual sewer rental charge for any single customer whose property is connected with the sanitary sewer system of the City and establishing minimum annual sewer rental charges; was introduced.

There being no further business to come before the chair, Councilman Martin moved that the meeting adjourn at 10:25 to meet again on December 26th at 7 p.m. for further discussion. Councilman Grier seconded the motion and it was carried.

P. M. Tawes
President of Council

Fran L. Tawes
City Clerk

Jan 14, 1963
Date

MAYOR AND COUNCIL
OF
SALISBURY, MARYLAND

December 26, 1962

The Mayor and Council of Salisbury, Maryland convened in special session at City Hall, 112 W. Church Street, Salisbury, Maryland, at 7 p. m. on December 26, 1962.

PRESENT

Mayor Francis H. Morris

Councilman David Grier
Councilman David Rodgers
Councilman Richard Laws, Presiding
Councilman W. Paul Martin, Jr.
Councilman Harry O. Fullbrook

IN ATTENDANCE

Executive Secretary, William M. Perkins
City Clerk, Fara L. Tawes
City Solicitor, Victor Laws
Treasurer, Hampton Brittingham

Re: Convening, Approval of Minutes.

The meeting was called to order and the Lord's Prayer repeated by all present. Motion was made by Councilman Rodgers, seconded by Councilman Grier and unanimously carried to approve the minutes of the special meeting held on December 18, 1962 as written.

Re: Adoption of water and sewer rate - Ordinance No. 844 and budget Ordinance No. 843.

President of Council asked the Council to concur on the Budget Items in the Agenda first since Councilman Rodgers had to leave early.

Mayor stated the budget was completed. He went on to say that $18,000 was needed to make the regular budget balance and this was taken care of by taking $5,000 out of the zoo; $2,000 from the oiling program; $5,000 from re-surfacing streets and $6,000 from re-surfacing sidewalk fund.

Mayor also stated that he had picked up provision for one engineer. One half from the water fund and one half from the sewer fund. Councilman Grier questioned what the salary for a new engineer would be. Answer: $8,000.

Councilman Martin said he did not believe a new engineer was an absolute necessity and should be evaluated.

Councilman Fullbrook stated that he believes the matter should be discussed further before hiring one. Councilman Martin agreed.

Councilman Rodgers questioned addition of new facilities at the West-side Rest Room. Executive Secretary reported an addition of new toilets making two on each side.

Councilman Fullbrook questioned what adjustments have been made in the water and sewer rates of large industries. (Swift and Co. and Campbell Soup Co.)

City Solicitor then reviewed Ordinance #844 which reads: AN ORDINANCE of The City of Salisbury amending and supplementing Sec. 17-37 and 17-39 of the Salisbury City Code, 1959, for the purposes of revising the sewer rental charges thereby fixed, making said charges as revised effective on January 1, 1963, and thereafter unless and until subsequently revised or changed, and establishing a maximum annual sewer rental charge for any single customer whose property is connected with the sanitary sewer system of the City and establishing minimum annual sewer rental charges.

This ordinance deals with water and sewer rates. A copy is attached to these minutes. City Solicitor further explained that this ordinance amends the City Charter in that up to this date it provides for minimum charges but never for a maximum charge.

Councilman Fullbrook questioned the wording of the ordinance, the striking of some rates and the addition of others, and stated that when the City went on the metered rates, those things were left in the Ordinance.

City Solicitor explained that legally and in his opinion, that for purposes of billing large industry, Council will want to pass a valid ordinance and not one subject to question or doubt. The City cannot bill one company one rate and another company another rate. In my opinion (City Solicitor), the only way to avoid this is to set a maximum as well as a minimum charge.

Councilman Fullbrook then asked couldn't you (the City) have charged the top water rate and gotten the same results?

Mayor answered that we have to present a more realistic view next year. In a sense, we are trying to protect two businesses with this ordinance.

Councilman Grier stated that we must realize this ordinance is a stop-gap for this one year only until we can get a better basis next year.

Councilman Fullbrook stated he feels that with this (ordinance) we have gotten ourselves in an unhealthy position.

Mayor replied, I feel that we could live with these rates certainly for one year.

Councilman Martin concluded that Council has done what it has thought best. Therefore, motion was made by Councilman Martin to adopt Ordinance #844 dealing with water and sewer rates. The motion was seconded by Councilman Grier. Councilman Fullbrook voted nay and the motion was so carried.

At this time, Ordinance No. 843, Budget Ordinance which reads: AN ORDINANCE appropriating the necessary funds for the operation of the government and administration of The City of Salisbury for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1963, and ending December 38, 1963; establishing the levy for the General Fund and Sinking Fund purposes for said fiscal year; establishing the levy for Parking District Number One; and providing when such taxes shall be due and payable, in arrears and subject to interest; was reviewed and approved for second and final reading. Motion was made by Councilman Rodgers, seconded by Councilman Martin that Ordinance No. 843 be adopted as amended. The motion was unanimously carried.

Re: Approval - Appointments, Airport Commission.

Executive Secretary reported on a letter received from County Commissioners requesting Council concurrence in appointments to the Commission for period of one year. After discussion about the condition the Airport is in, Councilman Rodgers made a motion to concur on the County nominations for the Airport Commission. They are as follows: Walter Mullikin, J. William Gordy, Glen Benedict, Marshall Moore and Robert B. White.

The motion was seconded by Councilman Grier and unanimously carried. Later in the meeting, Councilman Fullbrook stated he would like to give more thought to the subject of the Airport Commission. Council shouldn't appoint men and forget about them. We should insist on a commission that will work toward fixing up the Airport, make it a 50% responsibility with the County. Mayor and rest of Council agreed and President Laws recommended setting up a meeting with the County Commissioners on this matter.

At this point in the meeting, Councilman Rodgers was excused at 7:45 p.m.

Re: Appointment - Civil Defense Commission.

President Laws appointed Councilman David Grier to represent City Council on the Civil Defense Commission.

At this time, Mayor asked Council to be thinking about and considering persons for a new water and sewer commission to study the problems facing the City in these categories. The commission should consist of a minimum of five and a maximum of seven. No City employee should be a member in an official capacity.

Dump Truck. Re: Award of Bids.

Executive Secretary reported on bids received for a 4-6 cu. yd. capacity dump truck complete with body. Bids received were as follows: Oliphant Chevrolet - $4,469. Cavanaugh Motors, Inc. - $4,449. Quillin-Valliant Inc. - $4,487.03. Motion was made by Councilman Martin, seconded by Councilman Grier and unanimously carried to accept the low bid of $4,449 from Cavanaugh Motors.

Salt Spreader.

Executive Secretary recommended accepting the high bid on the material spreader.

Reason for this was that the low bidder's product does not meet all the specifications. Bids were received from S. J. Meek's & Son of Washington, D. C. in the amount of $1, 971; and from McClung - Logan Equipment Co., of Baltimore, Md. - $2, 158. 25. Council concurred with recommendations and motion was made by Councilman Fullbrook seconded by Councilman Martin and unimously carried to accept the high bid of $2, 158. 25 from McClung-Logan Equipment Co., Inc.

Gasoline and Oil.

Executive Secretary gave explanation on bids received for gasoline and oil. An abstract of the bids received is attached to these minutes. Low bidders were:
Gasoline regular - Gulf Oil Co.
Gasoline premium - Bids to be rejected. ( desired results have not been obtained)
Diesel Fuel Oil - Sinclair Refining Co.
Heating Fuel Oil - Sinclair Refining Co.
Kerosene - Taylor Oil Co.

Motion was made by Councilman Martin, seconded by Councilman Grier and unanimously carried to accept the recommended low bid - an aggregated total of $947. 26 from Gulf Oil Corp.

While on this subject, Mayor asked that Executive Secretary issue a directive stating that no one was to fill up City vehicles with the gasoline at the Fire House, including City police cars, with the exception of week-end emergencies when the City Yard is closed. This gasoline is for Fire Department use only.

Re: Sub-division- Maude Truitt- Priscilla Street.

Executive Secretary reported on the matter of a sub-division requested by Maude Truitt. She wants to divide one lot into two lots. All requirements have been approved by the Department of Public Works. Council concurred on recommendation that the sub-division be approved.

Re: New Business.

City Solicitor reported the lease was back from the Salisbury Battery Company (West Main Street Merchants and Fulton Rounds Parking Lot). He reviewed for Council wording of the lease on the subject of tenants moving out and not keeping up their share of the rent difference. The remaining merchants will not be liable for this portion but the City will bear the loss. Therefore a two year term lease has been drawn up to protect the interests of the City.

Mayor reported that Public Works Director, Philip Cooper, would like to come before Council about the matter of the City Garage. He feels very strongly against moving the City Garage out of the Public Works Department and would like to present the whole case before Council himself. If the garage should be left in the Public Works Department, he will strive for better operation next year.

Discussion followed and Council agreed to hear him on this matter at the next Council meeting.

Councilman Fullbrook questioned whether records were being kept. Executive Secretary reported he had set up a record-keeping system but it had not been properly supervised mostly because of short-handedness and also because of breaking in a new man.

There being no further new business to come before the chair, motion was made by Councilman Grier, seconded by Councilman Martin and unanimously carried to adjourn at 8:10 to meet again in regular session on January 14, 1963 at 8 p.m.

President of Council

City Clerk

Jan 14, 1963
Date

TABULATION OF BIDS

DATE: December 14, 1962
Friday, 3:00 P.M.

BID NO. ST - 1 - 63

PROJECT:

Contemplates the furnishing and delivery of Material Spreader Equipment, Budget Year 1963.

| ITEM NO. | DESCRIPTION | QUANITY |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Furnishing and delivery of one (1) 5 cu yard, steel body, 8 foot long, material spreader powered by an 18 H.P. side mounted engine equipped with Auger Conveyor, Discharge Chute, Spreader Mechanism and Width Control Flaps. | - 1 - |

| BIDDER | AMOUNT BID |
|---|---|
| S. J. MEEKS' & SON<br>622 G. STREET, N.W.<br>WASHINGTON 1, D.C. | $ 1,971.00 |
| PIONEER SALT CO.<br>940 NORTH DELAWARE AVE.<br>PHILADELPHIA 23, PENN. | $ NO BID |
| MC CLUNG - LOGAN EQUIPMENT CO., INC.<br>4601 WASHINGTON BLVD.<br>BALTIMORE 27, MARYLAND | $ 2,158.25 |
| GENERAL SUPPLY & EQUIPMENT CO. INC.<br>530 EAST 25th STREET<br>BALTIMORE 18, MARYLAND | $ NO BID |

BID AWARDED TO:- MC CLUNG-LOGAN EQUIP., CO.

I concur with the recommendation of Public Works Department that "Big Scottie" would be the best model to purchase.

Gordon B. Sickmund

GORDON B. SICKMUND,
Purchasing Agent

TABULATION OF BIDS

DATE: December 18, 1962
3:00 PM Tuesday

BID NO. A - 1 - 63-(1)

PROJECT:

Contemplates the furnishing and delivery of ONE (1) Dump Body Truck complete with Body, 1963 Model, Minimum Gross Vehicle Weight of 22,000 Lbs. It shall be either a Ford Model F-700, Chevrolet Model C-6203H, International Model 1700, or specifically approved equal.

| ITEM NO. | DESCRIPTION | QUANITY |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Furnishing and Delivering of Dump Truck with a 4-6 cu yd capacity body as per Specifications. | 1 |

| BIDDER | MAKE | AMOUNT BID |
|---|---|---|
| Beach's Garage<br>Hebron, Maryland | Chevrolet | $ NO BID |
| Pittsville Motors Inc.<br>Pittsville, Maryland | Ford | $ NO BID |
| Oliphant Chevrolet Sales<br>S. Salisbury Blvd.<br>Salisbury, Maryland | Chevrolet | $ 4,469.00 |
| Cavanaugh Motors Inc.<br>N. Salisbury, Blvd.<br>Salisbury, Maryland | Ford | $ 4,449.00 |
| Bozman Motors<br>Crisfield, Maryland | Dodge | $ NO BID |
| Quillin-Valliant Inc.<br>S. Salisbury Blvd.<br>Salisbury, Maryland | International | $ 4,487.03 |

I concur with the recommendation of Public Works Department that the contract be awarded to Cavanaugh Motors on the basis of low bid.

Very Truly Yours,

Gordon B. Sickmund

Gordon B. Sickmund,
Purchasing Agent

ORDINANCE NO. 843

AN ORDINANCE appropriating the necessary funds for the operation of the government and administration of The City of Salisbury for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1963, and ending December 31, 1963; establishing the levy for the General Fund and Sinking Fund purposes for said fiscal year; establishing the levy for Parking District Number One; and providing when such taxes shall be due and payable, in arrears and subject to interest.

WHEREAS, A levy at the rate of $1.00 per $100.00 of assessed valuation of all property subject to taxation by The City of Salisbury for General Fund purposes for the fiscal year commencing January 1, 1963, and ending December 31, 1963 (said assessed valuation being estimated at $71,845,000.), together with the estimated surplus carried forward from the fiscal year of 1962, and all other revenues available for the general purposes of the City to be received by the City during the fiscal year commencing January 1, 1963, and ending December 31, 1963, will yield the total sum of $1,171,561.; and

WHEREAS, A levy at the rate of 26¢ per $100.00 of assessed valuation of all property subject to taxation by the City of Salisbury for Sinking Fund purposes for the fiscal year commencing January 1, 1963, and ending December 31, 1963 (said assessed valuation being estimated at $68,554,000.), together with the estimated surplus carried forward from the fiscal year of 1962, will yield the total sum of $184,151. for Sinking Fund purposes during the fiscal year of 1963; and

WHEREAS, The aforesaid total yields of said levies have been computed after appropriate deductions for uncollected taxes of $34,925. with respect to the General Fund levy and $8,912. with respect to the Sinking Fund levy; and

WHEREAS, The new water rates and charges, together with the estimated surplus from the fiscal year of 1962, will yield the total sum of $356,514. available for the purposes of the Water Department of The City of Salisbury during the fiscal year of 1963; and

WHEREAS, The new sewer rental charges, together with the

estimated surplus from the fiscal year of 1962, will yield the total sum of $401,698. available for the purposes of the Sewer Department of The City of Salisbury during the fiscal year of 1962; and

WHEREAS, A levy at the rate of 60¢ per $100.00 of assessed valuation of all real and tangible personal property located in Parking District Number One, (said assessed valuation being estimated at $6,987,800.), together with all other revenues from said District and the estimated surplus carried forward from the fiscal year of 1962, will yield the total sum of $115,734.

SECTION 1. NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED AND ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, That the total sum of $1,171,561. is hereby appropriated for the general purposes of The City of Salisbury for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1963, and ending December 31, 1963, to be expended as shown in "1963 ANNUAL BUDGET" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED AND ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, That the total sum of $184,151. is hereby appropriated for the Sinking Fund purposes of The City of Salisbury, to be used for the redemption of bonds of the City and for the payment of interest accruing upon the bonds of the City during the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1963, and ending December 31, 1963, (said sum so appropriated being exclusive of the amounts appropriated from sewer and water revenues for such redemption of bonds and payment of interest); and

SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED AND ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, That the total sum of $356,514. (including $64,948. for redemption of bonds and payment of interest) is hereby appropriated for the purposes of the Water Department of The City of Salisbury for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1963, and ending December 31, 1963, to be expended as shown in "WATER FUND REVENUE AND EXPENDITURES" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.

SECTION 4. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED AND ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, That the total sum of $401,698. (including $135,550. for redemption of bonds and payment of interest) is hereby appropriated for the purposes of the Sewer Department of The City of Salisbury for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1963, and ending December 31, 1963, to be expended as shown in "SEWER FUND REVENUE AND EXPENDITURES" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.

SECTION 5. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED AND ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, That the total sum of $115,734. is hereby appropriated for the purposes of Parking District Number One for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1963, and ending December 31, 1963, to be expended as shown in "PARKING DISTRICT NUMBER ONE FUND" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.

SECTION 6. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED AND ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, That a levy for the general purposes of The City of Salisbury at the rate of One Dollar ($1.00) per One Hundred Dollars ($100.00) of the assessed valuation of all property subject to taxation for General Fund purposes by The City of Salisbury be, and the same hereby is, made for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1963, and ending December 31, 1963, and a levy for the purpose of the payment of interest on the bonded indebtedness and for the retirement of bonds and notes issued under the several Ordinances and Resolutions of The City of Salisbury at the rate of Twenty-six Cents (26¢) per One Hundred Dollars ($100.00) of the assessed valuation of all property subject to taxation for Sinking Fund purposes by The City of Salisbury be, and the same hereby is, made for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1963, and ending December 31, 1963, making in all a total levy of One Dollar and Twenty-six Cents ($1.26) for said fiscal year beginning January 1, 1963, and ending December 31, 1963.

SECTION 7. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED AND ORDAINED BY THE

COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, That a levy for the purpose of the special assessment district known as Parking District Number One at the rate of Sixty Cents (60¢) per One Hundred Dollars ($100.00) of the assessed valuation of all real and tangible personal property located in said District be, and the same is hereby, made for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1963, and ending December 31, 1963.

SECTION 8. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED AND ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, That the taxes levied in Sections 6 and 7 of this Ordinance shall be due and payable January 1, 1963, and shall be in arrears and subject to interest at the rate of one-half (1/2) of one per cent (1%) per month, or fraction thereof, on and after July 1, 1963.

SECTION 9. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED AND ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY, That this Ordinance shall take effect from and after the date of its final passage.

The above Ordinance was introduced at a meeting of the Council of Salisbury on the 3d day of December, 1962, and having been published as required by law in the meantime, was finally passed at its meeting held on the ___ day of December, 1962.

_____
President of the Council

APPROVED by me this _____ day of December, 1962.

_____
Mayor of Salisbury

ORDINANCE NO. 844

AN ORDINANCE of The City of Salisbury amending and supplementing Sec. 17-37 and 17-39 of the Salisbury City Code, 1959, for the purposes of revising the sewer rental charges thereby fixed, making said charges as revised effective on January 1, 1963, and thereafter unless and until subsequently revised or changed, and establishing a maximum annual sewer rental charge for any single customer whose property is connected with the sanitary sewer system of the City and establishing minimum annual sewer rental charges.

WHEREAS the sewer rental charges now in effect pursuant to Sec. 17-37 and 17-39 of the Salisbury City Code, 1959, must be revised in accordance with the 1963 annual budget of The City of Salisbury and the appropriations thereby made and established for the purposes of the Sewer Department; and

WHEREAS in connection with such revision and in view of the City's efforts to retain all present industries and encourage the expansion thereof and to promote the location of new and additional industries in the community for the community's general welfare and prosperity, it is desirable and in the public interest to establish a maximum annual sewer rental charge for any single customer whose property now or hereafter is connected with the City's sanitary sewer system;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED AND ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SALISBURY THAT:

Section 1. Sec. 17-37 of the Salisbury City Code, 1959, be, and same hereby is, amended and supplemented as follows:

(A) In clause (a) thereof strike the words "seventy-five per cent of".

(B) In clause (b) thereof strike the first tabulation of fixtures and charges and insert in lieu thereof the following tabulation of fixtures and charges:

| | |
|---|---|
| First sink or outlet | $14.20 |
| Each additional sink | 1.40 |
| First closet | 2.80 |
| Each additional closet | 1.40 |
| First bathtub | 2.85 |
| Each additional bathtub | 1.40 |
| Each washstand | 1.40 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Each laundry tub or connection for laundry purposes | 4.30 |
| First hose connection | 7.10 |
| Each additional hose connection | 1.40 |
| First drinking fountain | 7.10 |
| Each additional drinking gountain | 4.30 |
| First urinal | 2.80 |
| Each additional urinal | 1.40 |
| Shower stalls, in separate compartments and not over bathtub | 2.80 |
| Fish pools (piped) | 7.10 |
| Automatic clothes washers (piped) | 4.30 |
| Automatic dish washers (piped) | 2.80 |
| Automatic garbage grinders (piped) | 2.80 |

(C) In clause (b) thereof strike the second tabulation of fixtures and charges appearing therein and insert in lieu thereof the following tabulation of fixtures and charges:

| | |
|---|---|
| First sink or outlet | $21.30 |
| Each additional sink | 2.10 |
| First closet | 4.20 |
| Each additional closet | 2.10 |
| First bathtub | 4.20 |
| Each additional bathtub | 2.10 |
| Each washstand | 2.10 |
| Each laundry tub or connection for laundry purposes | 6.45 |
| First hose connection | 10.65 |
| Each additional hose connection | 2.10 |
| First drinking fountain | 10.65 |
| Each additional drinking fountain | 6.45 |
| First urinal | 4.20 |
| Each additional urinal | 2.10 |
| Shower stalls, in separate compartments and not over bathtub | 4.20 |
| Fish pools (piped) | 10.65 |
| Automatic clothes washers (piped) | 6.45 |
| Automatic dish washers (piped) | 4.20 |
| Automatic garbage grinders (piped) | 4.20 |

(D) Add thereto as clause (d) thereof following said second tabulation the following:

"(d) Anything in this division to the contrary notwithstanding, the maximum annual aggregate sewer rental charges for any single customer whose property now or hereafter is connected with the City's sanitary sewer system, whether located inside or outside of the corporate limits, shall be Twelve Thousand Dollars ($12,000.00)."

Section 2. Sec. 17-39 of the Salisbury City Code, 1959, be, and hereby is, amended by striking therefrom the words "fifteen" and "twenty-two dollars and fifty cents" and inserting in lieu thereof,

respectively, the words "twenty" and "thirty".

Section 3. The foregoing amendments shall become effective on January 1, 1963, and shall remain in effect after said date unless and until revised by subsequent ordinance of this Council; provided, however, that for the purpose of the first billing under the new rates, if such first bill covers in part a period of time prior to January 1, 1963, when the prior rates were in effect, it shall not be necessary to read water meters on December 31, 1962, to establish water usage under the prior rates, but such water usage during the regular billing period shall be prorated per month, and the sewer service charges shall be calculated from such prorated water usage in accordance with the appropriate applicable sewer service rate.

The above Ordinance was introduced at a special meeting of the Council of Salisbury held on the ~~26~~ 18th day of December, 1962, and, having been published as required by law in the meantime, was finally passed at its meeting held on the ____ day of December, 1962.

_____
President of the Council

APPROVED by me, this _____
day of December, 1962.

_____
Mayor of Salisbury

CITY OF SALISBURY

BUREAU OF PURCHASES

ABSTRACT OF BIDS DECEMBER 26, 1962

| | MOTOR OILS, LUBE | | | | | TRANSMISSION OILS | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | S-1 | 20-40 | 10-30 | HYD O. | SAE 10 ND | SAE 140 | SAE 250 | CHASS LUB | CUP GREASE | WATER P.GRE |
| ATLANTIC REF | .55 | 1.01 | 1.01 | .54 | .38 | .113 | .071 | .195 | .116 | .28 |
| GULF OIL COR | .52 | .60 | 1.15 | [illegible] | .46 | .1475 | .090 | .18 | .195 | .195 |
| SINCLAIR REF | .62 | .56 | .85 | .50 | .48 | .1375 | .050 | .1175 | .135 | .2125 |
| TAYLOR OIL | .576 | .783 | 1.07 | .49 | .54 | .129 | .127 | .119 | .168 | --- |
| SOUTHERN ST. | .90 | .90 | 1.07 | --- | .75 | .17 | -- | .17 | .19 | --- |
| TIDEWATER O. | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| AMERICAN OIL | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| POCAHONTAS,I. | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| SHELL OIL CO. | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| HUMBLE OIL | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LAWS CORP. | .72 | .95 | 1.27 | .66 | .73 | .15 | --- | .195 | .215 | --- |
| George L. Ralph | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |

LOW BIDDERS:

Gulf Oil Corp, Low with a Bid of the Aggreated total of $947.26.
Next Low Bid was Sinclair Refining Co. with a bid of $1,025.41.

I recommend that Gulf Oil Corp be awarded the Contract.

Very truly yours,

Gordon B. Sickmund

Gordon B. Sickmund,
Purchasing Agent

CITY OF SALISBURY

BUREAU OF PURCHASES

ABSTRACT OF BIDS DECEMBER 26, 1962

| DEALERS | GASOLINES REGULAR ALL - | PREM LESS | DIESEL FUEL FUEL 2 PER GALLON | OIL 2 | KERO SENE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ATLANTIC REFINING CO. | .174<br>.0466 | .209<br>.0466 | --- | --- | --- |
| GULF OIL CORP | .174<br>.0466 | .209<br>.0566 | .152<br>.0398 | .152<br>.0398 | .162<br>.0398 |
| GEORGE L. RALPH, INC. | --- | ---- | ---- | --- | --- |
| SINCLAIR REFINING CO. | .1740<br>.0447 | --- | .15<br>.0403 | .15<br>.0403 | .16<br>.0403 |
| TAYLOR OIL CO. | .1740<br>.0386 | .209<br>.0486 | .15<br>.0381 | .15<br>.0381 | .16<br>.0405 |
| SOUTHER ST TES PETRO., CO. | .159<br>.015 | .174<br>.02 | ---- | .155<br>.036 | .165<br>.036 |
| TIDEWATER OIL CO. | ---- | ---- | --- | --- | --- |
| AMERICAN OIL CO. | .172<br>.039 | .217<br>--- | .15<br>.039 | .15<br>.039 | .16<br>.039 |
| POCAHONTAS, INC. | ---- | --- | ---- | --- | --- |
| SHELL OIL CO. | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| HUMBLE OIL CO. | ---- | ---- | --- | --- | --- |
| LAWS CORP. - MOBILE OIL. | .159<br>.015 | ---<br>--- | .155<br>.015 | .15<br>.025 | .16<br>.015 |

LOW BIDDERS:

GASOLINE REGULAR - GULF OIL CO.
GASOLINE PREMIUM * BIDS TO BE REJECTED
DIESEL FUEL OIL - SINCLAIR REFINING CO.
HEATING FUEL OIL - SINCLAIR REFINING CO.
KEROSENE - TAYLOR OIL CO.

The above recomendations are made due to the lowest bid. The Bids on Premium Gasoline have been rejected as desired results were not obtained.

Very truly yours,
Gordon B. Sickmund
Gordon B. Sickmund,
Purchasing Agent

Ort. 1

THIS OPTION, made this _____ day of October, 1962, by Olga L. Cavanaugh, widow, Ethelyn H. Rinnier and Leslie J. Rinnier, her husband, Patrick H. Cavanaugh, Jr., and Juliette W. Cavanaugh, his wife, all of Wicomico County, State of Maryland, hereinafter called the "Individual Sellers"; Cavanaugh Motors, Inc., a Maryland corporation, hereinafter called "Cavanaugh Company"; and Union Trust Company of Maryland, a Maryland banking corporation, hereinafter called the "Mortgagee"; all said persons and corporations being hereinafter collectively called the "Sellers".

WITNESSETH: That in consideration of the sum of One Dollar ($1.00), receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, and other good and valuable considerations, the Sellers do hereby give and grant unto The City of Salisbury, a municipal corporation of the State of Maryland, hereinafter called the "City", the exclusive option, right and privilege to purchase in fee simple from the Sellers, during the period of sixty (60) days commencing with the date hereof and expiring at 12:00 midnight local time on _____, _____, 1962, all those lots or parcels of land situate and lying in the City of Salisbury and in Salisbury Election District of Wicomico County, Maryland, and more particularly identified or described as follows:

ITEM 1: All those five lots, parts of lots or parcels of land binding on the South side of Camden Street, on the East side of Market Street and on the North side of Circle Avenue, identified as Lots Nos. 3, 4, 16, 17 and 18 in Block B on map entitled "Property Map Central Business District Project (URP Project Md. R-17)", dated June, 1962, authenticated copies whereof are in the possession of the Sellers and the City; together with all right, title and interest of the Sellers, if any, in and to Lot 5, in Block B, on said property map and in the beds of said streets on which all said Lots Nos. 3, 4, 5, 16, 17 and 18 in said Block B abut; comprising and being intended to include all land and interests therein, howsoever acquired, of Cavanaugh Company in the city block bounded by Camden Street, South Division Street, Circle Avenue and Market Street in said City of Salisbury.

ITEM 2: All those three lots, parts of lots or parcels of land binding on the West side of Market Street and the East side of the East Branch of the Wicomico River and bounded on the North by the lands now or formerly owned by George T. and Ida Gordy and bounded on the South by other lands of the City; said lots being designated and

identified as Lots 3, 4 and 5 in Block A on said map, together with all right, title and interest, if any, of the Sellers in and to the bed of said Market Street, and all riparian rights, if any, of the Sellers in said river or arising by reason of said frontage thereon; comprising and being intended to include all lands and rights of the Individual Sellers, howsoever acquired, in Block A on said map.

TOGETHER, in each case, with the improvements thereon and all the rights, alleys, ways, waters, and other appurtenances and advantages thereof.

The option, rights and privileges hereby granted to the City are granted subject to the following terms and conditions:

1. Title, etc.: If the City shall exercise its purchase option hereby granted in accordance with the terms hereof, Sellers covenant and agree to convey the aforesaid premises to the City in fee simple, by a good and merchantable title insurable without exceptions by a reputable title insurance company doing business in the state of Maryland and selected by the City; provided that the cost of title insurance and of title examination therefor shall, in such event, be borne by the City. If such examination discloses the existence of a title defect or defects which would cause said title insurance company to insert exception(s) in its title insurance policy, then the City promptly upon receiving notice thereof from the title insurance company will in turn notify the Sellers thereof, whereupon the Sellers shall have thirty (30) days from the date of such notice within which to cure and correct said defect(s), at their sole expense and cost, to the satisfaction of said title insurance company in order that its title insurance policy may be issued as aforesaid without exception; and in such event all periods of time hereinafter specified for closing, possession, etc., shall be extended by a period of time equal to the time required for said title curative and corrective work.

2. Sellers' Ability to Convey: Sellers warrant and covenant that they are all persons and corporations having an interest in the aforesaid premises and that they have the right and are the only persons necessary to convey said premises to the City in fee simple by good and merchantable title as aforesaid.

3. Price: The purchase price for said premises, improve-

ments and appurtenances shall be One Hundred Ninety-three Thousand Five Hundred Thirty-three Dollars ($193,533.00) plus Cavanaugh Company's "cost of moving" its tangible business personal property (not including fixtures) from the aforesaid premises to Cavanaugh Company's new location on Delmar Road, Salisbury, Maryland. It is understood that said "cost of moving" said personal property includes all expenses of moving eligible for reimbursement under the Federal Housing Act up to the sum of Six Thousand Dollars ($6,000.00), which sum the Sellers hereby stipulate and agree to accept in lieu of all said expenses if same exceed said amount of Six Thousand Dollars ($6,000.00). It further is understood that the Individual Sellers and Cavanaugh Company will move said personal property, and will keep accurate time records and expense records in connection therewith, and that all said records and accounts shall be subject to audit by the City and/or the Urban Renewal Agency before any reimbursement thereof shall be due to Sellers.

4. Notice of Exercise; Closing: If the City shall elect during the term hereof to exercise its exclusive option, right and privilege hereby granted to purchase the aforesaid premises, it shall give written notice of such election by letter addressed to Patrick H. Cavanaugh, Jr., as agent for the Sellers and mailed to him at Camden Street, Salisbury, Maryland, by ordinary mail, postage prepaid. If and whenever such written notice of election shall be given by the City, this option shall mature unto a binding contract of sale between the Sellers and the City in accordance with its terms. Such notice may be given by the City at any time during the sixty (60) day period herein specified; and if given, closing between the parties shall be held within the period of forty-five (45) days following the date of mailing of such notice. The date of closing shall be selected by the City within such forty-five (45) day period, and the City shall give the Sellers not less than seven (7) days' informal advance notice thereof by telephone or other suitable means of communication. At the closing, title to the aforesaid premises (meeting the conditions and provisions

set forth in paragraph 1 hereof) shall be conveyed by the Sellers to the City by a deed or deeds in customary Wicomico County form with usual covenants of special warranty and further assurances. Said deed(s) shall be prepared by the City at its expense, and the costs of recording thereof shall be borne by the City. The cost of any and all documentary tax stamps required by law shall be divided equally between the Sellers and the City. Taxes, water rents, and other public charges and assessments shall be adjusted between the parties as of the closing; and payment of the option price herein specified shall be made by the City at the closing to the Sellers in return for delivery of the aforesaid deed(s) of conveyance, except for the escrow deposit hereinafter mentioned in paragraph 5 hereof.

5. Possession; Escrow Deposit to Insure Possession: If the City shall exercise its purchase option hereby granted in accordance with the terms hereof, Sellers shall vacate said premises and shall deliver possession thereof to the City not later than the thirtieth (30th) day following the date of closing. To insure speedy delivery of possession to the City following the closing, the Sellers hereby agree that the City shall have the right at the closing to withhold from the net amount payable to the Individual Sellers and Cavanaugh Company the sum of Twenty Thousand Dollars ($20,000.00) as an escrow deposit; and if so withheld by the City, said Twenty Thousand Dollars ($20,000.00) shall be deposited in escrow by the City with Maryland National Bank, with irrevocable instructions to pay same to the order of the Individual Sellers and Cavanaugh Company if possession is delivered as herein provided within said thirty (30) day period following the closing. If Sellers fail for any reason to deliver possession of the aforesaid premises to the City as herein provided within said thirty (30) day period, then the Sellers agree that there shall be deducted from said escrow deposit by the Escrow Agent the amount of Fifty-five Dollars ($55.00) for each and every day possession by the City is delayed after said thirty (30) day period; and they agree

that the Maryland National Bank, as Escrow Agent, shall be instructed to pay any and all amounts so deducted from said escrow deposit to the City as compensation to it for the Sellers' delay in delivering to the City full possession of the vacant premises. The Sellers understand and have been advised that the City is interested in acquiring the aforesaid premises for redevelopment purposes in connection with Urban Renewal Project No. 1, and if the option hereby granted is exercised, prompt possession of said premises by the City will be essential; accordingly, the Sellers understand and agree that their undertaking herein to deliver possession or, in default thereof, to pay said daily compensation, is unconditional. Sellers reserve the right to apply to the City for extension of said thirty (30) day period but agree that the City shall have the unqualified and unconditional right to refuse said request with or without cause.

6. Payment of "Cost of Moving": If the City shall exercise its purchase option hereby granted in accordance with the terms hereof and Sellers thereafter shall vacate and deliver possession of said premises to the City pursuant to paragraph 5 hereof, then Sellers agree that within forty-five (45) days after the date on which they deliver possession of said premises to the City, Sellers will furnish to the City their reasonably itemized statement of Sellers' "cost of moving" as defined in paragraph 3 hereof. The City, following the date of delivery of said itemized statement, shall have forty-five (45) days within which to examine and audit same, and following completion of such examination and audit, the City shall, within fifteen (15) days, reimburse to the Sellers their said "cost of moving" as provided in paragraph 3 hereof.

7. Miscellaneous: Time is of the essence hereof. This agreement shall bind and inure to the benefit of the respective heirs, personal representatives, successors and assigns of the parties hereto. Promptly upon notice of exercise hereof, Sellers will cause all fire

insurance policies on the premises to be suitably endorsed to protect the interests of all parties hereto; the premises shall be at the risk of the Sellers pending such notice of exercise. This contains the entire agreement between the parties and may not be modified by any verbal representations or statements of the parties.

WITNESS the due execution hereof all as of the day and year first above written.

TEST: As to O.L.C.

_____ _____(SEAL)
Olga L. Cavanaugh

TEST: As to E.H.R. and L.J.R.

_____ _____(SEAL)
Ethelyn H. Rinnier

_____(SEAL)
Leslie J. Rinnier

TEST: As to P.H.C., Jr., and J.W.C.

_____ _____(SEAL)
Patrick H. Cavanaugh, Jr.

_____(SEAL)
Juliette W. Cavanaugh

ATTEST: CAVANAUGH MOTORS, INC.

_____ By_____

ATTEST: UNION TRUST COMPANY OF MARYLAND

_____ By_____
Assistant Cashier Vice President

STATE OF MARYLAND
COUNTY OF WICOMICO

On this the ____ day of October, 1962, before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared Olga L. Cavanaugh, widow;

Ethelyn H. Rinnier and Leslie J. Rinnier, her husband; and Patrick H. Cavanaugh, Jr., and Juliette W. Cavanaugh, his wife, known to me to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged that they executed the same for the purposes therein contained; and now also before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared _____, who acknowledged himself to be the _____ of Cavanaugh Motors, Inc., a body corporate, and _____, who acknowledged himself to be the Vice President of Union Trust Company of Maryland, a Maryland banking corporation, and that they, as such officers, being authorized so to do, executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes therein contained by signing the name of the corporation by themselves as said proper officers.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I hereunto set my hand and official seal.

My commission expires
May 6, 1963

_____
Notary Public